THE GREEN AGENDA

In the last week of April 2012, via the likes of Professor Paul Ehrlich (1) and The Royal Society (2) in The Guardian newspaper, Malthusian (3) collectivist elites began to more openly show their true anti-free-human ‘common purpose’: the end of national economic freedom and sovereignty, decimation of population numbers to a fraction of present levels, and the strict draconian control of those who remain. In that same week, THE GREEN AGENDA (http://green-agenda.com/index.htm), one of the best websites on the internet that had been helping to expose such disturbing plans for many years (by citing elites' own words) disappeared from some web browsers.

The information on The Green Agenda website is so important that it is therefore reproduced here and available for download as a pdf at: http://www.sovereignindependentuk.co.uk/2012/05/04/the-green-agenda/

In case the original Green Agenda weblinks in the text no-longer work, references have been added in square brackets and original web-pages are set out in sections pertaining to the original homepage index/contents list.

(1) http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2012/apr/26/world-population-resources-paul-ehrlich
(2) http://royalsociety.org/policy/projects/people-planet/report
(3) http://landdestroyer.blogspot.co.uk/2012/04/church-of-malthus.html
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THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 1.1 – HOMEPAGE]

We should all want to be wise and careful stewards of the beautiful planet we call home. But most of us realise that humans in general are not being good stewards. We are wasteful with our natural resources and have reduced biodiversity. Therefore, when we read about groups and organisations calling for a 'green revolution' and a new relationship between humanity and nature it is easy to agree with their ideas.

However, certain aspects of the modern green movement that is permeating every segment of our society are not about protecting the environment. You don't have to dig very deep to discover the true beliefs of the influential leaders who are using genuine concerns about the environment to promote an agenda of fear and control. Please carefully consider the implications of the opinions that they so openly and freely express:

(references and sources for the quotes below can be found here)

[SEE SECTION 1.2 BELOW]

"The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself."

- Club of Rome, premier environmental think-tank, consultants to the United Nations

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"We need to get some broad based support, to capture the public's imagination... So we have to offer up scary scenarios, make simplified, dramatic statements and make little mention of any doubts... Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective and being honest."

- Prof. Stephen Schneider, Stanford Professor of Climatology, lead author of many IPCC reports

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"We've got to ride this global warming issue."
Even if the theory of global warming is wrong, we will be doing the right thing in terms of economic and environmental policy."

- **Timothy Wirth**, President of the UN Foundation

"No matter if the science of global warming is all phony... climate change provides the greatest opportunity to bring about justice and equality in the world."

- **Christine Stewart**, former Canadian Minister of the Environment

“The data doesn't matter. We're not basing our recommendations on the data. We're basing them on the climate models."

- **Prof. Chris Folland**, Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research

“The models are convenient fictions that provide something very useful.”

- **Dr David Frame**, climate modeler, Oxford University

"I believe it is appropriate to have an 'over-representation' of the facts on how dangerous it is, as a predicate for opening up the audience."

- **Al Gore**, Climate Change activist

"It doesn't matter what is true, it only matters what people believe is true."

- **Paul Watson**, co-founder of Greenpeace

"The only way to get our society to truly change is to frighten people with the possibility of a catastrophe."

- emeritus professor **Daniel Botkin**
"The climate crisis is not a political issue, it is a moral and spiritual challenge to all of humanity. It is also our greatest opportunity to lift Global Consciousness to a higher level."
- Al Gore, Nobel Peace Prize acceptance speech

"We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis..."
- David Rockefeller, Club of Rome executive member

"Humanity is sitting on a time bomb. If the vast majority of the world’s scientists are right, we have just ten years to avert a major catastrophe that could send our entire planet’s climate system into a tail-spin of epic destruction involving extreme weather, floods, droughts, epidemics and killer heat waves beyond anything we have ever experienced - a catastrophe of our own making."
- Al Gore, An Inconvenient Truth

"We are getting close to catastrophic tipping points, despite the fact that most people barely notice the warming yet."
- Dr James Hansen, NASA researcher

"By the end of this century climate change will reduce the human population to a few breeding pairs surviving near the Arctic."
- Sir James Lovelock, Revenge of Gaia

"Climate Change will result in a catastrophic global sea level rise of seven meters. That’s bye-bye most of Bangladesh, Netherlands, Florida and would make London the new Atlantis."
- Greenpeace International
"This planet is on course for a catastrophe. The existence of Life itself is at stake."
- Dr Tim Flannery, Principal Research Scientist

"Coal makes us sick. Oil makes us sick. It's global warming. It's ruining our country. It's ruining our world."
- Harry Reid, U.S. Senate majority leader

"Climate Change is the greatest threat that human civilization has ever faced."
- Angela Merkel, German Chancellor

"Climate change is real. Not only is it real, it's here, and its effects are giving rise to a frighteningly new global phenomenon: the man-made natural disaster."
- Barack Obama, US President

"We simply must do everything we can in our power to slow down global warming before it is too late."
- Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor of California

"Climate change should be seen as the greatest challenge to ever face mankind."
- Prince Charles

"Climate change makes us all global citizens, we are truly all in this together."
- Gordon Brown, British Prime Minister
"We have reached the critical moment of decision on climate change. Failure to act to now would be deeply and unforgivably irresponsible. We urgently require a global environmental revolution."
- Tony Blair, former British PM

"We are close to a time when all of humankind will envision a global agenda that encompasses a kind of Global Marshall Plan to address the causes of poverty and suffering and environmental destruction all over the earth."
- Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

"In Nature organic growth proceeds according to a Master Plan, a Blueprint. Such a 'master plan' is missing from the process of growth and development of the world system. Now is the time to draw up a master plan for sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all resources and a new global economic system. Ten or twenty years from today it will probably be too late."
- Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point

"We need a new paradigm of development in which the environment will be a priority. World civilization as we know it will soon end. We have very little time and we must act. If we can address the environmental problem, it will have to be done within a new system, a new paradigm. We have to change our mindset, the way humankind views the world."
- Mikhail Gorbachev, founder of Green Cross International

"The concept of national sovereignty has been immutable, indeed a sacred principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation."
- UN Commission on Global Governance report

"Democracy is not a panacea. It cannot organize everything and it is unaware of its own limits. These facts must be faced squarely. Sacrilegious though this may sound, democracy is no longer well suited for the tasks ahead. The complexity and the technical nature of many of today’s problems do not always allow elected representatives to make competent decisions at the right time."
- Club of Rome, The First Global Revolution

"The emerging 'environmentalization' of our civilization and the need for vigorous action in the interest of the entire global community will inevitably have multiple political consequences. Perhaps the most important of them will be a gradual change in the status of the United Nations. Inevitably, it must assume some aspects of a world government."
- Mikhail Gorbachev, State of the World Forum

"I envisage the prinicles of the Earth Charter to be a new form of the ten commandments. They lay the foundation for a sustainable global earth community."
- Mikhail Gorbachev, co-author of The Earth Charter

"In my view, after fifty years of service in the United Nations system, I perceive the utmost urgency and absolute necessity for proper Earth government. There is no shadow of a doubt that the present political and economic systems are no longer appropriate and will lead to the end of life evolution on this planet. We must therefore absolutely and urgently look for new ways."
- Dr Robert Muller, UN Assistant Secretary General,

"Nations are in effect ceding portions of their sovereignty to the international community and beginning to create a
new system of international environmental governance as a means of solving otherwise unmanageable crises."
- Lester Brown, WorldWatch Institute

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"Regionalism must precede globalism. We foresee a seamless system of governance from local communities, individual states, regional unions and up through to the United Nations itself."
- UN Commission on Global Governance

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"A keen and anxious awareness is evolving to suggest that fundamental changes will have to take place in the world order and its power structures, in the distribution of wealth and income. Perhaps only a new and enlightened humanism can permit mankind to negotiate this transition."
- Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"The alternative to the existing world order can only emerge as a result of a new human dimension of progress. We envision a revolution of the mind, a new way of thinking."
- Mikhail Gorbachev, State of the World Forum

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"We require a central organizing principle - one agreed to voluntarily. Minor shifts in policy, moderate improvement in laws and regulations, rhetoric offered in lieu of genuine change - these are all forms of appeasement, designed to satisfy the public’s desire to believe that sacrifice, struggle and a wrenching transformation of society will not be necessary."
- Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

"Adopting a central organizing principle... means embarking on an all-out effort to use every policy and program, every law and institution... to halt the destruction of the environment."
"Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level."

- UN Agenda 21

"The current course of development is thus clearly unsustainable. Current problems cannot be solved by piecemeal measures. More of the same is not enough. Radical change from the current trajectory is not an option, but an absolute necessity. Fundamental economic, social and cultural changes that address the root causes of poverty and environmental degradation are required and they are required now."

– from the Earth Charter website

"The goal now is a socialist, redistributionist society, which is nature's proper steward and society's only hope."

- David Brower,
  founder of Friends of the Earth

"If we don't overthrow capitalism, we don't have a chance of saving the world ecologically. I think it is possible to have an ecologically sound society under socialism. I don't think it is possible under capitalism"

- Judi Bari,
  principal organiser of Earth First!

"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

- Maurice Strong,
founder of the UN Environment Programme

"A massive campaign must be launched to de-develop the United States. De-development means bringing our economic system into line with the realities of ecology and the world resource situation."

- **Paul Ehrlich**, Professor of Population Studies

"The only hope for the world is to make sure there is not another United States. We can't let other countries have the same number of cars, the amount of industrialization, we have in the US. We have to stop these Third World countries right where they are."

- **Michael Oppenheimer**, Environmental Defense Fund

"Global Sustainability requires the deliberate quest of poverty, reduced resource consumption and set levels of mortality control."

- **Professor Maurice King**

"We must make this an insecure and inhospitable place for capitalists and their projects. We must reclaim the roads and plowed land, halt dam construction, tear down existing dams, free shackled rivers and return to wilderness millions of acres of presently settled land."

- **David Foreman**, co-founder of Earth First!

"Complex technology of any sort is an assault on human dignity. It would be little short of disastrous for us to discover a source of clean, cheap, abundant energy, because of what we might do with it."

- **Amory Lovins**, Rocky Mountain Institute

"The prospect of cheap fusion energy is the worst thing that could happen to the planet."
Jeremy Rifkin, Greenhouse Crisis Foundation

"Giving society cheap, abundant energy would be the equivalent of giving an idiot child a machine gun."
- Prof Paul Ehrlich, Stanford University

"Our insatiable drive to rummage deep beneath the surface of the earth is a willful expansion of our dysfunctional civilization into Nature."
- Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

"The big threat to the planet is people: there are too many, doing too well economically and burning too much oil."
- Sir James Lovelock, BBC Interview

"My three main goals would be to reduce human population to about 100 million worldwide, destroy the industrial infrastructure and see wilderness, with it's full complement of species, returning throughout the world."
- Dave Foreman, co-founder of Earth First!

"Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable."
- Maurice Strong, Rio Earth Summit

"Mankind is the most dangerous, destructive, selfish and unethical animal on the earth."
- Michael Fox, vice-president of The Humane Society
"Human beings, as a species, have no more value than slugs."
- John Davis, editor of Earth First! Journal

"Humans on the Earth behave in some ways like a pathogenic micro-organism, or like the cells of a tumor."
- Sir James Lovelock, Healing Gaia

"The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man."
- Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point

"A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people. We must shift our efforts from the treatment of the symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions."
- Prof Paul Ehrlich, The Population Bomb

"I don’t claim to have any special interest in natural history, but as a boy I was made aware of the annual fluctuations in the number of game animals and the need to adjust the cull to the size of the surplus population."
- Prince Philip, preface of Down to Earth

"A reasonable estimate for an industrialized world society at the present North American material standard of living would be 1 billion. At the more frugal European standard of living, 2 to 3 billion would be possible."
- United Nations, Global Biodiversity Assessment
"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."
- Ted Turner, founder of CNN and major UN donor

"... the resultant ideal sustainable population is hence more than 500 million but less than one billion."
- Club of Rome, Goals for Mankind

"One America burdens the earth much more than twenty Bangladeshes. This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it."
- Jacques Cousteau, UNESCO Courier

"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels."
- Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, patron of the World Wildlife Fund

"I suspect that eradicating small pox was wrong. It played an important part in balancing ecosystems."
- John Davis, editor of Earth First! Journal

"The extinction of the human species may not only be inevitable but a good thing."
- Christopher Manes, Earth First!

"The extinction of Homo Sapiens would mean survival for millions, if not billions, of Earth-dwelling species."
Phasing out the human race will solve every problem on Earth - social and environmental."
- Ingrid Newkirk, former President of PETA

"Childbearing should be a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license. All potential parents should be required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing."
- David Brower, first Executive Director of the Sierra Club

"The fate of mankind, as well as of religion, depends upon the emergence of a new faith in the future. Armed with such a faith, we might find it possible to resanctify the earth."
- Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

"The greatest hope for the Earth lies in religionists and scientists uniting to awaken the world to its near fatal predicament and then leading mankind out of the bewildering maze of international crises into the future Utopia of humanist hope."
- Club of Rome, Goals for Mankind

"What an incredible planet in the universe this will be when we will be one human family living in justice, peace, love and harmony with our divine Earth, with each other and with the heavens."
- Robert Muller, UN Assistant Secretary General

"The earth is literally our mother, not only because we depend on her for nurture and shelter but even more because the human species has been shaped by her in the womb of evolution.... Our salvation depends upon our ability
to create a religion of nature.”
- Rene Dubos,
  board member, Planetary Citizens

"Each element, plant, insect, fish and animal represents a certain aspect of Gaia’s - and our - being.
In a way, we are Gaia's intelligence and awareness - currently lost in self-destructive madness.
We must acknowledge, respect and love her for being the Mother she is to us or we deny our very selves.
Nurture the Mother as she nurtures us."
- Prof. Michael J. Cohen,
  Ecopsychologist

"It is the responsibility of each human being today to choose between the force of darkness and the force of light.
We must therefore transform our attitudes, and adopt a renewed respect for the superior laws of Divine Nature."
- Maurice Strong,
  first Secretary General of UNEP

"The spirit of our planet is stirring!
The Consciousness of Goddess Earth is now rising against all odds, in spite of millennia of suppression, repression and oppression inflicted on Her by a hubristic and misguided humanity.

The Earth is a living entity, a biological organism with psychic and spiritual dimensions.
With the expansion of the patriarchal religions that focused on a male God majestically stationed in Heaven ruling over the Earth and the Universe, the memory of our planet’s innate Divinity was repressed and banished into the collective unconscious of humanity."
- Envision Earth

"Still more important is the implication that the evolution of homo sapiens, with his technological inventiveness and his
increasingly subtle communications network, has vastly increased Gaia’s range of perception. She is now through us awake and aware of herself. She has seen the reflection of her fair face through the eyes of astronauts and the television cameras of orbiting spacecraft.

Our sensations of wonder and pleasure, our capacity for conscious thought and speculation, our restless curiosity and drive are hers to share. This new interrelationship of Gaia with man is by no means fully established; we are not yet a truly collective species, corralled and tamed as an integral part of the biosphere, as we are as individual creatures. It may be that the destiny of mankind is to become tamed, so that the fierce, destructive, and greedy forces of tribalism and nationalism are fused into a compulsive urge to belong to the commonwealth of all creatures which constitutes Gaia.”
– Sir James Lovelock,
Gaia: A New Look At Life

"Little by little a planetary prayer book is thus being composed by an increasingly united humanity seeking its oneness. Once again, but this time on a universal scale, humankind is seeking no less than its reunion with ‘divine,’ its transcendence into higher forms of life. Hindus call our earth Brahma, or God, for they rightly see no difference between our earth and the divine. This ancient simple truth is slowly dawning again upon humanity, as we are about to enter our cosmic age and become what we were always meant to be: the planet of god.”
– Robert Muller,
UN Assistant Secretary General

"What if Mary is another name for Gaia? Then her capacity for virgin birth is no miracle . . . it is a role of Gaia since life began . . . She is of this Universe and, conceivably, a part of God. On Earth, she is the source of life everlasting and is alive now; she gave birth to humankind and we are part of her.”
– Sir James Lovelock,
Ages of Gaia

"Nature is my god. To me, nature is sacred;
trees are my temples and forests are my cathedrals."
- Mikhail Gorbachev,
Green Cross International

"The spiritual sense of our place in nature...
can be traced to the origins of human civilization....
The last vestige of organized goddess worship
was eliminated by Christianity."
- Al Gore,
Earth in the Balance

"Christianity is our foe. If animal rights is to succeed,
we must destroy the Judeo-Christian Religious tradition."
- Peter Singer, founder of Animal Rights

"I pledge allegiance to the Earth and all its sacred parts.
Its water, land and living things and all its human hearts."
- Global Education Associates,
The Earth Pledge

"By fostering a deep sense of connection to others and to the earth
in all its dimensions, holistic education encourages a sense of
responsibility to self to others and to the planet."
- Global Alliance for Transforming Education

"The earth is not dead matter. She is alive.
Now begin to speak to the earth as you walk.
You can speak out loud, or just talk to her in your mind.
Send your love into her with your exhalation. Feel your
heart touching upon the heart of the planet. Say to her
whatever words come to you: Mother Earth, I love you.
Mother Earth, I bless you. May you be healed. May all
your creatures be happy. Peace to you, Mother Earth.
On behalf of the human race, I ask forgiveness
for having injured you. Forgive us, Mother Earth"
- US Student Textbook,
"Prayer to the Earth"
Note - I have tried to mostly use well known quotes – googling a distinctive phrase within each quote will usually reveal numerous other citations.

Most of Earth in Balance can be read here: http://books.google.com/books?id=QDbNhec98iEC
Most of The First Global Revolution can be read here: http://books.google.com/books?id=8RNKHGbzUuAC
(nb. this is now the 1993 edition with some significant omissions and rearrangements from the original)
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[SECTION 2.1 – GLOBAL REVOLUTION]

“The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself.”

- Club of Rome

The First Global Revolution

The environmental movement has been described as the largest and most influential social phenomenon in modern history. From relative obscurity just a few decades ago it has spawned thousands of organisations and claims millions of committed activists. Reading the newspaper today it is hard to imagine a time when global warming, resource depletion, environmental catastrophes and 'saving the planet' were barely mentioned. They now rank among the top priorities on the social, political and economic global agenda.

Environmental awareness is considered to be the mark of any good honest decent citizen. Multi-national companies compete fiercely to promote their environmental credentials and 'out-green' each other. The threat of impending ecological disasters is uniting the world through a plethora of international treaties and conventions. But where did this phenomenon come from, how did it rise to such prominence, and more importantly, where is it going?

While researching for these articles, and during my academic studies, I have come across many references to the The Club of Rome (CoR), and reports produced by them. Initially I assumed that they were just another high-level environmental think-tank and dismissed the conspiracy theories found on many websites claiming that the CoR is a group of global elitists attempting to impose some kind of one world government.

I am not a conspiratorial person by nature and was faced with a dilemma when I first read their reports. But it's all there - in black and white. The CoR claims that "we are facing an imminent catastrophic ecological collapse" and "our only hope is to transform humanity into a global interdependent sustainable society, based on respect and reverence for the Earth." In the end I came to the conclusion that there are two possibilities – either the CoR wrote all these reports and setup a vast network of supporting organisations just for fun or they actually believe what they have written and are working hard to fulfill their role as the self-appointed saviours of Gaia.
Based on my close observation of their actions, and watching the recommendations made by the CoR many years ago now being adopted as official UN and government policy—well, I have become personally convinced that they are deadly serious. On this website I try to use quotes and excerpts as much as possible and let the reader reach their own conclusions.

So, what exactly is the Club of Rome and who are its members? Founded in 1968 at David Rockefeller’s estate in Bellagio, Italy, the CoR describes itself as "a group of world citizens, sharing a common concern for the future of humanity." It consists of current and former Heads of State, UN beaureacrats, high-level politicians and government officials, diplomats, scientists, economists, and business leaders from around the globe.

The Club of Rome subsequently founded two sibling organizations, the Club of Budapest and the Club of Madrid. The former is focused on social and cultural aspects of their agenda, while the latter concentrates on the political aspects. All three of these ‘Clubs’ share many common members and hold joint meetings and conferences. As explained in other articles on this website it is abundantly clear that these are three heads of the same beast. The CoR has also established a network of 33 National Associations. Membership of the 'main Club' is limited to 100 individuals at any one time. Some members, like Al Gore and Maurice Strong, are affiliated through their respective National Associations (e.g. USACOR, CACOR etc).

I would like to start this analysis of the Club of Rome by listing some prominent members of the CoR and its two sub-groups, the Clubs of Budapest and Madrid. Personally it isn't what the CoR is that I find so astonishing; it is WHO the CoR is! This isn’t some quirky little group of green activists or obscure politicians. They are the most senior officials in the United Nations, current and ex-world leaders, and the founders of some of the most influential environmental organisations. When you read their reports in the context of who they are – its gives an entirely new, and frightening, context to their extreme claims.

Some current members of the Club of Rome or its two siblings:

**Al Gore** – former VP of the USA, leading climate change campaigner, Nobel Peace Prize winner, Academy Award winner, Emmy winner. Gore lead the US delegations to the Rio Earth Summit and Kyoto Climate Change conference. He chaired a meeting of the full Club of Rome held in Washington DC in 1997.

**Javier Solana** – Secretary General of the Council of the European Union, High Representative for EU Foreign Policy.

**Maurice Strong** – former Head of the UN Environment Programme, Chief Policy Advisor to Kofi Annan, Secretary General of the Rio Earth Summit, co-author (with Gorbachev) of the Earth Charter, co-author of the Kyoto Protocol, founder of the Earth Council, devout Baha’i.

**Mikhail Gorbachev** – CoR executive member, former President of the Soviet Union, founder of
Green Cross International and the Gorbachev Foundation, Nobel Peace Prize winner, co-founder (with Hidalgo) of the Club of Madrid, co-author (with Strong) of the Earth Charter.

**Diego Hidalgo** – CoR executive member, co-founder (with Gorbachev) of the Club of Madrid, founder and President of the European Council on Foreign Relations, in association with George Soros.

**Ervin Laszlo** – founding member of the CoR, founder and President of the Club of Budapest, founder and Chairman of the World Wisdom Council.

**Anne Ehrlich** – Population Biologist. Married to Paul Ehrlich with whom she has authored many books on human overpopulation. Also a former director of Friends of the Earth and the Sierra Club, and a member of the UN's Global Roll of Honor.

**Hassan bin Talal** – President of the CoR, President of the Arab Thought Forum, founder of the World Future Council, recently named as the United Nations 'Champion of the Earth'.

**Sir Crispin Tickell** – former British Permanent Representative to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the Security Council, Chairman of the ‘Gaia Society’, Chairman of the Board of the Climate Institute, leading British climate change campaigner.


**Javier Perez de Cuellar** – former Secretary General of the United Nations.

**Gro Harlem Bruntland** – United Nations Special Envoy for Climate Change, former President of Norway.

**Robert Muller** – former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, founder and Chancellor of the UN University of Peace.

**The Dalai Lama** – The ‘Spiritual Leader’ of Tibet. Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

**Father Berry Thomas** – Catholic Priest who is one of the leading proponents of deep ecology, ecospirituality and global consciousness.

**David Rockefeller** – CoR executive member, former Chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank, founder of the Trilateral Commission, executive member of the World Economic Forum, donated land on which the United Nations stands.

**Stephen Schneider** – Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change. Professor Schneider was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming and a lead author of many IPCC reports.
**Bill Clinton** – former President of the United States, founder of the Clinton Global Initiative.

**Jimmy Carter** – former President of the United States, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

**Bill Gates** – founder of Microsoft, philanthropist

**Garret Hardin** – Professor of Human Ecology. Originator of the ‘Global Commons’ concept. Has authored many controversial papers on human overpopulation and eugenics.

**Other current influential members:**

(These can be found on the membership lists of the COR ([here](#), [here](#), and [here](#)), Club of Budapest, Club of Madrid and/or CoR National Association membership pages)

**Ted Turner** – media mogul, philanthropist, founder of CNN

**George Soros** – multibillionaire, major donor to the UN

**Tony Blair** – former Prime Minister of the United Kingdom

**Deepak Chopra** – New Age Guru

**Desmond Tutu** – South African Bishop and activist, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate

**Timothy Wirth** – President of the United Nations Foundation

**Henry Kissinger** – former US Secretary of State

**George Matthews** – Chairman of the Gorbachev Foundation

**Harlan Cleveland** – former Assistant US Secretary of State and NATO Ambassador

**Barbara Marx Hubbard** – President of the Foundation for Conscious Evolution

**Betty Williams** – Nobel Peace Prize Laureate

**Marianne Williamson** – New Age 'Spiritual Activist'

**Robert Thurman** – assistant to the Dalai Lama

**Jane Goodall** – Primatologist and Evolutionary Biologist

**Juan Carlos I** – King of Spain

**Prince Philippe** of Belgium

**Queen Beatrix** of the Netherlands

**Dona Sophia** – Queen of Spain

**José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero** – current Prime Minister of Spain

**Karan Singh** – Former Prime Minister of India, Chairman of the Temple of Understanding

**Daisaku Ikeda** – founder of the Soka Gakkai cult

**Martin Lees** – CoR Secretary General, Rector of the UN University of Peace
Ernesto Zedillo – Director of The Yale Center for the Study of Globalization
Frithjof Finkbeiner – Coordinator of the Global Marshall Plan
Franz Josef Radermacher – Founder of the Global Marshall Plan
Eduard Shevardnadze – former Soviet foreign minister and President of Georgia
Richard von Weizsacker – former President of Germany
Carl Bildt – former President of Sweden
Kim Campbell – former Prime Minister of Canada and Senior Fellow of the Gorbachev Foundation
Vincente Fox – former President of Mexico
Helmut Kohl – former Chancellor of Germany
Romano Prodi – former Prime Minister of Italy and President of the European Commission
Vaclav Havel – former President of the Czech Republic
Hans Kung – Founder of the Global Ethic Foundation
Ruud Lubbers – United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
Mary Robinson – United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
Jerome Binde – Director of Foresight, UNESCO
Koïchiro Matsuura – Current Director General of UNESCO
Federico Mayor – Former Director General of UNESCO
Tapio Kanninen – Director of Policy and Planning, United Nations
Konrad Osterwalder – Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations
Peter Johnston – Director General of European Commission
Jacques Delors – Former President of the European Commission
Domingo Jimenez-Beltran – Executive Director of the European Environment Agency
Thomas Homer-Dixon – Director of Peace and Conflict Studies, University of Toronto
Hazel Henderson – Futurist and 'evolutionary economist'
Emeka Anyaoku – former Commonwealth Secretary General, current President of the World Wildlife Fund
Wangari Maathai – Nobel Peace Prize Laureate, founder of the Green Belt Movement
and many more….

The concept of 'environmental sustainability' was first brought to widespread public attention in 1972 by the Club of Rome in their book entitled The Limits to Growth. The official summary can be read here. The report basically concluded that the growth of the human population, and an increase in prosperity, would cause an ecological collapse within the next hundred years:
“If the present growth trends in world population, industrialization, pollution, food production, and resource depletion continue unchanged, the limits to growth on this planet will be reached sometime within the next one hundred years. The most probable result will be a rather sudden and uncontrollable decline in both population and industrial capacity.”

“It is possible to alter these growth trends and to establish a condition of ecological and economic stability that is sustainable far into the future. The state of global equilibrium could be designed so that the basic material needs of each person on earth are satisfied and each person has an equal opportunity to realize his individual human potential.”

“The overwhelming growth in world population caused by the positive birth-rate loop is a recent phenomenon, a result of mankind’s very successful reduction of worldwide mortality. The controlling negative feedback loop has been weakened, allowing the positive loop to operate virtually without constraint. There are only two ways to restore the resulting imbalance. Either the birth rate must be brought down to equal the new, lower death rate, or the death rate must rise again.”

“The result of stopping population growth in 1975 and industrial capital growth in 1985 with no other changes is that population and capital reach constant values at a relatively high level of food, industrial output and services per person. Eventually, however, resource shortages reduce industrial output and the temporarily stable state degenerates.”

“Man possesses, for a small moment in his history, the most powerful combination of knowledge, tools, and resources the world has ever known. He has all that is physically necessary to create a totally new form of human society - one that would be built to last for generations. The two missing ingredients are a realistic, long-term goal that can guide mankind to the equilibrium society and the Human Will to achieve that goal.”

“Without such a goal and a commitment to it, short-term concerns will generate the exponential growth that drives the world system toward the limits of the earth and ultimate collapse. With that goal and that commitment, mankind would be ready now to begin a controlled, orderly transition from growth to global equilibrium.”

So as you can see even back in 1972 the Club considered modern industrial society to be completely unsustainable. They state that even if population was frozen at 1975 levels, and industrial activity at 1985 levels, then the earth’s ecosystems would still ultimately collapse. The CoR has not changed these views in the slightest, in fact, in the last three decades their warnings have become increasingly more urgent and alarmist. They call this imminent collapse the ‘World Problematique’ and their proposed solution the ‘World Resolutique.’

The Limits to Growth is considered to be the most successful environmental publication
ever produced and propelled the Club of Rome to its current position of an environmental thought-leader and a major consultant to the United Nations. It has been translated into more than forty languages and sold more than 30 million copies. Throughout the 1970s and 80s the concept that humanity was irreparably damaging the earth gained popularity and facilitated the formation of mainstream and activist environmental groups.

All meetings of the CoR are held ‘behind closed doors’ and no public records are kept. However the Club does produce many ‘discussion reports’ that can be found on its website. The United Nations contracts the Club of Rome to prepare ‘Policy Guidance Documents’ which it uses in formulating its policies and programmes. A quick search for Club of Rome on the UNESCO publications site reveals 250 such documents. There are many other documents there authored by CoR members acting in other capacities. As many high ranking UN officials are actually CoR members, this is like a man asking himself for advice, and then agreeing with that advice. Not very objective! Various UN organisations also hold joint conferences with the CoR.

While checking the Club of Rome website this morning the first item in their ‘current news’ section refers to a briefing delivered by the CoR to G8 officials in preparation for the upcoming G8 meeting. The second item is a summary report from the Club of Rome’s ‘strategy planning retreat’ with 150 senior UNESCO officials. The joint CoR/UNESCO communique states:

“We are at the end of an era – a turning point in history. We are approaching the threshold of runaway climate change. We underline the urgency of radical action to reduce emissions, by both immediate action and longer-term measures; to stress to political leaders the non-linear nature of the processes at work which will generate sudden change; and to assert that the overriding priority must be to avert the impending risk of catastrophic climate change.” - CoR/UNESCO communique

Twenty years after the Limits to Growth the CoR published another major report that became an instant best-seller. In The First Global Revolution the Club of Rome claimed that the time to act had run out. It was now or never. Delay in beginning corrective measures will increase the damage to the world ecological system and ultimately reduce the human population that will eventually be supportable. They also stated that democratic governments are far too short-sighted to deal with the ‘problematique’ and new forms of governance are urgently required.

In order not too violate any copyright protection I will not reproduce the text of the book on this site. However, it is permissible for me to quote a brief excerpt in the context of this wider discussion. The complete text (third ed.) can be read and searched online at Google Books. As you read the following quote (from page 75, first ed.), please remember the names of the leaders listed above. This is not some quirky little cult. This is the stated agenda of the leaders of the environmental movement:

“This is the way we are setting the scene for mankind’s encounter with the planet.
The opposition between the two ideologies that have dominated the 20th century has collapsed, forming their own vacuum and leaving nothing but crass materialism.

It is a law of Nature that any vacuum will be filled and therefore eliminated unless this is physically prevented. “Nature,” as the saying goes, “abhors a vacuum.” And people, as children of Nature, can only feel uncomfortable, even though they may not recognize that they are living in a vacuum. **How then is the vacuum to be eliminated?**

It would seem that humans need a common motivation, namely a **common adversary**, to organize and act together in the vacuum; such a motivation must be found to bring the divided nations together to face an outside enemy, **either a real one or else one invented for the purpose.**

New enemies therefore have to be identified.
New strategies imagined, new weapons devised.

**The common enemy of humanity is man.**

In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. **The real enemy then, is humanity itself.**

The old democracies have functioned reasonably well over the last 200 years, but they appear now to be in a phase of complacent stagnation with little evidence of real leadership and innovation.

**Democracy is not a panacea.** It cannot organize everything and it is unaware of its own limits. These facts must be faced squarely. Sacriligious though this may sound, **democracy is no longer well suited for the tasks ahead.** The complexity and the technical nature of many of today’s problems do not always allow elected representatives to make competent decisions at the right time."

So, long before Global Warming became a well known issue Al Gore and his Club of Rome colleagues stated that they would use the threat of global warming to unite humanity and "set the scene for mankind's encounter with the planet." In the same way that shamans and sooth-sayers in medieval times used their advance knowledge of when eclipses would occur to control and terrify their followers, they would use a natural phenomenon as their 'enemy' to achieve their objectives. But then they state that although Global Warming would be presented as the initial enemy, the real enemy of humanity would be portrayed as man himself. I am already noticing how frequently the terms climate change and overpopulation are being uttered in the same breath.
Having discovered that all these influential environmental leaders were associated with the Club of Rome I set about reading all the reports, lectures and speeches on their website as well as the reports commissioned by the UN. I was amazed to find that they lay out their entire agenda for anyone who has eyes to see. Exactly the same themes, concepts and phrases are repeated continuously throughout their publications. They are full of references to 'imminent collapse', 'dying planet', 'our mother Gaia', 'wrenching transformation', 'united global society', 'global consciousness', 'new forms of governance' etc. They truly intend to bring about the world's First Global Revolution.

The Kosmos Journal provides perhaps the best insight into their worldview. This Journal was founded by the Club of Rome in partnership with with several of its sibling organizations. As described in my article, The Green Web, the CoR has established a network of supporting organizations, each focusing on a different aspect of their agenda. The Kosmos Journal contains many articles written by CoR members. The basic premise of their worldview is:

"Modern industrial civilisation is fast outstripping the Earth's natural regenerative and life-supporting capacity..."

"At current rates of resource depletion and environmental degradation a near complete collapse of ecological integrity will occur within the next 100 years..."

"Gaia, our Mother, who nurtured humanity for countless millennia within her womb of evolution, is dying..."

"A small window of opportunity now exists to transform humanity into a sustainable global interdependent society based on respect and reverence for Earth..."

"A radical change from the current trajectory is required, a complete reordering of global society..."

"Humans only truly unite when faced with a powerful external enemy..."

"At this time a new enemy must be found, one either real or invented for the purpose..."

"Democracy has failed us, a new system of global governance, based on environmental imperatives, must be implemented quickly..."

Now that Obama is firmly ensconced in the White House the Club of Rome and its affiliates are swinging into high gear. The CoR recently unveiled a new 3-year programme entitled A New Path for World Development. The Club of Madrid has launched the Road to Copenhagen, a joint programme with the UN Environment Programme intended to facilitate a binding global climate change treaty in 2009. Perhaps most interesting is
the State of Global Emergency declared by the Club of Budapest in October 2008. The declaration states that we only have four or five years to prevent a total collapse of the Earth's ecosystems. To quote from the document:

“If we continue on our present unsustainable path, by mid-century the Earth may become largely uninhabitable for human and most other forms of life. Such a total systems collapse could occur much sooner, however, due to runaway global warming or other ecocatastrophes, and/or by nuclear wars triggered by religious, ethnic or geopolitical conflicts or access to diminishing natural resources. The macro-trends driving these global threats and challenges have been apparent for decades and are now building toward a threshold of irreversibility. The scientific modeling of complex systems shows that when systems reach a state of critical instability, they either break down to their components or break through to a higher order of integral functioning. At these “points of no return” maintaining the status quo, or returning to a previous mode of organization and functioning, are not a feasible option.

The acceleration of critical trends and cross-impacts among them indicates that the ‘window of opportunity’ for pulling out of the present global crisis and breaking through to a more peaceful and sustainable world is likely to be no more than four to five years from the end of 2008. This is close in time to the Mayan 2012 prophecy for the end of the current world. The period around the end of 2012 is likely to be a turbulent one for this and other reasons. Predictions coming from the physical sciences foresee disturbances in the geomagnetic, electromagnetic and related fields that embed the planet causing significant damage to telecommunications and impacting many aspects of human activity and health. For the esoteric traditions the end of 2012 will be the end of the known world, although the more optimistic interpretations speak of a new world taking the place of the old.”

This may seem very strange – a group of prominent world leaders talking about ancient Mayan prophecies, but as I describe in my article, Gaia's Gurus, many leading global warming activists openly advocate earth-reverence and other New Age philosophies. Gaia, Global Warming, and Global Governance are intricately entwined, if one truly believes in Gaia, and that she is being fatally harmed by the current system, then a new system of global governance and control would appear to be the only answer. Global Warming provides the ideal 'enemy' to bring about this objective. It is easy for these global elitists to talk about sacrifice, wrenching transformation, population reduction and eliminating the use of fossil fuels but the implications are truly horrendous.

Even if you think this is all nonsense I would ask you to at least read these quotes and excerpts, and think about the implications of their agenda. Everyday I am amazed at how quickly things are changing. It is coming hard and fast. It's almost like reading a book and then watching the television adaptation, except that this adaptation is not a movie - it's on the evening news. As Al Gore said in the closing sentence of his statement after he won the Nobel Peace Prize ... "This is just the beginning."
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 2.2 – THE TURNING POINT]

“The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man.”
- Club of Rome (1974)

Mankind at the Turning Point

In my previous article, *The First Global Revolution*, I described the two most well-known reports published by the Club of Rome. In *The Limits to Growth* (1972) they conclude that “the earth is facing an imminent catastrophic collapse if human civilization continues on its current trajectory.” Twenty years later, in *The First Global Revolution* they state that the situation is now so dire that drastic actions are required. According to the CoR “democracy has failed and new forms of governance are required” and “a common enemy must be found, one either real or invented, to unite humanity.” They then state that “in searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill.”

These two reports have had a profound influence on the modern green movement. However, the CoR has produced many other reports that are just as provocative and controversial including:

- MANKIND AT THE TURNING POINT (1974)
- RESHAPING THE INTERNATIONAL ORDER (1976)
- GOALS FOR MANKIND (1977)
- ENERGY: THE COUNTDOWN (1978)
- ROAD MAPS TO THE FUTURE (1980)
- THE FUTURE OF THE OCEANS (1986)
- BEYOND THE LIMITS TO GROWTH (1989)
- THE CAPACITY TO GOVERN (1994)
- TAKING NATURE INTO ACCOUNT (1995)
- GOVERNING THE SEAS (1998)
- GLOBALIZATION AND CULTURE (2000)
- HUMANITY AT THE CROSSROADS (2001)
- WORLD ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL ORDER (2001)
- GLOBALIZATION, GOVERNANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (2002)
In this article I will briefly discuss a few of these reports and provide the reader with some interesting quotes and excerpts. In their capacity as official consultants to the United Nations the CoR has authored many other reports for UNEP, UNDP, UNESCO etc and these can be tracked down at https://unp.un.org/.

Although aspects of my website may appear to fringe on the realms on conspiracy theory, remember … they wrote these reports, not me! When you read what they have actually written and then see it being adopted as UN and Government policy it starts to get a bit creepy. And bear in mind that this is no ordinary ‘think-tank’, these people are top UN officials and Heads of State like Robert Muller, Maurice Strong, Bill Clinton, Javier Solana, Al Gore, Tony Blair and Mikhail Gorbachev. They possess the power to bring their vision to “transform humanity into a sustainable global interdependent society, based on respect and reverence for the Earth” into reality.

Mankind At The Turning Point

The second report produced by the CoR in 1974 was titled Mankind at the Turning Point. This report develops further the concept on the World Problematique they introduced in The Limits to Growth. They state that “the world is facing an unprecedented set of interlocking global problems, such as, over population, food shortages, non-renewable resource depletion, environmental degradation and poor governance.”

Once again turning to ‘nature’ for an answer the report states "In Nature organic growth proceeds according to a Master Plan, a Blueprint. According to this master plan diversification among cells is determined by the requirements of the various organs; the size and shape of the organs and, therefore, their growth processes are determined by their function, which in turn depends on the needs of the whole organism. Such a ‘master plan’ is missing from the process of growth and development of the world system."

“Such an approach must start from and preserve the world’s regional diversity. Paths of development, region-specific rather than based on narrow national interests, must be designed to lead to a sustainable balance between the interdependent world-regions and to global harmony - that is, to mankind’s growth as an "organic entity" from its present barely embryonic state."
"An analysis of problems and crises as reported indicate that (1) a "horizontal" restructuring of the world system is needed, i.e., a change in relationships among nations and regions and (2) as far as the "vertical" structure of the world system is concerned, drastic changes in the norm stratum - that is, in the value system and the goals of man - are necessary in order to solve energy, food, and other crises, i.e., social changes and changes in individual attitudes are needed if the transition to organic growth is to take place."

"Cooperation by definition connotes interdependence. Increasing interdependence between nations and regions must then translate as a decrease in independence. Nations cannot be interdependent without each of them giving up some of, or at least acknowledging limits to, its own independence."

"Now is the time to draw up a master plan for organic sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all finite resources and a new global economic system. Ten or twenty years from today it will probably be too late..."

So, the Club of Rome states that a ‘master plan’ must be developed to guide mankind through the plethora of ‘crises’ embodied in the World Problematique. It suggests that the world system must be restructured drastically into a ‘horizontal system’ which would involve interdependent ‘world regions’. An extract from their report describing these regions in detail can be found here. In fact the report even includes a map illustrating how the world can be divided into 10 regions:
The report, in a chapter called ‘The Transition – A New Mankind’ then goes on to list specific goals that must be achieved or humans are “as good as doomed.”

1) A world consciousness must be developed through which every individual realizes his role as a member of the world community... It must become part of the consciousness of every individual that “the basic unit of human cooperation and hence survival is moving from the national to the global level.”

2) A new ethic in the use of material resources must be developed which will result in a style of life compatible with the oncoming age of scarcity... One should be proud of saving and conserving rather than of spending and discarding.

3) An attitude toward nature must be developed based on harmony rather than conquest. Only in this way can man apply in practice what is already accepted in theory - that is, that man is an integral part of nature.

4) If the human species is to survive, man must develop a sense of identification with future generations and be ready to trade benefits to the next generations for the benefits to himself. If each generation aims at maximum good for itself, Homo sapiens are as good as doomed.”

Now I don’t know about you but this seems to be a blueprint for the whole rise of Sustainable Development, Agenda 21 and the modern ‘environmental ethic’ which now pervades our society. In their later report The First Global Revolution they admit that Global Warming is being used as a ‘the common enemy’ to develop a new world consciousness and environmental ethic. Interestingly the apparent successor to the CoR is called the World Council on Global Consciousness and Spirituality. The report then goes on to say:
"Governments and international organizations are currently too preoccupied with military alliances and bloc politics. But this problem is becoming of secondary importance... Therefore, barring suicide, mankind will face the most awesome test in its history: the necessity of a change in the man-nature relationship and the emergence of a new perception of mankind as a living global system."

So, unless mankind renounces his destructive ways and returns to his place as a simple part of the great Gaia Organism then he is surely doomed. The report makes no attempt to hide its opinion of humans, it states “The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man.” It also states:

“Being but a part of nature, man has always affected and has always been affected by his environment. However, due to the disproportionate increase in numbers and due to increased sophistication in man’s intervention in natural processes, the interference of man is taking on a completely new dimension with unpredictable and potentially catastrophic consequences.”

"On certain growth issues there would seem to exist universal agreement. Consider, for example, the issue of population growth. Few would quarrel with the position that the global population cannot and should not be permitted to grow unchecked forever. That the population must level off some time, i.e., that population growth should stop, is the view gaining universal acceptance."

The report concludes:

“The odds seem against man. Yet we are moderately hopeful. The winds of change have begun to blow. A keen and anxious awareness is evolving to suggest that fundamental changes will have to take place in the world order and its power structures, in the distribution of wealth and income, in our own outlook and behavior. Perhaps only a new and enlightened humanism can permit mankind to negotiate this transition without irreparable lacerations. In the UN, for example, new concepts such as that of ‘world collective economic security’ as a necessary correlative to political security, and an innovative "charter of duties and rights" of member states is under consideration.”

So ‘Mankind at the Turning Point’ gives a clear insight into the world-view and goals of the Club of Rome. Their words speak for themselves and little commentary seems necessary.

Goals For Mankind

The fourth report by the Club of Rome was published in 1976 and titled Goals for Mankind - A report by the Club of Rome on the new horizons of global community. The lead author was the then President of the CoR, Ervin Laszlo. This very long report basically expands on the concept first suggested in ‘Mankind at the Turning Point’ of dividing the world into interdependent super-regions.
A detailed computer model was developed (the CoR seems to LOVE computer models, there is usually at least one in each report) which assigned different ‘goals and responsibilities’ to each region, e.g. North America was responsible for 1: food production, 2: natural resources, 3: mid-tech manufacturing; Japan – 1: high-tech manufacturing, 2: fisheries, 3: mid-tech manufacturing; Europe – 1: financial services, 2: high-tech manufacturing, China – low-tech manufacturing; Africa and the Middle East – natural resources etc.

The authors stated that “This proposed new global system is highly interdependent as, in the same manner that the human body assigns different tasks to its various organs, each region is assigned specialized and specific tasks, and is each is dependent on the others for their common survival.” A large number of different scenarios were fed into the model which concluded that the “resultant ideal sustainable population is hence more than 500 million but less than one billion.”

The report also explored different scenarios by which these new global systems could be successfully introduced and adopted. They state:

"Of all possible scenarios for the solution of world problems, the authors feel that the most hopeful scenario -- that group which is most likely to lead world consciousness to an awareness of its problems and to its solutions is contained in the 'religion science-led scenario'. The greatest hope for the Earth lies in the religionists and scientists uniting to awaken the world to its near fatal predicament and then leading mankind out of the bewildering maze of international crises into the future Utopia of humanist hope…”

So they consider that an alliance between scientists and ‘religionists’ (i.e. all Christians, Muslims, Buddhists, Hindus) would be the most successful approach in leading humanity into a “Utopia of humanist hope” (their words, not mine!). This might explain the rapid rise of eco-spirituality and religious movements such as Creation Care.

This article is getting a bit long so I think I’ll leave it there for now. I encourage the reader to track down and read some of the other CoR reports I referenced. Very interesting! Also the reports published by the CoR’s sister and sibling organisations listed in my article The Green Web.
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 2.3 – GAIA’S GURUS]

“What if Mary is another name for Gaia? Then her capacity for virgin birth is no miracle... it is a role of Gaia since life began...
She is of this Universe and, conceivably, a part of God.
On Earth, she is the source of life everlasting and is alive now;
she gave birth to humankind and we are part of her.”

– Sir James Lovelock

Gaia’s Gurus

In my previous article, the First Global Revolution, I outlined how the very influential members of the ‘Club of Rome’ have decided that the Earth is facing an "imminent ecological collapse" and drastic measures must be taken immediately to save Gaia from the destructive beast of capitalism. They claimed that a new enemy was required in order to unite humanity, "one either real or invented for the purpose", and that "the threat of global warming" is the ideal crisis. In this article I will take a close look at these CoR members, and some of their close affiliates, and let them describe, in their own words, exactly what their world view is. One thing that immediately struck me when researching these men was how often they refer to the Earth as ‘Gaia’, and speak of 'her' as a real living sentient earth-spirit. They even call themselves Gaians.

From a Gaian activist's perspective the theory of Global Warming presents a dream scenario. It strikes at the very heart of 'Gaia's greatest threat' - capitalism and modern industrial society. According to them without fossil fuels the world will be transformed into the Gaian's ecotopian vision of small sustainable human settlements, surrounded by protected wild-lands, and governed by some sort of United Earth Council. Global Warming provides a clarion call to which the 'environmentally aware' masses can rally. Skeptics are now commonly labelled as climate change-deniers, insinuating that they should be treated with the same contempt that holocaust-deniers deserve.

While those who embrace Gaia-worship may represent a small minority of the Green Movement they are often the most driven, active and influential members. I have been astounded to find that many of the most vocal politicians and scientists currently raising alarms about Global Warming, are also actively involved in the Gaia Cult and Deep Ecology. I had assumed that professional politicians and scientists would give little credence to the extreme views of these neopagan philosophies but, as I will demonstrate shortly, many of them actively and vocally espouse them.

This begs the question, is the real threat of Global Warming being used by Gaians to further their stated agenda of global transformation in which 'nature is sacred', or more deviously, has the theory of man-made Global Warming been fabricated as a tool to implement their earth-worship agenda? Based on my many hours of research I have
concluded that the second scenario is the most likely. Once you had read enough eco-religious texts it becomes easy to spot Gaian terms and references in the speeches and statements of many prominent Global Warming activists. I am continually amazed at the language that these devout Gaians use to describe themselves and their 'mission'. And remember these are not the members of some fringe green New Age cult. These are people in positions of significant power and leadership. So let's take a close look at these leaders of the Global Green Agenda:

Dr Robert Muller spent 53 years working within the United Nations and Assistant Secretary-General for more than 12 years (#2 in charge). He is the Founder and Chancellor of the United Nations University of Peace. Here is how he describes himself (remember this is not some fruitcake standing on a streetcorner, this guy was responsible for formulating many UN policies):

"A divine motivator ... the wise man of the UN ... the shaman of the UN ... the man through whom God speaks ... the spokesman of Christ ... a magic being ..."

Robert Muller, a Cosmic Being, a Man of Peace, whose mastery of compassion created these exhortations. At rare intervals in the evolution of the planet Cosmos flares its magic wand and graces us with a Master of Compassion such as Robert Muller."

Now this 'magic being' was a key architect behind many of the UN's most important environmental policies and plans. If you read Agenda 21 and the Earth Charter you will be shocked. Dr Muller founded the UN's University of Peace on a mountain in Costa Rica. Why did he choose this location? Because of this ancient prophecy:

"The Prophecy of Rasur - One day, a long time ago, in the village of Quisar, all the children suddenly disappeared underground. The parents could not understand what was happening and became extremely worried. Faintly rising up from the earth below, they heard laughter and singing and knew that their children were safe. The children began to move and the parents followed their voices until they were stopped by a strong magnetic force at the base of Mt. Rasur. The Earth abruptly opened up and the children as well as a being of light, Rasur (the god of the indigenous children), appeared to the surprised parents. Rasur then spoke to the children, never once looking at the parents, and said,

"Dear children, the Great Spirit is in every animal, in every bird, butterfly, flower, insect, leaf and grass you see. The Great Spirit is also in you, the Creator's children. Please take care of the wonderful nature created by God and some day, from this mountain, you will see the birth of a civilization of peace spread to the entire world."

Hindus call our earth Brahma, or God, for they rightly see no difference between our earth and the divine. This ancient simple truth is slowly dawning again upon humanity, as we are
about to enter our cosmic age and become what we were always meant to be: the planet of god." - Robert Muller, Chancellor of the UN University for Peace

"What an incredible planet in the universe this will be when we will be one human family living in justice, peace, love and harmony with our divine Earth, with each other and with the heavens." - Robert Muller, former UN Assistant Secretary General

So, Dr Muller, who was the right-hand man to three consecutive UN Secretary Generals, and was responsible for formulating many UN policies and programmes clearly believes that the Earth is Divine. On one of his websites he describes conversations between himself and God, and between himself and the Earth (halfway down the page). He has a number of websites that make very interesting reading and clearly demonstrate the link between Gaia, Global Warming and Global Governance.

www.goodmorning-world.blogspot.com
www.robertmulller.org
www.goodmorningworld.org
www.centerforlivingethics.org
www.paradiseearth.us

Those websites provide enough information for a year of study, and I strongly urge the reader to have a look at them. But it's time to move on to our next CoR Gaian:

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Maurice Strong, founder and Secretary General of the United Nations Environment Programme and Senior Advisor to Kofi Annan. Founder of the Earth Council and the Earth Charter Initiative, and former President of the United Nations University of Peace. You will find many references to Maurice Strong on this site. He, more than anyone else, has been the architect of the Global Green Agenda. Strong is a devout Baha'i and from his lofty positions within the UN has permeated the organisation with Gaian theology.

He is the author of most of the key UN environmental policies and plans including Agenda 21, the Earth Charter, the Kyoto Protocol and the UN report on Global Governance. While he chaired the Rio Earth Summit, outside his wife Hanne and 300 followers called the Wisdom-Keeers, continuously beat drums, chanted prayers to Gaia, and trended scared flames in order to "establish and hold the energy field" for the duration of the summit. You can view actual footage of these ceremonies on YouTube. He founded the Manitou Institute where various Hindu, Bhuddist, and New Age groups perform rituals to heal Gaia. The Institutes Mission is "to perpetuate the ancient tradition of peoples of many tribes journeying here for a sacred connection to the Earth." Very Gaian!!

The Strongs have located their spiritual centre in the Colorado mountains because "The Strongs learned that since antiquity indigenous peoples had revered this pristine
wilderness as a place for conducting their vision quests and receiving shamanic trainings. It is prophesied that the world's religious traditions would gather here and help move the world toward globally conscious co-existence and co-creation."

"It is the responsibility of each human being today to choose between the force of darkness and the force of light. We must therefore transform our attitudes, and adopt a renewed respect for the superior laws of DIVINE NATURE." - Maurice Strong

In 1991 Strong wrote the introduction to a book published by the Trilateral Commission, called *Beyond Interdependence: The Meshing of the World's Economy and the Earth's Ecology*, by Jim MacNeil. (David Rockefeller wrote the foreword). Strong said this: "This interlocking is the new reality of the century, with profound implications for the shape of our institutions of governance, national and international. By the year 2012, these changes must be fully integrated into our economic and political life."

He also recently authored a book called 'Where on Earth are We Going?' in which he outlines a doomsday scenario of what will soon happen to Gaia unless there is "an immediate and total global transformation." His predictions make Al Gore seem like an optimist. He claims that humanity will not survive the next 30 years on its current course.

Strong has served, or is currently on the Board of Directors of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN); the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF); and the World Resources Institute (WRI); the three international NGOs that have developed and advanced the global agenda since the early 1970s. Maurice Strong, alongside Al Gore, is perhaps the most well known, and certainly the most influential, CoR Gaian. He is still deeply involved in the modern green movement and has founded a number of organisations including the Earth Council Alliance.

**recommended reading:**

*At the United Nations, the Curious Career of Maurice Strong* -
http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,250789,00.html

Maurice Strong Biography -
http://www.nationalcenter.org/DossierStrong.html

James Lovelock, guru of the Gaia Hypothesis and father of the modern green movement. There is no need to establish Dr Lovelock's Gaian credentials; he conceived the whole thing after all. He has been one of the most vocal and extreme prophets of the coming climate apocalypse. According to him "By the end of this century the human population will be reduced to a few breeding pairs subsisting near the North Pole." Interestingly the good doctor has saved the world once before. In 1975 Sir James dramatically discovered that CFC's were destroying the ozone layer which would shortly lead to our imminent demise.
No doubt controlling and eliminating CFC’s was a test case for the big prize, controlling and eliminating fossil fuels. His most recent publication is entitled *Homage to Gaia*.

"This new interrelationship of Gaia with man is by no means fully established; we are not yet a truly collective species, corralled and tamed as an integral part of the biosphere, as we are as individual creatures. It may be that the destiny of mankind is to become tamed, so that the fierce, destructive, and greedy forces of tribalism and nationalism are fused into a compulsive urge to belong to the commonwealth of all creatures which constitutes Gaia." – James Lovelock, *Gaia: A New Look at Life*

"What if Mary is another name for Gaia? Then her capacity for virgin birth is no miracle . . . it is a role of Gaia since life began . . . She is of this Universe and, conceivably, a part of God. On Earth, she is the source of life everlasting and is alive now; she gave birth to humankind and we are part of her." – Sir James Lovelock, *Ages of Gaia*

---

**Al Gore**, 'inventor of the internet and Global Warming' has become the public face of the crusade to unite the world in the fight against global warming. His devout Gaian views are scattered liberally through the various books he has written the environment and human spirituality. Al has formed an *Alliance for Climate Protection*, using the funds from Live Earth, to push forward his 'call to action.' He recently announced a **300 million dollar advertising campaign** to raise public awareness and recruit an **“army of ten million climate activists.”**

In his book *Earth in the Balance*, Gore devotes no less than three chapters to the 'Earth Goddess.' He states that "in prehistoric Europe and much of the world was based on the worship of a single earth goddess, who was assumed to be the fount of all life and who radiated harmony among all living things. Much of the evidence for the existence of this primitive religion comes from the many thousands of artifacts uncovered in ceremonial sites. These sites are so widespread that they seem to confirm the notion that a goddess religion was ubiquitous through much of the world until the antecedents of today's religions, most of which still have a distinctly masculine orientation...swept out of India and the Near East, almost obliterating belief in the goddess. The last vestige of organized goddess worship was eliminated by Christianity as late as the fifteenth century." - *Earth in the Balance*, page 260

"The fate of mankind, as well as of religion, depends upon the emergence of a new faith in the future.' Armed with such a faith, we might find it possible to resanctify the Earth." - *Earth in the Balance*

"This we know: the Earth does not belong to man, man belongs to the Earth. All things are connected like the blood that unites us all." *Earth in the Balance*, page 259.

On the back cover of *Earth in the Balance* the well-known New Ager M. Scott Peck states: “*Earth in Balance is a brilliantly written, prophetic, even holy book, clearly pointing the way we need to change to assure the survival of our children. I pray it will have the dramatic impact it deserves – and must have for our collective salvation.”*
In the United States the only operating carbon emissions trading market is the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX). Coincidentally, or not, Al Gore’s hedge fund, Generation Investment Management, is the largest shareholder in CCX. Now that’s what I call a conflict of interest! The most vocal Global Warming alarmist is the largest shareholder in the USA’s only operating ‘carbon market.’ On the board of CCX we find our old friend Maurice Strong.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Mikhail Gorbachev, formerly the President of the Soviet Union and now the founder and chairman of Green Cross International, and the Gorbachev Foundation. Attendees at his 1996 State of the World Forum included New Age gurus Shirley MacLaine, Dennis Weaver, John Denver, John Naisbitt, Carl Sagan, Ted Turner and Jane Fonda, Barbara Marx Hubbard, Maurice Strong, Robert Muller, Dr. Deepak Chopra, and Matthew Fox.

Gorbachev presided over the sudden collapse of the Soviet empire. Perhaps he was removing one of the major obstacles facing the Global Green Agenda. Since then he has been intimately involved in politics of the environmental movement and is considered to be one of its greatest champions. Gorbachev, in association with Maurice Strong, was responsible for the production of the Earth Charter.

"Nature is my god. To me, nature is sacred; trees are my temples and forests are my cathedrals." - Mikhail Gorbachev, 1990

"We need a new paradigm of development in which the environment will be a priority... World civilization as we know it will soon end... We have very little time and we must act... If we can address the environment problem, we have hope... but it will have to be done within a new system, a new paradigm... We have to change our mindset - the way humankind views the world." - Mikhail Gorbachev, State of the World Forum, 1996

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Sir Crispin Tickell, is considered to one of Britain’s most influential diplomats. He was the British Ambassador to Mexico (1981-1983), Permanent Secretary of the Official Development Assistance (1984-1987), British Ambassador to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the UN Security Council (1987-1990). He chaired the British Government’s 1994 Panel on Sustainable Development. Tickell has been one of the most outspoken Global Warming alarmists even though, like Al Gore, he has no scientific training. His devout Gaian views are extremely obvious with just a cursory glance at his website http://www.crispintickell.com/

Sir Crispin has been or still is the chairman of: the Advisory Committee of the Darwin Initiative for Survival of the Species, the UK Marine Biologists Association, the International Institute for Environment and Development, Earth Watch Europe, The Climate Institute of Washington, DC; and he was or is the president of: the Royal Geographical Society, the National Society for Clean Air, the Gaia Foundation, and the Gaia Society for Research and Education in Earth System Science. This Gaia Society is Sir Crispin's personal
contribution to the Earth Goddess, as it is dedicated to creating a cult around the ideas and personages of eco-spiritualists James Lovelock and Lynn Margulis.

"Gaia has no particular tenderness for humans. We are no more than a small, albeit immodest, part of her." – Sir Crispin Tickell

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, co-founder, former President and current Patron of the World Wildlife Fund for Nature (WWF). The Prince has been deeply involved in the green movement since its inception. In 1971 he founded an organisation called 'The 1001 Club: A Nature Trust' to fund the activities of the WWF. This secretive Club contains quite a few Club of Rome members. The Prince appears to adhere strongly to the Deep Ecology philosophy that considers humans to be nothing more than a feral pest species that must be strictly controlled.

"In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation." - Prince Philip, in his foreword to 'If I Were an Animal'

"It is now apparent that the ecological pragmatism of the so-called pagan religions, such as that of the American Indians, the Polynesians, and the Australian Aborigines, was a great deal more realistic in terms of conservation ethics than the more intellectual monotheistic philosophies of the revealed religions." - Caring for Creation, conference of the North American Conference on Religion and Ecology

"I don't claim to have any special interest in natural history, but as a boy I was made aware of the annual fluctuations in the number of game animals and the need to adjust the cull to the size of the surplus population." - Preface to Down to Earth by HRH Prince Philip

"We talk about over- and underdeveloped countries; I think a more exact division might be between underdeveloped and overpopulated. The more people there are, the more industry and more waste and the more sewage there is, and therefore the more pollution." - address to Edinburgh University Union

"If the world pollution situation is not critical at the moment, it is as certain as anything can be that the situation will become increasingly intolerable within a very short time. The situation can be controlled, and even reversed; but it demands cooperation on a scale and intensity beyond anything achieved so far." - The Fairfield Osborne Lecture by HRH Prince Philip

"Human population growth is probably the single most serious long-term threat to survival. We're in for a major disaster if it isn't curbed--not just for the natural world, but for the human world. The more people there are, the more resources they'll consume, the more pollution they'll create." - interview with HRH Prince Philip

"The object of the WWF is to `conserve' the system as a whole; not to prevent the killing of individual animals. Those who are concerned about their conservation of nature accept
that all species are prey to some other species. They accept that most species produce a surplus that is capable of being culled without in any way threatening the survival of the species as a whole.” - The Chancellor's Lecture, Salford University

There is even a tribe in Vanuatu who consider Prince Philip to be a living god. When told about this the Prince remarked that it was a splendid idea and sent the tribe several portraits they could worship, and has since visited them. Very strange!!

Steven Schneider, Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change. Professor Schneider was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming. He is most famous for stating that climatologists should only present the most dramatic and frightening scenarios and find their own balance between truth and lies. He has been a lead author of many IPCC reports, and was the editor of "Scientists on Gaia" in which he states "the Gaia Hypothesis has now become established in mainstream science." In 1988 also organised the first international conference to discuss "Gaia and Science".

Sir Richard Branson, multibillionaire and founder of the Virgin group of companies. Sir Richard has certainly jumped on board the Global Warming bandwagon in a big way. According to Branson during a brief discussion over breakfast with Al Gore, "my views on global warming were changed 180 degrees." That Al guy sure must be persuasive! Branson has since pledged to donate three billion dollars (!!) to "fund the fight against climate change." Branson has also launched his 'Climate Challenge' which offers a prize of $25 million to anyone who can remove carbon dioxide fro the atmosphere. The panel of judges for this challenge are Al Gore, James Lovelock, Sir Crispin Tickell, Tim Flannery and James Hansen.

"It will have to be a mix of the best solutions from all these areas that will win the battle to keep CO2 levels below those at which Gaia will strike back at some stage, and kill the problem - in this case us ." – Richard Branson interview

Dr Tim Flannery, Evolutionary Biologist and very well known environmental activist. He is the Australian version of Jane Goodall. Although Dr Flannery has no training in anything remotely related to climate science he is one of the worlds most vocal alarmists. He travels continuously around world giving lectures on ‘the Climate Catastrophe’ and often refers to Gaia as a sentient super-organism. His website is http://www.groveatlantic.com/timflannery.html

Ted Turner, multibillionaire and founder of CNN. Turner is deeply involved in the Global Green Agenda. He donated more than a billion dollars to the UN which was specifically
tagged to fund the IPCC. Turner personally conceived and produced 'Captain Planet' an edu-tainment cartoon designed to brainwash our children.

"Gaia, the spirit of Earth, awakens from a century long sleep to find the Earth in peril. She summons five teenagers, the Planeteers, from around the globe, and gives each of them a ring which controls an element of nature - Earth, Fire, Wind, Water - and a special power, Heart, which allows them to use their new powers wisely and compassionately." - http://www.turner.com/planet/mythology/index.html

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

I could go on forever, listing literally dozens of other Club of Rome members who refer to the Earth as a sentient divine being called Gaia and are also very actively involved in the Climate Campaign. The Cult of Gaia and Global Warming are intimately entwined. In my next article, the Green Web, I will describe the vast network of organisations these men have set up to push through their nefarious agenda.
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 2.4 – THE GREEN WEB]

"We are close to a time when all of humankind will envision a global agenda that encompasses a kind of Global Marshall Plan, if you will, to address the causes of poverty and suffering and environmental destruction all over the earth."

- Al Gore

The Green Web

In my previous article, Gaia's Gurus, I described how many of the leaders of the modern green movement frequently refer to the earth as a sentient super-organism called Gaia. While researching the biographies of these environmental leaders I was very surprised to discover that many of them are members of an organization called the Club of Rome (CoR). I was even more surprised to discover that the entire manifesto of the Global Green Agenda is laid out in the reports and books published by this Club. They believe that modern industrial society is “crucifying Gaia” and “our only hope is to transform humanity into an interdependent global sustainable Earth Community, based on reverence and respect for Gaia.” They refer to this transformation as the First Global Revolution.

In order to achieve this global transformation the members of the CoR have established a network of interlinked organizations focused on various aspects of their agenda. If you examine the biographies of prominent CoR members and then look at the organizations they have founded you will find they are stacked full of other CoR members. Dozens of foundations, councils, societies, and think-tanks state that their 'mission' is to consider new forms of governance, sustainability and global consciousness, but when you check their list of members they all contain the same core group of people!

I attempted to construct a chart of all these organizations and the members they have in common, but there were so many lines linking each organization it became unreadable. Hence I have called this network The Green Web. In this article I will list various organizations founded by CoR members and highlight their common membership. I suspect that some of these entities have actually superceded the CoR in importance and influence.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

As discussed previously the CoR directly spawned two affiliated 'Clubs' – the Club of Budapest (CoB) and the Club of Madrid (CoM). The purpose of these siblings is to “provide spiritual, cultural and political context to the Club of Rome's technical research.”

The Club of Budapest is an offshoot of the CoR designed to promote the Global Green
Agenda though ‘Art and Culture’ - “The idea of the Club of Budapest stems from discussions between its founder and president Ervin Laszlo, and The Club of Rome founder Aurelia Peccei in the late seventies. Peccei suggested that Laszlo, a fellow founding member of the Club of Rome, should bring together writers, artists and people of high spiritual qualifications to complement abstract theoretical information about current and coming global problems with the insight and creativity inherent in art, literature, and various domains of the human spirit.”

However the Club of Budapest seems to have little to do with the Arts and much more to do with the usual themes. The Mission of its WorldShift Network is to address:

- The Governance of Nations
- Education for Wisdom
- Public Health Policy
- The Ethics of Planetary Freedom
- Reverence for Nature
- Approaching a modern Subsistence Economy

The Club of Budapest has also established the World Wisdom Council. It is jointly chaired by Mikhail Gorbachev and Robert Muller and consists of almost exactly the same people as the World Commission on Global Consciousness and Spirituality described below. In 1996 the Club of Budapest released THE MANIFESTO ON THE SPIRIT OF PLANETARY CONSCIOUSNESS which was signed by 16 global environmental leaders, 12 of which are also CoR members!

The Club of Madrid consists of 70 former Heads of State handpicked by the CoR to consider “appropriate forms of governance” and methods of “democratic transition to a new global order.” The Club was officially founded by Mikhail Gorbachev and Diego Hidalgo. They are both given as “executive members of the Governing Council of the Club of Rome.” The CoM is funded by the Gorbachev Foundation and Hildalgo’s organization FRIDE (the European Council on Foreign Relations).

A quick perusal of their membership list reveals that more than half are also members of CoR National Associations and contain the usual suspects such as Bill Clinton, Mikhail Gorbachev, Jimmy Carter, Tony Blair, Mary Robinson, Vaclav Havel, Romano Prodi, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Javier Perez de Cuellar, and Carl Bildt. They state that their top priority is “facilitating a global post-Kyoto climate treaty.” The Club of Madrid, in a similar fashion to the CoR, is an official consultant body to the UN and is contracted by them to produce reports and technical advice.
Perhaps the most interesting of all these organizations is the World Commission on Global Consciousness and Spirituality. The purpose of this ‘Commission’ appears to be to perpetuate the spiritual aspects of the Global Green Agenda. It is basically just old-fashioned paganism dressed up as a new eco-theology. I challenge the reader to make sense of this page! The commission is composed mostly of CoR members including Gore and Gorbachev.

:::All the text below is taken from their website:::

VISION
The Commission seeks to inspire consciousness of the wholeness of the human family and the sacred tapestry of all life. This spirituality is the foundation of a global consciousness that honors the wisdom found in the world’s traditions, cultures and disciplines.

AWAKENING GLOBAL CONSCIOUSNESS
Perhaps the single most powerful event facing humanity today is a great awakening on a planetary scale that has been millennia in the making. We humans are in the midst of a profound advance as a species to a higher form of global consciousness that has been emerging across cultures, religions and worldviews through the centuries. This awakening of global consciousness is nothing less than a shift, a maturation, from more egocentric patterns of life to a higher form of integral and dialogic patterns of life. In this drama it is seen that egocentric patterns of minding and living directly lead to fragmentation, alienation and human pathologies at the individual and collective level.

The awakening of global consciousness is a new and monumental event in human evolution, even though it has been emerging throughout our diverse spiritual traditions through the ages. But this great event in the human drama is not readily apparent because people tend to process reality from their personalized perspectives, worldviews, narratives or cultural lens. Whereas access to global consciousness comes only if and when we are able to stand back and gain critical distance from our particular localized perspectives and enter the more expansive space of a global perspective in the awakening of the global mind.


MEMBERS
(known CoR, CoB, CoM members highlighted in red)

The Dalai Lama
Former President Abdurrahman Wahid (Indonesia)
Archbishop Desmond Tutu
Seyyed H. Nasr (Distinguished Professor of Islamic thought and culture)
Tu Weiming (Harvard Professor and eminent voice for Chinese culture)
Hazel Henderson (Eminent Author, Futurist, Ecology of Global Economics)
Wangari Maathai (Nobel Peace Prize Laureate)
Robert Thurman (Buddhist Tibetan Scholar, Columbia University, Advisor to The Dalai Lama)
Sir Sigmund Sternberg (Winner of the Templeton Prize, Inter-religious relations)
Rodrigo Carazo (Elder Statesman, Leader, The University for Peace)
His Royal Highness El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan (President, Club of Rome)
Barbara Marx Hubbard (Futurist, President-Foundation for Conscious Evolution)
The Very Rev. James Morton Parks (President, Interfaith Centre of New York)
Professor Cornel West (Princeton U. Professor, Leading African-American voice)
Elizabet Sahtouris (Evolution Biologist, Ecology, Voice for Indigenous People)
Dr. Riane Eisler (Distinguished author, visionary leader for Partnership Education)
Mary Evelyn Tucker (Distinguished Scholar, leading voice for Religion & Ecology)
Jane Goodall (Distinguished Primatologist, Voice for Wildlife Conservation)
Jim Kenney (Exec. Director of Common Ground)
Jonathan Granoff (President, Global Security Institute)
Angaangaq Lybert (Eskimo Inuk Elder & Healer, Greenland)
Betty Williams (Nobel Peace Prize Laureate)

Global Councils

The Commission is now actively developing the following Global Councils as a powerful way to carry forth our mission on a planetary scale. These Councils may be seen as "Sub-Commissions" - as direct extensions and outreach of the Commission into diverse primary aspects of planetary cultural life. As such each Council will have direct participation of Commissioners who will report directly to the World Commission and keep the Commission fully informed about developments.

Global Council on Personal & Planetary Security: Jonathan Granoff, Mikhail Gorbachev, Douglas Roche, James George

Global Council on Spiritual Politics & Global Citizenship: Al Gore, Dennis Kucinich, Marianne Williamson, Deepak Chopra, Cornel West, Robert Thurman, Tu Weiming, Barbara Bernstein

Global Council on Planetary Ethics and Values: Steven Rockefeller, Ervin Laszlo, Vaclav Havel, Mary Robinson, Bette Williams, Oscar Arias Sanchez, Hafsat Abiola, Hans Kung, Diane Williams

Global Council on Global Education: Hazel Henderson, Wangari Maathai, Robert Thurman, Sir Sigmund Sternberg, Rodrigo Carazo, His Royal Highness El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan, Barbara Marx Hubbard, The Very Rev. James Morton Parks, Professor Cornel West, Elizabet Sahtouris, Dr. Riane Eisler, Mary Evelyn Tucker, Jane Goodall, Jim Kenney, Jonathan Granoff, Angaangaq Lybert, Betty Williams

Global Council on Peace & Security: Jonathan Granoff, Mikhail Gorbachev, Douglas Roche, James George, Al Gore, Dennis Kucinich, Marianne Williamson, Deepak Chopra, Cornel West, Robert Thurman, Tu Weiming, Barbara Bernstein

Global Council on Spiritual Politics & Global Citizenship: Al Gore, Dennis Kucinich, Marianne Williamson, Deepak Chopra, Cornel West, Robert Thurman, Tu Weiming, Barbara Bernstein

Global Council on Planetary Ethics and Values: Steven Rockefeller, Ervin Laszlo, Vaclav Havel, Mary Robinson, Bette Williams, Oscar Arias Sanchez, Hafsat Abiola, Hans Kung, Diane Williams

Global Council on Global Education: Hazel Henderson, Wangari Maathai, Robert Thurman, Sir Sigmund Sternberg, Rodrigo Carazo, His Royal Highness El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan, Barbara Marx Hubbard, The Very Rev. James Morton Parks, Professor Cornel West, Elizabet Sahtouris, Dr. Riane Eisler, Mary Evelyn Tucker, Jane Goodall, Jim Kenney, Jonathan Granoff, Angaangaq Lybert, Betty Williams
Global Council on Peace & Conflict Resolution: Desmond Tutu, Arun Gandhi, John & Susan Marks, Rabbi Michael Lerner, Elie Wiesel, Rama Vernon

Global Council on Awakening Arts & Entertainment: Bono, Peter Gabriel, Steven Speilberg, Kit Thomas, Michael Douglas, Susan Sarandon, Stephen Simon, Robert Redford

Global Council on Islam & The West: Dr. Seyyed Nasr, Dr. Riffat Hassan, Dr. Akbar S. Ahmed, Dr. Michael Sells, Prince Hassan of Jordan, Queen Noor of Jordan, Dr. Omid Safi, Dr. Asghar Ali Engineer

http://globalspirit.org/pages/wc_councils.php

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The Earth Day Network is responsible for organizing and promoting Earth Day, an event it describes as “the largest environmental celebration on the planet, impacting practically every community in the Western World.” The event is held annually on the Spring Equinox, an extremely important date on the pagan calendar when the Goddess supposedly “brings forth new life.” The Earth Day Network recently launched their Green Generation campaign, which seeks to engage students, churches, and communities in pressuring the world to adopt a new global climate treaty. Moreover, this campaign is slated to continue until 2010 when they state that the world will witness a massive Earth celebration: the 40th anniversary of Earth Day.

The International Council of the Earth Day Network is packed full of CoR members including: Maurice Strong, Gro Harlem Bruntland, Jonathon Lash, Wangari Maathai, Queen Noor, Jane Goodall and other prominent green leaders such as Lester Brown, David Suzuki, Robert Kennedy Jr, and Gus Sepeth.

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The United Nations Foundation was created by prominent CoR member Ted Turner. He donated one billion dollars to support the environmental activites of the UN. A significant proportion of this money was designated for “programmes specifically addressing climate change” and funding the IPCC. The Foundation has also recently created the Global Security Institute to “propose far-reaching reforms of the international system.” As would be expected the Foundation’s Board is full of the same old names including: Ted Turner, Timothy Wirth, Kofi Annan, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Emma Rothschild, and Muhammad Yunus.
Global Leadership for Climate Action is a joint initiative of the United Nations Foundation and the Club of Madrid which “aims to design a framework for a new enforceable international agreement on climate change.” The GLCA has editorial input into reports and assessments produced by the IPCC and provides “technical expertise on the implications and communication of climate change science.” By my count more than two-thirds of the GLCA members are also members of the CoR including: George Soros, Ted Turner, Timothy Wirth, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Mary Robinson, Sir Crispin Tickell, Kim Campbell, Wangari Maathai, Petre Roman and Richard Lagos. Now I have to wonder what qualifies George Soros and Ted Turner to provide technical advice on climate change science!!

The Gorbachev Foundation was created by Mikhail Gorbachev immediately after the collapse of the Soviet Union. He described the Foundation “as a think-tank whose purpose is to explore the path that global governance should take as mankind progresses into an interdependent global society.” The overall motto of the Gorbachev Foundation is “Toward a New Civilization”. The Foundation is deeply involved in many aspects of the modern green movement. They provide advice and funding to many non-government environmental organizations. The Board of the Foundation includes CoR members: Mikhail Gorbachev, Robert Muller, Ted Turner, Ruud Lubbers, Wangari Maathai, Sri Chinmoy, Robert Redford, Vaclav Havel and Javier Peres de Cuellar. Who would have thought Robert Redford was involved in all of this! In a similar fashion to the CoR, the Gorbachev Foundation now has several 'Gorbachev Foundation National Associations' each with their own management structure.

The Foundation works very closely with the CoR and the Club of Madrid and regularly holds joint conferences. These conferences must be fairly easy to organise since they have so many members in common. In order to carry out their 'mission' the Foundation has created a number of subsidiary organizations. Chief among these is Green Cross International.

From the Green Cross Charter:
“Life is sacred. All forms of life have their own intrinsic value and share our planetary home in an interdependent community. All parts of this community are essential to the functioning of the whole. The beauty of the Earth and its life is food for the human spirit, inspiring human consciousness with wonder, joy and creativity. Human beings are not outside or above the community of life. We have not woven the web of life, we are but a strand in it. We depend on the whole for our very existence. For the first time in history, human beings have the capacity to damage, knowingly or unknowingly, the ecological balances on which all life depends. The crisis is urgent.”

The GCI Board contains the same familiar names: Mikhail Gorbachev, Ted Turner, Basma Bin Talal, Jean Michel Cousteau, Ruud Lubbers, Wangari Maathai, Javier Perez de Cuellar, Robert Redford, Karan Singh, David Suzuki

Another Gorbachev Foundation creation is Global Green USA which promotes
sustainability and 'climate action'. Its motto is "fostering a global shift towards a sustainable future."

Another organization that is closely linked to the CoR is the United Nations University of Peace. The Chancellor (Robert Muller), Rector (Konrad Osterwalder) and CEO (Martin Lees) are all prominent CoR members. In fact Martin Lees has just been appointed as the CoR Secretary-General. Dr Muller founded the UN's University of Peace on a mountain in Costa Rica. Why did he choose this location? Because of this ancient prophecy:

"Dear children, the Great Spirit is in every animal, in every bird, butterfly, flower, insect, leaf and grass you see. The Great Spirit is also in you, the Creator's children. Please take care of the wonderful nature created by God and some day, from this mountain, you will see the birth of a civilization of peace spread to the entire world."

The 'Board of Honor' of the University includes: Betty Williams, Desmond Tutu, Elie Wiesel, the Dalai Lama Nelson Mandela, F. W. de Klerk and David Trimble. The University is also home to Mikhail Gorbachev and Maurice Strong's infamous Earth Charter Initiative.

An excellent source of information is the Kosmos Journal, a publication dedicated to the 'Global Awakening' and fostering a 'New Human Civilisation'. Many of the articles are written by CoR members. It actually makes me feel physically ill after spending a few hours wading through their nonsense. The founding partners of this journal include many of the organisations listed above and show how truly interconnected they are:

**Founding Partners:**
Club of Rome
Club of Budapest
World Wisdom Council
Gorbachev Foundation
World Commission for Global Consciousness and Spirituality
Goi Peace Foundation
Global Youth Network
The Future 500
Institute of Noetic Studies
These are just a few of the influential 'environmental' organizations that were either founded by, or are dominated by, CoR members. There are many more that I have come across but it would take forever to describe them all here. The leaders of the Global Green Agenda are deadly serious about their intention to “transform humanity into an interdependent global sustainable Earth Community, based on reverence and respect for Gaia” and they have been spreading their tentacles into every area of global politics. Some other CoR initiated organizations you may wish to research are:

- **Awakening Mind**
- **Alliance for a New Humanity**
- **Association for Global New Thought**
- **The Ethical Globalization Initiative**
- **Foundation for Conscious Evolution**
- **Great Transition Initiative**
- **The Earth Council Alliance**
- **The World Future Council**
- **The Alliance of Civilizations**
- **The Global Marshall Plan**
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 2.5 – GLOBAL CONSCIOUSNESS]

"We face a true planetary emergency. The climate crisis is not a political issue, it is a moral and spiritual challenge to all of humanity. It is also our greatest opportunity to lift Global Consciousness to a higher level."

- Al Gore

Global Consciousness

In my previous article, the Green Web, I discussed various organizations that have been directly founded by Club of Rome members. While researching for that article it became clear that a dramatic shift in emphasis and language has occurred. Reports produced by the CoR in the 1970’s and 80’s are replete with terms like new world order, global union, united earth community, global society, interdependence, sustainable development, masterplan, blueprint etc. Whereas the reports produced by these more recent organizations frequently refer to global consciousness, earth consciousness, wisdom, the global mind, the Gaia mind, global ethics, planetization, conscious evolution, the great awakening, the great transition and the great shift.

Before reading this article, I encourage the reader to peruse the Green Web and take special note of the membership list of the World Commission on Global Consciousness and Spirituality. This organization is basically the CoR in another guise. Having successfully nurtured the concept that “the earth is facing an imminent catastrophic ecological collapse if human civilization continues on its current trajectory” they appear to now be fostering their final goal of “transforming humanity” in order to save Gaia from her greatest threat – humans.

"Without a global revolution in the sphere of human consciousness, nothing will change for the better ... and the catastrophe towards which the world is headed - the ecological, social, and demographic breakdown of culture - will be inevitable." - Vaclav Havel, Club of Rome executive member – link

Of course, as they themselves describe in The First Global Revolution, the threat of Global Warming has been selected as the ‘enemy’ to “unite humanity and change human behavior and attitudes.” As Gordon Brown recently stated “Climate change makes us all global citizens, we are truly all in this together.” Apart from ‘Global Warming’ it is hard to imagine a threat that is caused by humans, affects every part of the planet and can only be overcome by fundamentally transforming our society. It’s almost as if it was designed for the purpose!
“It would seem that humans need a common motivation, namely a common adversary, to organize and act together in the vacuum; such a motivation must be found to bring the divided nations together to face an outside enemy, either a real one or else one invented for the purpose. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself.” – link

“A crisis often has the advantage of uniting people. Climate change is the first problem on a global scale that we have faced as a species. We must hope that it has the positive side effect of stimulating our global consciousness. No country, no region, no people will escape the negative effects of the impending worldwide increase in temperature. We must all cooperate in mitigating it if we are to succeed.” - link

"We face a true planetary emergency. The climate crisis is not a political issue, it is a moral and spiritual challenge to all of humanity. It is also our greatest opportunity to lift Global Consciousness to a higher level." - Al Gore, statement following his acceptnace of the Nobel Peace Prize - link

So I started researching this Global Consciousness phenomenon. Knowing that the Club of Rome often turned to ‘science’, especially computer models, to justify it’s theories I was immediately attracted to Princeton University’s famous Global Consciousness Project. This project basically uses thousands of little devices around the world that generate random numbers. Apparently when major dramatic world events occur the numbers generated are no longer random but start forming a pattern. This is supposedly because the human ‘global consciousness’ is somehow affecting the random number generators. This is how the ‘scientists’ running the project describe it:

“The Global Consciousness Project (GCP) is an international effort involving researchers from several institutions and countries, designed to explore whether the construct of interconnected consciousness can be scientifically validated through objective measurement. The project builds on excellent experiments conducted over the past 35 years at a number of laboratories, demonstrating that human consciousness interacts with random event generators (REGs), apparently "causing" them to produce non-random patterns.

The experimental results clearly show that a broader examination of this phenomenon is warranted. In recent work, prior to the Global Consciousness Project, an array of REG devices in Europe and the US showed non-random activity during widely shared experiences of deeply engaging events. For example, the funeral ceremonies for Princess Diana, and the international Winter Olympics in Nagano, Japan, created shared emotions and a coherence of consciousness that appeared to be correlated with structure in the otherwise random data.
In the fully developed project, a world-spanning array of labile REG detectors is connected to computers running software to collect data and send it to a central server via the Internet. This network is designed to document and display any subtle, but direct effects of our collective consciousness reacting to global events. The research hypothesis predicts the appearance of coherence and structure in the globally distributed data collected during major events that engage the world population.” - link

Here is how Dr Roger Nelson founder and director of the project describes its origins:

“The first direct prototype of the GCP came about “by chance” when I met Jim Fournier and Juliana Balistreri in the middle of a December night in 1996 at Esalen, just as they were in the midst of promoting the globally organized GaiaMind meditation and I was thinking deeply about a network to detect glimmerings of global consciousness. They were traveling, and stopped just for an hour or two in the baths, but the sparkle in their eyes reflected the same stars as mine, and this created a moment for our paths to intersect. I went on to organize a collaboration to collect “consciouness field” data during the GaiaMind event, and it showed a significant effect.” - link

So the GCP was conceived at Esalen a famous New Age commune in conjunction with the founders of the GaiaMind Project. Ok .. so what is this GaiaMind Project?

“The GaiaMind Project is dedicated to exploring the idea that we, humanity, are the Earth becoming aware of itself. From this perspective, the next step in the evolution of consciousness would seem to be our collective recognition that through our technological and spiritual interconnectedness we represent the Earth growing an organ of self-reflexive consciousness. While we believe that the Earth is alive, and we are part of it, we also affirm the Great Spirit of Oneness found at the heart of all the worlds great spiritual traditions. What is most important may not be what we believe, but what we find we all share when we put our thoughts aside to go into meditation and prayer together. Imagine people all over the world sharing a moment of meditation and prayer, a moment of unified global consciousness when people from the world's many diverse spiritual traditions simultaneously focus attention on our interconnected relationship with Gaia - the living Earth.” - link

Hmm … freaky stuff! So Princeton’s University’s famous GCP is based on, and basically trying to prove, that Gaia is alive and we are part of Her. On the GCP site there are literally hundreds of pages of graphs, statistical analysis and scientific papers demonstrating the ‘global consciousness effect’. Google Scholar reveals over 100,000 scientific papers dealing with ‘global consciousness’ and more than 100 specifically on the GCP published in mainstream science journals. So, I wondered who was behind this attempt to ‘scientifically prove’ the existence of the GaiaMind? Once I discovered who was funding this project things started to make sense:

“The project has been designed to be both interdisciplinary and inter-institutional. It
operates under the aegis of the Institute of Noetic Sciences, a 501(c)(3) research entity, which will manage the funding for the project.” - link

I am quite familiar with this Institute. It is also known as the Institute of Noetic Studies. They are one of the founding partners of the Kosmos Journal, a publication dedicated to the 'Global Awakening' and fostering a 'New Human Civilisation'. Many of the articles are written by CoR members. The other founding partners are: the Club of Rome, the Club of Budapest, the World Wisdom Council, the Gorbachev Foundation, the World Commission for Global Consciousness and Spirituality and the Goi Peace Foundation. Not surprisingly the Advisory Board of this Institute contains many CoR members including Maurice Strong, Desmond Tutu, Peter Russell, Deepak Chopra and Satish Kumar. So what are the origins of the Institute of Noetic Sciences?

“The vision for creating the Institute of Noetic Sciences came in 1971. Nations throughout the world had galvanized around the exciting frontier of space exploration. The potential for scientific understanding of our world seemed unlimited to a naval air captain named Edgar Mitchell. He was a pragmatic young test pilot, engineer and scientist; a mission to the moon on Apollo 14 was his dream come true.

But it was the trip home that Mitchell recalls most. Sitting in the cramped cabin of the space capsule, he saw planet Earth floating freely in the vastness of space. He was engulfed by a profound sense of universal connectedness - an epiphany. In Mitchell's own words: “The presence of divinity became almost palpable, and I knew that life in the universe was not just an accident based on random processes. The knowledge came to me directly.” - link

“At the Institute of Noetic Sciences, we employ the rigor of science, balanced by personal and collective wisdom, to support a shift in consciousness that transforms present global conditions into a world grounded in freedom, wisdom, and love. We serve an emerging movement of globally conscious citizens dedicated to manifesting our highest capacities.” - link

So, based on the world-view of the founder and director of the GCP, and the nature of the organization funding the project, it seems clear that the purpose of the GCP is to provide the scientific basis for human global consciousness and the ‘Gaia Mind’. It seems that if you have enough money and influence you can buy whatever ‘science’, and fancy computer models, you need to push your agenda. Remember it was Ted Turner who donated one billion dollars to fund the IPCC.

If you observe the realtime GCP data these so-called anomalies occur frequently. This isn't really that surprising – if you flip a coin a hundred thousand times you will get many 'runs' of heads or tails. But trying to match these anomalies to 'an event somewhere in the world' is not science, it is merely trying to find evidence for an already preconceived theory and ignoring all other factors. Much like a lot of climate science. The GCP scientists claim that they detected a strong anomaly related to the 911 terror attacks. However the anomaly began several hours before the first attack which surely would make any objective scientist
question the hypothesis. Instead the GCP ‘scientists’ state that this is evidence for some sort of ‘global precognitive ability.’ Science that forbids skepticism and debate should always be treated with great caution.

But what other evidence can we find to link the search for global consciousness with the GaiaMind. Well we don’t have to look very far. The list of ‘poetic quotes’ on the GCP website you can soon determine the real intent of the project. Here are a few to give you an idea (remember these are taken straight off the GCP website!):

"The Age of Nations is past. The task before us now, if we would not perish, is to build the Earth."

"It is good to honor the Goddess. When she smiles upon you, all things are enriched and prosper."

"Collective wisdom - wisdom larger than one individual's insight - draws near in such moments, as if to say, "Here is a fertile field of people. Here are tenders of spirit. Let's plant this creative possibility, so needed now on earth, right here."

"Breathe OUT love, light and gratitude, into Mother Earth, out to Father Sky, and into the hearts and minds of every living thing, friend and foe, experiencing the space in me and around me being conditioned by the love-light-gratitude as a gift from the I AM Presence."

"Human beings and all living things are a coalescence of energy in a field of energy connected to every other thing in the world. This pulsating energy field is the central engine of our being and our consciousness, the alpha and the omega of our existence. 'The field,' as Einstein once succinctly put it, 'is the only reality."

"We are all beings of energy, and are connected to one another by this energy. Once we observe this energy, we can realize that it is on the same continuum as beauty. These are phenomena that cannot be studied unless you suspend or bracket your skepticism and try every way possible to perceive them."

"In becoming planetized, humanity is acquiring new physical powers that will enable it to super-organize matter. And, even more important, is it not possible that by the direct converging of its members it will be able, as though by resonance, to release psychic powers whose existence is still unsuspected?"

The page ends with this statement “It will in the end be poems, or beautiful photographs, that give some feeling for this quest to create a meaningful link to Mother Earth in the shape of scientific work.” So there you have it. This famous project involving many PhD scientists, and run by one of the top universities in the United States, is just another New Age attempt to deceive people into believing that the earth is some sort of divine sentient organism. Even more frightening the project is closely linked to the Club of Rome. Remember who they are? Al Gore, Tony Blair, Bill Clinton, Ted Turner, George
Soros, Bill Gates, Maurice Strong, Javier Solana, David Rockefeller, Mikhail Gorbachev etc.

Any day now I almost expect to hear Al Gore announce “The vast majority of the world’s scientists agree that we are all connected to our Mother Earth, and each other, by a divine global consciousness!” If you need more convincing then I encourage you to take a look at the links page on the GCP site. Basically a collection of New Age earth worship sites. Below are excerpts copied from the some of the sites that are linked from the GCP:

“Although human societies have confronted major problems throughout history, the challenges of our era are unique in one crucial respect - they now embrace the entire Earth as a whole system. Never before has humanity been on the verge of devastating the Earth’s biosphere and crippling its ecological foundations for countless generations to come. Never before has the entire human family been required to work together to build a sustainable and meaningful future. Never before have so many people been called to make such sweeping changes in so little time.” – link

“If Gaia is to become conscious, we are her means of consciousness. If the earth is to become conscious through us, we must be identified with all the earth, not just the human race. We must not be dissociated from the biosphere. This means expanding our sense of individual identity even beyond humanity to encompass the natural world. We need to move beyond global identification to earth identification, beyond global consciousness to earth consciousness. I am suggesting that humanity as a whole may now be approaching this turning point in our evolution, that Gaia may soon wake up. Just as human beings had to evolve a brain and then a neocortex in order to develop self-reflexive consciousness, Gaia must do the same. The earth has developed its neocortex in the human race and our technologies, and now humanity must develop the global social structures and institutions to play the role of coordinating system in the global brain.” - link

“The Global Oneness Commitment is an eight-year project with the goal of uniting people around the globe to mutual actions in order to not only save what we have, but to transform the planet thru an increase in spiritual awareness - a new consciousness creating a joyful home for all its inhabitants and sincere respect for all forms of life. The project is synchronised with the twin Venus Transits of 2004 and 2012. It starts with the first Venus transit of June 8th 2004 and ends with the second Transit June 6th 2012.” - link

“Conscious Evolution is the worldview that has arisen precisely at this moment in history to deal with the new human condition. It is a vision and a direction to help us navigate through this transitional period to the next stage of human evolution. As Einstein admonished, humankind cannot solve its problems from the same place of consciousness in which we created them. A new place of consciousness is required. The promise of Conscious Evolution is nothing less than the emergence of a universal humanity capable of its guiding its own evolution into a future of unimaginable cocreativity.” - link

“This visual of Planet Earth symbolizes a visionary one-planetary civilization that transcends all religious, sectarian, factional, ethnic, political, nationalistic, economic,
conceptual and cultural structures which presently divide us. From this perspective, there is only oneness.” - link

Global consciousness, much like Global Warming, is just a cynical manipulation of science in order to promote a much deeper agenda. The ‘climate crisis’ has already morphed from an environmental problem into a ‘moral and spiritual issue.’ The whole doctrine of sustainability and global warming has been designed to engender a sense of collective guilt, and the only solution to the perceived crisis is for humanity to develop a collective conscience. The Club of Rome is pushing this issue hard. In 1996 the Club of Budapest released **THE MANIFESTO ON THE SPIRIT OF PLANETARY CONSCIOUSNESS** which was signed by 16 global environmental leaders, 12 of which are CoR members!

Another phrase the CoR is fond of using is the urgent need to develop a ‘Global Ethic.’ Prof Hans Kung, a long-time CoR member, founded the **Global Ethic Foundation**. They state - “We pledge to work for such transformation in individual and collective consciousness, for the awakening of our spiritual powers through reflection, meditation, prayer, or positive thinking, for a conversion of the heart. Our earth cannot be changed unless in the not too distant future an alteration in the consciousness of individuals is achieved. We believe that the growing emergence of global consciousness, which is reflected in recent efforts to formulate a global ethic, is an indicator that such a transformation has begun.”

I know that I rave on about the CoR but it’s not what the CoR is that I find so fascinating; it’s who the CoR is. When I read what they proposed 20 years ago and then see it unfolding before my eyes I have to take their proposals for developing a global consciousness in order to reconnect with Gaia very seriously. But what they don’t tell you in all these flowery statements about Gaia and global consciousness is that in order to rejoin “the commonwealth of all creatures” we are going to have to endure a “wrenching transformation” which will include the culling of 5 billion humans. Somehow I don’t think the CoR elitists will be the ones who have to die, it will be us useless eaters. The whole Gaia, Global Warming, Global Governance, Global Consciousness agenda is just a neo-pagan death cult disguised as science and New Age philosophy:

“It may be that the destiny of mankind is to become tamed, so that the fierce, destructive, and greedy forces of tribalism and nationalism are fused into a compulsive urge to belong to the commonwealth of all creatures which constitutes Gaia.” - Sir James Lovelock, Gaia: A New Look At Life

“Minor shifts in policy, moderate improvement in laws and regulations, rhetoric offered in lieu of genuine change - these are all forms of appeasement, designed to satisfy the public’s desire to believe that sacrifice, struggle and a wrenching transformation of society will not be necessary.” - Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?" - Maurice Strong, founder of UNEP
"All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself." - Club of Rome, The First Global Revolution

"Humans on the Earth behave in some ways like a pathogenic micro-organism, or like the cells of a tumor." - Sir James Lovelock, Healing Gaia

"The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man." - Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point

"A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people. We must shift our efforts from the treatment of the symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions." - Prof Paul Ehrlich, The Population Bomb

"... the resultant ideal sustainable population is hence more than 500 million but less than one billion." - Club of Rome, Goals for Mankind

"A reasonable estimate for an industrialized world society at the present North American material standard of living would be 1 billion. At the more frugal European standard of living, 2 to 3 billion would be possible." - United Nations, Global Biodiversity Assessment

"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal." - Ted Turner, CoR member and major UN donor

"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels." - Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, patron of the World Wildlife Fund
"We require a central organizing principle - one agreed to voluntarily. Minor shifts in policy, moderate improvement in laws and regulations, rhetoric offered in lieu of genuine change - these are all forms of appeasement, designed to satisfy the public’s desire to believe that sacrifice, struggle and a wrenching transformation of society will not be necessary."

- Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

**The Great Shift**

Environmental activists often claim that modern human civilisation is ‘destroying the earth’ and our harmful activities are overwhelming Gaia’s ability to regenerate ‘herself.’ As discussed in my article about the Club of Rome (CoR) the concepts of sustainability and ‘ecological overshoot’ were brought to widespread public attention by the CoR in their 1972 report Limits to Growth. According to their predictions by now we should have run out of many vital resources, including zinc, aluminium and uranium, and be experiencing extreme food and oil shortages.

Despite the obvious failure of their dire warnings to eventuate the CoR, and a plethora of other environmental organisations, have continued to proclaim that the planet is rapidly approaching critical tipping points that will plunge the earth into an ecological collapse and result in the dieoff of thousands of species (including us). Of course the most successful tool they have found to promote this concept is Global Warming. Most other environmental problems are of a local or regional nature, are clearly visible, and can be addressed with appropriate regulations. Global Warming, however, is caused by an invisible trace gas, an essential nutrient for life, and a by-product of almost every human activity (even breathing) but, they claim, it will doom us all!

For more than thirty years the CoR has proclaimed that our modern society is unsustainable and must be replaced by an “interdependent sustainable global society, based on respect and reverence for the Earth.” This theme has become rooted deeply within the environmental movement. There is now a constant and increasingly loud drumbeat for CHANGE. This has coincided with a dramatic escalation in the rhetoric of those eager to pronounce our doom. According to a recent report the use of the word ‘crisis’ in the mainstream media has been increasing by more than 10% per year since 1995.

This psychological warfare has been very successful. Many people now feel afraid and
uncertain. They tell us that soon there will be no more oil, the economy is about to collapse and our children are going to burn. No wonder people are beginning to embrace the ‘green solutions’ that they promise will lead us away from the abyss. Of course this has been the plan all along. The CoR state clearly in their own words:

“Man possesses, for a small moment in his history, the most powerful combination of knowledge, tools, and resources the world has ever known. He has all that is physically necessary to create a totally new form of human society - one that would be built to last for generations. The two missing ingredients are a realistic, long-term goal that can guide mankind to the equilibrium society and the Human Will to achieve that goal.”
- Club of Rome,
Limits to Growth (1972)

“The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself.”
- Club of Rome,
The First Global Revolution (1992)

Fortunately, those pushing the Green Agenda have already developed a range of alternative world systems which they say, will solve the problems that humanity has caused. These proposals go by various names: The Great Shift, The Great Transition, A New Green Deal, The Planetary Phase, The Power Down, The Great Descent etc. However, they all seem to several elements in common: a global management system for world resources, a global currency, replacing nation states with regional unions, population control/reduction, and a return to localised rural lifestyles.

I don’t have time here to analyse all the various proposals so I have decided just to take a look at one concept being promoted by the Club of Budapest (CoB). If you have read my previous article The First Global Revolution then you will recall that the CoB is an organisation formed by the Club of Rome to promote the cultural and spiritual aspects of its agenda. Likewise the CoR formed the Club of Madrid to promote its political agenda.

The Club of Budapest convenes itself under the rather ostentatious title ‘The World Wisdom Council.’ Members include the Dalai Lama, Desmond Tutu, Mikhail Gorbachev, Arthur C. Clarke, Mary Robinson, Jane Goodall, Karan Singh etc. The CoB has established the World Shift Network which has the stated aim of helping to transform the planet earth:
Indisputably the world is undergoing a fundamental transformational process, a global change. Which trend the change will follow is in the hands of those, who have the decisive power to direct and design the change. Maybe the last minute has come where it is possible to stop the downward trend and transform planet Earth into the world, for which the most noble emotional, intellectual and spiritual abilities of humans are meant. Thus the WorldShift Network is ready to help giving birth to a new global culture, deeply imbued with spirit, fully aware of and supporting the evolution of global consciousness, inspired by love, and preserving and fostering life.

http://www.worldshiftnetwork.org/action/index.html

Now this might all sound very nice – ‘inspired by love and fostering life’, but what exactly would this planetary transformation entail? Exactly what sort of world have they planned for you and me? Before we delve into the details of their ‘new global culture’ I would first like to remind the reader that they are trying to sell these ideas and hence they try to make them sound as appealing and idyllic as possible. But I encourage you look behind the words and try to get a sense of the real intention. So let’s have a look at the various aspects of this World Shift:

**The Economy:**

“We support the shift of our economic focus from translational corporate “fusionism” to regional subsistence. Subsistence economy focuses on a “natural” way of living. This is not “back to stone age”. It rather means a spiral, wavelike progress out of the life-destroying habits of today’s so-called civilization and accepting and welcoming the complexity of life.

We support the development of sustainable, decentralized, that is local, high-tech production, combined with local use of local resources. and the redesign of our monetary system according to a fourfold model: 1) economy of gifting (a basic matriarchal feature), 2) counter-trade (barter) economy, 3) complementary local monetary systems for regional trade, and 4) unified currency (for example called “terra”) for interregional and global trade. In our eyes compound interest has to be abolished. Also the concept of “owning” land must be reconsidered.”

http://www.worldshiftnetwork.org/action/subsistence.html

Hmmm ... so they want us to live in a ‘subsistence economy’ based on gifting, bartering and ‘natural living’. It won’t be the Stone Age they happily report – probably more like the Dark Ages. Compound interest and owning land would be banned. Capitalism dead at last! No doubt we would all be living in communes. There would be regional currencies and a single global currency for inter-regional and global trade. This implies the absence of nation states in favour of regional unions, probably under some sort of global government.

**Health:**
“In many cultures which have survived "civilization“ and industrialization, now threatened by globalization, healers and educators (shamans) are looking for the origins of health instead. Coherence again is the basic idea. This goes parallel with the postulation of the World Health Organization (WHO), which states that an essential ingredient to health is a highly developed spirituality.”

http://www.worldshiftnetwork.org/action/salutogenesis.html

Riiiight ... so healthcare would revert back to healers and shamans from cultures that have 'survived industrialisation.' Instead of a prescription we will be told to work on our 'spirituality.'

Society:

“We support the transition from today's parliamentary democratic systems to participative democratic civil societies all over the world, which are no longer ruled by politicians, but wisely administered by wisdom-educated professionals, based on the fundaments of egalitarian consensus principles. In a participative civil society the common guidelines are maintained by parliaments of prudent delegates, who don’t possess political power, but present and negotiate the will of their mandating regions instead.

Participation means to encourage and to empower everyone who wants to contribute to the welfare of civil society. We want to reframe the word “citizen” so that we don’t always have to put “planetary” in front of it to signify that we speak of citizens of the earth, a privilege we all share by birth. Civil society in this respect equals the super-organism humankind.”

http://www.worldshiftnetwork.org/action/society.html

OK … so instead of elected officials that we can toss out, we will now be ruled by “wisdom-educated professionals.” No doubt the CoB members consider themselves to be very wise and would be more than happy to accept the job. Of course their decisions would be based on ‘consensus’ (hmm … where have I heard that before?). Notice the ‘mandating regions’ instead of countries or states and the super-organism humankind (Gaia anyone?)

The Environment:

“Nature is an immanent quality of this planet, it is the intrinsic way Gaia expresses herself. Nature is not outside of us. We humans are inside of nature, and nature is inside of us. There is nothing else but nature wherever we look. If we accept that something like spirit and soul resides in us and if it is true that we don’t consist of anything else than nature, then of course something like spirit and soul must reside in the tiniest bit and in the whole of nature too.

In the light of contemporary field concepts and of an understanding of the whole as an
eternal ocean of information, concepts of segregation and separation appear direly wrong. The idea from where we start is coherence, taking into account that we are neural beings who are only capable to think what our embodied mind permits."

http://www.worldshiftnetwork.org/action/unity.html

Here we go … the basic premise behind many of these green transformation groups is that ‘modern humans’ has rebelled and become separated from Nature, and we must return and once again become part of the ‘great commonwealth of Gaia’ (to use Lovelock’s words). To many promoting the green agenda this is their religion. It is not about science or environmental protection – it is about implementing their form of New Age religion.

Culture:

“We support the shift from brain-focused knowledge, which is based on the metaphor of problems, to heart-cantered wisdom, which connects us with values, vision, and knowing. 300 years ago in the western world Enlightenment discovered individuality and reason and addressed the magical and irrational beliefs of the crowd, but it didn’t deal with the no less irrational beliefs of the accepted religion. Instead Enlightenment has put itself in the place of religion and created new superstitions like positivism, materialism, capitalism and atheism.

Now Enlightenment has to make a second step and open up for "the physics of the non-material world". Also it must integrate the perspectives of non-western cultures which have been left out by the first step of Enlightenment or suffered from its colonialist and technocratic misinterpretation. Different from the world’s teachings about wisdom throughout time, wisdom itself cannot be taught. But we can provide the cultural environment in which wisdom will prosper. This very process is in itself an act of wisdom.”

http://www.worldshiftnetwork.org/action/globalwisdom.html

So … here we can see that they aren’t anti-religion, atheism is a superstition. But to them the only true religion is earth-wisdom (which apparently can’t be taught, only experienced). We have to shift from using our brains they say, and are apparently leading by example.

Alright, to summarise this brief analysis of the ‘World Shift’ being proposed by the Clubs of Rome and Budapest:

- We will live in a global subsistence economy based on local bartering
- Owning land will be forbidden
- Healthcare will be provided by shamans and natural healers
- We will be ruled by non-elected ‘wisdom professionals’
- Nation states will be replaced by ‘mandating regions’
- Our collective salvation depends on us returning to Gaia
• We need to stop using our brains
• We must ‘open up to the physics of the non-material world’

If you didn’t know who exactly was behind this it would be easy to dismiss it as the ravings of delusion New Age greenies. But this is the agenda of prominent world leaders stated clearly in their own words. Scarily, there are literally thousands of smaller organisations springing up to help implement this vision. A simple google search for ‘the great shift’ will result in some very interesting reading. Here are a few examples:

Awakening Mind
Great Transition Initiative
The Shift in Action
The Great Shift Has Begun
Preparing for the Great Shift
Join the Great Shift
The Great Transition
The Global Marshall Plan
Planetization

Interestingly, the Club of Budapest has recently declared a State of Global Emergency. They have now decided that we only have four or five years left to make the Great Shift. I have no idea what science they base them claims on. It seems they prefer to rely on ancient Mayan prophecies. I’ll provide a snippet from their 24-page ‘declaration. You can read the whole document here.

“If we continue on our present unsustainable path, by mid-century the Earth may become largely uninhabitable for human and most other forms of life. Such a total systems collapse could occur much sooner, however, due to runaway global warming or other ecocatastrophes, and/or by nuclear wars triggered by religious, ethnic or geopolitical conflicts or access to diminishing natural resources. The macro-trends driving these global threats and challenges have been apparent for decades and are now building toward a threshold of irreversibility. The scientific modelling of complex systems shows that when systems reach a state of critical instability, they either break down to their components or break through to a higher order of integral functioning. At these “points of no return” maintaining the status quo, or returning to a previous mode of organization and functioning, are not a feasible option.

The acceleration of critical trends and cross-impacts among them indicates that the ‘window of opportunity’ for pulling out of the present global crisis and breaking through to a more peaceful and sustainable world is likely to be no more than four to five years from the end of 2008. This is close in time to the Mayan 2012 prophecy for the end of the current world. The period around the end of 2012 is likely to be a turbulent one for this
and other reasons. Predictions coming from the physical sciences foresee disturbances in the geomagnetic, electromagnetic and related fields that embed the planet causing significant damage to telecommunications and impacting many aspects of human activity and health. For the esoteric traditions the end of 2012 will be the end of the known world, although the more optimistic interpretations speak of a new world taking the place of the old."

One of the most deceptive and frustrating aspects of the whole global warming/energy independence agenda is the implication that we can retain even a semblance of our modern lifestyles if we eliminate the use of fossil fuels. At least the Club of Budapest is honest enough to admit that we would have to revert to a subsistence economy, as currently experienced in many third-world countries. A very good article analysing how much energy solar panels and wind turbine can actually provide is The Great Energy Delusion written by an engineering professor. You can read more on the ‘green economy’ here.
"Building an environmentally sustainable future requires nothing short of a REVOLUTION... restructuring the GLOBAL ECONOMY, dramatically changing human reproductive behaviour and altering values and lifestyles."

- Lester Brown,
President of WorldWatch International

Sustainable Development – A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing

On my Home Page the influential leaders who have been actively guiding the emergence of the environmental movement described their true beliefs and agenda in their own words. But how can they possibly bring about the global political, economic, social and religious transformation they desire? The tool employed must be so potent and pervasive that it reaches into every area of society, from local community groups to sovereign governments and multinational corporations. It must have the power to enforce binding international agreements, exert stringent controls over human activities and yet still be acceptable to the general population. It must become so entrenched in legislation and business practice that its necessity is barely questioned.

Such a tool exists. They have been carefully shaping and nurturing its progress for decades. It is known as the doctrine of Sustainable Development. We are all aware of need to address environmental problems such as water and air pollution, and dwindling natural resources, but Sustainable Development is exerting draconian controls and influence far beyond those required for effective environmental management.

The concept of 'environmental sustainability' was first brought to widespread public attention in 1972 by the Club of Rome in their book entitled The Limits to Growth. The official summary can be read here. The report basically concluded that the growth of the human population, and an increase in prosperity, would cause an ecological collapse within the fifty years. The book is considered to be the most successful environmental publication ever produced and propelled the Club of Rome to its current position of an environmental thought-leader and a major consultant to the United Nations.

It has been translated into more than forty languages and sold more than 15 million copies. Throughout the 1970s and 80s the concept that humanity was irreparably damaging the earth gained credence and facilitated the formation of mainstream and activist environmental groups. As discussed in a previous article the Club of Rome has been calling for “a Masterplan to guide world development” since its very inception.
In Nature organic growth proceeds according to a Master Plan, a Blueprint. According to this master plan diversification among cells is determined by the requirements of the various organs; the size and shape of the organs and, therefore, their growth processes are determined by their function, which in turn depends on the needs of the whole organism. Such a ‘master plan’ is missing from the process of growth and development of the world system. Now is the time to draw up a master plan for organic sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all finite resources and a new global economic system. ” - Mankind at the Turning Point, CoR, 1974

Interestingly, just prior to the birth of “Sustainable Development” a well-dressed, articulate man visited a small construction company in Georgia, USA, and announced that he wanted to build an edifice to transmit a message to mankind. He said that he represented a group of men who wanted to offer direction to humanity, but to date, more than two decades later, no one knows who he really was, or who he represented. The stranger gave the company very detailed design documents and stated the money was not an issue.

The “Georgia Guidestones” were completed six months later in 1980. As noted in the Wikipedia entry “The content of the message bears a remarkable resemblance to the so called Earth Charter, a statement of vision of the Earth Charter Initiative of Mikhail Gorbachev (Green Cross International) and Maurice Strong (Earth Summit)."

The monument stands high on a hilltop, and is almost twenty feet tall. It is made from five granite slabs that weigh more than 100 tons, with a capstone connecting the slabs. A message consisting of a set of ten guidelines or principles is engraved on the Georgia Guidestones in eight different languages, one language on each face of the four large upright stones. Moving clockwise around the monument from due north, these languages are: English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese and Russian. The message in English reads:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely - improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion - faith - tradition - and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth - beauty - love - seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth - Leave room for nature.
A shorter message appears on the four vertical surfaces of the capstone, again in a different language and script on each face. The explanatory tablet near the Guidestones identifies these languages/scripts as Babylonian Cuneiform (north), Classical Greek (east), Sanskrit (south), and Egyptian Hieroglyphs (west), and provides what is presumably an English translation: "Let these be guidestones to an age of reason." The Guidestones have become famous as 'America's Stonehenge'. The origin of the Stones remains a mystery but the implications of these guidelines, especially the first two, are disturbing to say the least.

Sustainable Development is a doctrine devised by the former Prime Minister of Norway Gro Harlem Brundtland. The UN Secretary-General, Javier Perez de Cuellar, asked Mrs. Brundtland to chair a World Commission focusing on "long-term environmental strategies for achieving sustainable development by the year 2000 and beyond." She was asked "to help formulate a compelling call for political action on behalf of the environment". Members of the 'Brundtland Commission' came from 21 nations, more than half in the developing world. After three years, including public hearings in the capitals of 15 countries, what now is often called simply the 'Brundtland Commission' published a report titled Our Common Future.

"Over the course of this century, the relationship between the human world and the planet that sustains it has undergone a profound change," said the report. "When the century began, neither human numbers or technology had the power radically to alter planetary systems. As the century closes, not only do vastly increased human numbers and their activities have that power, but major, unintended changes are occurring in the atmosphere, in soils, in water, among plants and animals, and in the relationships among all of these. The rate of change is outstripping the ability of scientific disciplines and our current capabilities to assess and advise."

This sentiment strongly echoes the Limits to Growth published by the Club of Rome nearly twenty years previously. It also surmised that "major, unintended changes are occurring in the atmosphere, in soils, in waters, among plants and animals. Nature is bountiful but it is also fragile and finely balanced. There are thresholds that cannot be crossed without endangering the basic integrity of the system. Today we are close to many of those thresholds."

In issuing a call for various actions, the report offered a now-famous definition of what it referred to as sustainable development: "A form of development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." The Brundtland Commission called for an international conference to be convened "within an appropriate period" after the presentation of its report to review progress and create a follow-up structure.

That conference, the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, or Earth Summit, was held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992. At the Rio 'Earth Summit', representatives of more than 170 nations, including the United States, agreed to work toward sustainable development of the planet. More specific agreements, most not legally
binding, focused on topics of global significance such as climate change, loss of biodiversity, management of the earth’s forests and the responsibilities and rights of nations. A global plan of action developed in Rio was titled Agenda 21, referring to the 21st century.

At the opening session of the Rio Earth Summit Maurice Strong, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) Secretary-General, bemoaned the world’s "explosive increase in Population" and warned "we have been the most successful species ever; we are now a species out of control. Population must be stabilized and rapidly." His speech also stated that "current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable. A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations."

Mr Strong has since stated that “The United States is the greatest threat to the global environment. It is guilty of environmental aggression against the planet" and “Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

Sustainable Development, as outlined in Agenda 21 and the subsequent Earth Charter, is the driving force behind what Al Gore calls a "wrenching transformation" that society must endure to repair what he perceives as the damage of the 20th century's Industrial Revolution. It is the same Industrial Revolution that gave us modern transportation, medicine, indoor plumbing, healthy drinking water, central heating, air conditioning, and electric light. Sustainable Development is not about environmental clean up of rivers, air and litter. It is an all-encompassing socialist scheme to combine social welfare programs with government control of private business, socialized medicine, national zoning controls of private property and restructuring of school curriculum which serves to indoctrinate children into politically correct group think.

Immediately following the publication of Brundtland Commission report and the Earth Summit many governments swiftly enacted draconian legislation to empower the Sustainable Development doctrine. This followed a common formula of establishing regional or federal authorities that were given sweeping powers to control activities on private property. In Europe nearly every imaginable activity, no matter how benign, now requires and environmental impact assessment to be submitted to a committee which then imposes its own controls on the proposed activity. The UN regularly audits member countries and reports on their progress in implementing Agenda 21.

The primary tools used by the UN to force governments to implement its Sustainable Development agenda have been The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). The World Bank states that Sustainable Development is its “global strategic priority” and all government loans are tagged with the requirement to introduce approved environmental legislation and strict monitoring. Even if repayments are met these loans can be foreclosed if the environmental targets are not met within the required timeframe.
In his book, Earth in the Balance, Al Gore insists "We must all become partners in a bold effort to change the very foundation of our civilization. We must make the rescue of the environment the central organizing principle for civilization." Sustainable Development advocates seek oppressive taxes to control and punish behavior of which they don't approve and there is much these advocates disapprove, including air conditioning, fast foods, suburban housing and automobiles. Every aspect of our lives is affected by Sustainable Development policies. It is top-down control from an all-powerful central government, specifically the United Nations which seeks to assert such control.

The philosophy behind Sustainable Development is to foster a mentality of guilt in people over the use of natural resources. Every time one starts their car... every time one turns on the tap... remember, be sustainable! Don't exceed your allotment of resources.... We all must learn to live the same, think the same and most importantly... be sustainable! We are encouraged to calculate our 'ecological footprint', or more recently, our 'carbon footprint'. Using a humble incandescent light bulb is now considered a crime against the planet by some. During the recent Earth Hour there were people in my city banging on their neighbours door telling them to switch off their lights. This collective guilt trip is being used to develop the global consciousness that I discussed in my previous article. Even back in 1974 the Club of Rome stated in Mankind at the Turning Point:

"A world consciousness must be developed through which every individual realizes his role as a member of the world community... If the human species is to survive, man must develop a sense of identification with future generations and be ready to trade benefits to the next generations for the benefits to himself. If each generation aims at maximum good for itself, Homo sapiens are as good as doomed "

The next revolution in the Sustainable Development saga appears to be the use of Global Warming hysteria to implement a global carbon tax or carbon credit trading system. This will give the United Nations, or whatever hierarchy oversees the system, complete control of the worlds economy. Fossil fuels are the life blood of any economy. One barrel of oil contains 23,000 hours of human work output. Controlling the amount of oil that can be consumed, and taxing its consumption, will complete the Sustainable Development agenda of controlling and reducing human activity in order to protect Mother Earth from her greatest enemy – humans!

"Humans on the Earth behave in some ways like a pathogenic micro-organism, or like the cells of a tumor."

- Sir James Lovelock,
Healing Gaia: Practical Medicine for the Planet
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 3.2 – AGENDA 21]

"Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level."
- excerpt, UN Agenda 21

Agenda 21 – The UN Blueprint for the 21st Century

As described in my previous article on Sustainable Development, Agenda 21 was the main outcome of the United Nation's Earth Summit held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. Agenda 21 outlines, in detail, the UN's vision for a centrally managed global society. This contract binds governments around the world to the United Nation's plan for controlling the way we live, eat, learn, move and communicate - all under the noble banner of saving the earth. If fully implemented, Agenda 21 would have the government involved in every aspect of life of every human on earth.

Agenda 21 spreads it tentacles from Governments, to federal and local authorities, and right down to community groups. Chapter 28 of Agenda 21 specifically calls for each community to formulate its own Local Agenda 21: "Each local authority should enter into a dialogue with its citizens, local organizations, and private enterprises to formulate 'a Local Agenda 21.' Through consultation and consensus-building, local authorities would learn from citizens and from local, civic, community, business and industrial organizations and acquire the information needed for formulating the best strategies." - Agenda 21, Chapter 28, sec 1.3

Interestingly, in April 1991, fourteen months before Earth Summit, Prince Charles held a private two day international conference aboard the royal yacht Britannia, moored off the coast of Brazil. His goal was to bring together key international figures in an attempt to achieve a degree of harmony between the various countries that would gather at the Summit. Al Gore was present, along with senior officials from the United Nations and the World Bank.

At the summit 179 nations officially signed Agenda 21 and many more have followed since. Nearly 12,000 local and federal authorities have legally committed themselves to the Agenda. In practice this means that all their plans and policies must begin with an assessment of how the plan or policy meets the requirements of Agenda 21, and no plans or policies are allowed to contradict any part of the Agenda. Local authorities are audited by UN inspectors and the results of the audits are placed on the UN website. You can see
how many local authorities in your country were bound by Agenda 21 in 2001 here. The number has increased significantly since then.

The official opening ceremony was conducted by the Dalai Lama and centered around a Viking long-ship that was constructed to celebrate the summit and sailed to Rio from Norway. The ship was appropriately named Gaia. A huge mural of a beautiful woman holding the earth within her hands adorned the entrance to the summit. Al Gore lead the US delegation where he was joined by 110 Heads of State, and representatives of more than 800 NGO's.

Maurice Strong, Club of Rome member, devout Bahai, founder and first Secretary General of UNEP, has been the driving force behind the birth and imposition of Agenda 21. While he chaired the Earth Summit, outside his wife Hanne and 300 followers called the Wisdom-Keepers, continuously beat drums, chanted prayers to Gaia, and trended scared flames in order to “establish and hold the energy field” for the duration of the summit. You can view actual footage of these ceremonies on YouTube. During the opening speech Maurice Strong made the following statements:

"The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful. The global community must be assured of environmental security." - Link

"It is the responsibility of each human being today to choose between the force of darkness and the force of light. We must therefore transform our attitudes, and adopt a renewed respect for the superior laws of Divine Nature." - Link

"Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable. A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations." - Link

Among other things, the agenda called for a Global Biodiversity Assessment of the State of the Earth. Prepared by the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP), this 1140 page document armed UN leaders with the "ecological basis, and moral authority" they needed to validate their global management system. The GBA concludes on page 863 that "the root causes of the loss of biodiversity are embedded in the way societies use resources. This world view is characteristic of large scale societies, heavily dependent on resources brought from considerable distances. It is a world view that is characterized by the denial of sacred attributes in nature, a characteristic that became firmly established about 2000 years ago with the Judeo-Christian-Islamic religious traditions. Eastern cultures with religious traditions such as Buddhism, Jainism and Hinduism did not depart as drastically from the perspective of humans as members of a community of beings including other living and non-living elements." In other words
Christians and Moslems are to blame for the sorry state of the world because their religions do not involve worshipping "sacred nature."

Following the Earth Summit Maurice Strong was named Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, and was appointed to the position of Chief Policy Advisor by Kofi Annan. He was also a member of the UN's Commission on Global Governance, and the key architect of the Kyoto Protocol. Strong and his wife have also established the Manitou Foundation, providing land in the Colorado to an eclectic mix of religious groups, including the Crestone Mountain Zen Center, the Spiritual Life Institute (a Catholic Carmelite monastery), the Haidakhandi Universal Ashram, the Sri Aurobindo Learning Center, Mangala Shri Bhuti (Tibetan Buddhists), and Karma Thegsum Tashi Gomang (Indian mystics). The Strongs have located their spiritual centre in the Colorado mountains because: "The Strongs learned that since antiquity indigenous peoples had revered this pristine wilderness as a place for conducting their vision quests and receiving shamanic trainings. It is prophesied that the world's religious traditions would gather here and help move the world toward globally conscious co-existence and co-creation."

So what exactly does Agenda 21 contain? It consists of 115 different and very specific programs designed to facilitate, or to force, the transition to Sustainable Development. The objective, clearly enunciated by the leaders of the Earth Summit, is to bring about a change in the present system of independent nations. The agenda is broken up into 8 'programme areas for action':

>> Agriculture
>> Biodiversity and Ecosystem Management
>> Education
>> Energy and Housing
>> Population
>> Public Health
>> Resources and recycling
>> Transportation, Sustainable Economic Development

As you can see Agenda 21 addresses nearly every aspect of modern life. If you have a spare few days the entire document can be read here. I encourage the reader to at least read the Table of Contents in order to understand the true scope of this ‘blueprint for the 21st century.' I won't torture the reader by going into the document in too much depth but I will provide the first six paragraphs so that you can understand the true intent of Agenda 21:

1.1. Humanity stands at a defining moment in history. We are confronted with a perpetuation of disparities between and within nations, a worsening of poverty, hunger, ill health and illiteracy, and the continuing deterioration of the ecosystems on which we depend for our well-being. However, integration of environment and development concerns
and greater attention to them will lead to the fulfilment of basic needs, improved living standards for all, better protected and managed ecosystems and a safer, more prosperous future. No nation can achieve this on its own; but together we can - in a global partnership for sustainable development.

1.2. This global partnership must build on the premises of General Assembly resolution 44/228 of 22 December 1989, which was adopted when the nations of the world called for the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, and on the acceptance of the need to take a balanced and integrated approach to environment and development questions.

1.3. Agenda 21 addresses the pressing problems of today and also aims at preparing the world for the challenges of the next century. It reflects a global consensus and political commitment at the highest level on development and environment cooperation. Its successful implementation is first and foremost the responsibility of Governments. National strategies, plans, policies and processes are crucial in achieving this. International cooperation should support and supplement such national efforts. In this context, the United Nations system has a key role to play. Other international, regional and subregional organizations are also called upon to contribute to this effort. The broadest public participation and the active involvement of the non-governmental organizations and other groups should also be encouraged.

1.4. The developmental and environmental objectives of Agenda 21 will require a substantial flow of new and additional financial resources to developing countries, in order to cover the incremental costs for the actions they have to undertake to deal with global environmental problems and to accelerate sustainable development. Financial resources are also required for strengthening the capacity of international institutions for the implementation of Agenda 21.

1.5. In the implementation of the relevant programme areas identified in Agenda 21, special attention should be given to the particular circumstances facing the economies in transition. It must also be recognized that these countries are facing unprecedented challenges in transforming their economies, in some cases in the midst of considerable social and political tension.

1.6. The programme areas that constitute Agenda 21 are described in terms of the basis for action, objectives, activities and means of implementation. Agenda 21 is a dynamic programme. It will be carried out by the various actors according to the different situations, capacities and priorities of countries and regions in full respect of all the principles contained in the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development. It could evolve over time in the light of changing needs and circumstances. This process marks the beginning of a new global partnership for sustainable development.

Like many ‘green movement initiatives’ Agenda 21 is a ‘wolf in sheep’s clothing’. As explained in my brief biography I have been actively involved in preparing Agenda 21 action plans and monitoring compliance with environmental permits. All the policies and
plans we developed were required to begin with a description of how they met the objectives of Agenda 21 and various other UN agreements, and were audited too determine how they complied with UN requirements. It was these experiences that lead to my research into what was 'behind it all' and the subsequent publication of this website.

Agenda 21 is not an environmental management policy, but an attempt to impose a global centrally planned quasi-government administered by the United Nations. Under Agenda 21 all central government and local authority signatories are required to conform strictly to a common prescribed standard and hence this is just communism resurrected in a new guise. Now that Agenda 21 has gained a stranglehold on global regulatory and planning processes Maurice Strong and his Club of Rome colleagues have moved on to the next phase of the Global Green Agenda.

In association with fellow CoR member Mikhail Gorbachev, Strong co-chaired the committee responsible for drafting the Earth Charter. Compared to the 2500 pages that make up Agenda 21 and the BGA it is a tiny document – only 4 pages long. But it is of far more significance to the Global Green Agenda. The Earth Charter is a “declaration of fundamental principles for building a just, sustainable, and peaceful global society in the 21st century”. It is the constitution for a New Green Order. You can read about it [here](#).
In 1992 Maurice Strong was the Secretary General of the historic United Nations Earth Summit in Rio. In order to ensure the success of the Summit, Strong’s wife, Hanne, held a vigil with the Wisdom-keepers, a group of "global transformationalists." Through round-the-clock sacred fire, drumbeat, and meditation, the group “held the energy pattern” for the duration of the gathering. As described in my previous article Agenda 21 was the primary output of the Summit. It was stated at the time that this ‘blueprint for the 21st century’ would lay the necessary foundation for a subsequent “new global covenant between humanity and the Earth.”

Shortly after the Earth Summit concluded Strong formed the Earth Council, a group of “environmental visionaries and philosophers”, and set about drafting this “new global covenant”. Various draft versions were formulated but the radical and transformative nature of the document met with resistance from more conservative members of the UN bureaucracy. In 1997, the Earth Council and Green Cross International, founded by Mikhail Gorbachev, joined forces to form the Earth Charter Commission. The Commission, co-chaired by Strong and Gorbachev, claims to have consulted more than 100,000 “concerned world citizens” around the globe. The twenty-three members of the Commission, which includes nine Club of Rome members, claim to have “mediated prayerfully over every word and comma”. I wonder who they were praying too?

The Earth Charter Commission approved the final text of the Earth Charter in 2000, and it has since been embraced by the United Nations, many religious leaders around the world, the majority of world governments and countless Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO’s) and activist groups. Following the release of the Charter a series of international forums, called The Earth Dialogues were held at the United Nations to discuss how the general public could be convinced to adopt the “covenant with the Earth” in a real and personal way.

Perhaps most revealing was the forum for Inter-Religious Groups and Spiritual Leaders. As stated in the forums official meeting minutes, the intent was to deal with “the ethics of intolerant righteousness and the greed of short term gain, as these cannot lead us to sustainable development. It is clear that our religious institutions have barely begun
to articulate the core values of sustainable development. In their fundamentalist -fanatical forms, religions throughout history have justified terrorism, jihads and crusades against people who hold different beliefs and against the Earth itself." So we can clearly see who they consider their enemy to be.

While supporters of the Earth Charter consider traditional mono-theistic religions to be the main obstacle to peaceful coexistence and sustainable life on Earth, they do not propose doing away with spirituality. The Earth Charter goes into detail about the need for faith and spirituality in human life. The preamble of the charter states "the spirit of human solidarity and kinship with all life is strengthened when we live with reverence for the mystery of being, gratitude for the gift of life, and humility regarding the human place in nature."

So what exactly does this Earth Charter contain? Compared to most UN publications it is very short, only four pages long, direct, and to the point. It clearly lays out the Constitution for a New Green Order. "The choice is ours," it states, "form a global partnership to care for Earth and one another or risk the destruction of ourselves and the diversity of life. Fundamental changes are needed in our values, institutions, and ways of living."

The Charter can be read at the official Earth Charter website. The site describes the Charter as “a declaration of fundamental values and principles for building a just, sustainable, and peaceful global society in the 21st century. Created by the largest global consultation process ever associated with an international declaration, endorsed by thousands of organizations representing millions of individuals, the Earth Charter seeks to inspire in all peoples a sense of global interdependence and shared responsibility for the well-being of the human family and the larger living world. It is an expression of hope and a call to help create a global partnership at a critical juncture in history."

The document begins with a preamble which summarises the problems with the “global situation”, why an Earth Charter is needed to address these problems and the solutions the Charter will provide. It then lists 16 overarching principles that it claims should govern life on this planet. The Charter concludes with a series of closing statement entitled The Way Forward. Rather than going through the whole document line by line I will just provide the reader with the preamble and closing statement which, in effect, summarise the other parts of the Charter. Keep in mind as you read the following text, this is not the ramblings of some idealistic environmental group. It is a covenant that has been officially endorsed by the UNCED, UNESCO, UNEP, many governments, and thousands of smaller organisations.

PREAMBLE

We stand at a critical moment in Earth's history, a time when humanity must choose its future. As the world becomes increasingly interdependent and fragile, the future at once holds great peril and great promise. To move forward we must recognize that in the midst of a magnificent diversity of cultures and life forms we are one human family and one Earth community with a common destiny. We must join together to bring forth
a sustainable global society founded on respect for nature, universal human rights, economic justice, and a culture of peace. Towards this end, it is imperative that we, the peoples of Earth, declare our responsibility to one another, to the greater community of life, and to future generations.

**Earth, Our Home**

Humanity is part of a vast evolving universe. Earth, our home, is alive with a unique community of life. The forces of nature make existence a demanding and uncertain adventure, but Earth has provided the conditions essential to life’s evolution. The resilience of the community of life and the well-being of humanity depend upon preserving a healthy biosphere with all its ecological systems, a rich variety of plants and animals, fertile soils, pure waters, and clean air. The global environment with its finite resources is a common concern of all peoples. The protection of Earth’s vitality, diversity, and beauty is a sacred trust.

**The Global Situation**

The dominant patterns of production and consumption are causing environmental devastation, the depletion of resources, and a massive extinction of species. Communities are being undermined. The benefits of development are not shared equitably and the gap between rich and poor is widening. Injustice, poverty, ignorance, and violent conflict are widespread and the cause of great suffering. An unprecedented rise in human population has overburdened ecological and social systems. The foundations of global security are threatened. These trends are perilous—but not inevitable.

**The Challenges Ahead**

The choice is ours: form a global partnership to care for Earth and one another or risk the destruction of ourselves and the diversity of life. Fundamental changes are needed in our values, institutions, and ways of living. We must realize that when basic needs have been met, human development is primarily about being more, not having more. We have the knowledge and technology to provide for all and to reduce our impacts on the environment. The emergence of a global civil society is creating new opportunities to build a democratic and humane world. Our environmental, economic, political, social, and spiritual challenges are interconnected, and together we can forge inclusive solutions.

**Universal Responsibility**

To realize these aspirations, we must decide to live with a sense of universal responsibility, identifying ourselves with the whole Earth community as well as our local communities. We are at once citizens of different nations and of one world in which the local and global are linked. Everyone shares responsibility for the present and future well-being of the human family and the larger living world. The spirit of human solidarity and kinship with all life is strengthened when we live with reverence for the mystery of being, gratitude for the gift of life, and humility regarding the human place in nature. We urgently need a shared vision of basic values to provide an ethical foundation for the emerging world community. Therefore, together in hope we affirm the following interdependent principles for a sustainable way of life as a common standard by which the conduct of all individuals,
organizations, businesses, governments, and transnational institutions is to be guided and assessed.

THE WAY FORWARD

As never before in history, common destiny beckons us to seek a new beginning. Such renewal is the promise of these Earth Charter principles. To fulfill this promise, we must commit ourselves to adopt and promote the values and objectives of the Charter. This requires a change of mind and heart. It requires a new sense of global interdependence and universal responsibility. We must imaginatively develop and apply the vision of a sustainable way of life locally, nationally, regionally, and globally. Our cultural diversity is a precious heritage and different cultures will find their own distinctive ways to realize the vision. We must deepen and expand the global dialogue that generated the Earth Charter, for we have much to learn from the ongoing collaborative search for truth and wisdom.

Life often involves tensions between important values. This can mean difficult choices. However, we must find ways to harmonize diversity with unity, the exercise of freedom with the common good, short-term objectives with long-term goals. Every individual, family, organization, and community has a vital role to play. The arts, sciences, religions, educational institutions, media, businesses, nongovernmental organizations, and governments are all called to offer creative leadership. The partnership of government, civil society, and business is essential for effective governance.

In order to build a sustainable global community, the nations of the world must renew their commitment to the United Nations, fulfill their obligations under existing international agreements, and support the implementation of Earth Charter principles with an international legally binding instrument on environment and development. Let ours be a time remembered for the awakening of a new reverence for life, the firm resolve to achieve sustainability, the quickening of the struggle for justice and peace, and the joyful celebration of life.

Now you may be thinking this all sounds very nice and reasonable. Why not join together in a joyful celebration of life. But the real intent behind the Earth Charter, and the Global Green Agenda in general, is to eliminate national sovereignty and place all humanity under the control of a single 'Earth Government.' They clearly and explicitly state that this is their goal:

"In my view, after fifty years of service in the United Nations system, I perceive the utmost urgency and absolute necessity for proper Earth government ... There is no shadow of a doubt that the present political and economic systems - if systems they are - are no longer appropriate and will lead to the end of life evolution on this planet. We must therefore absolutely and urgently look for new ways. The less we lose time, the less species' and nature will be destroyed.

Since the United Nations is the only world-wide, universal organization that is
presently available, since it had fifty years of valuable experience and many successes, since it paved the way to proper Earth government, instead of putting it on the defensive, unjustified attacks and criticism, reduction of resources and non-payment of obligatory contributions, governments should honestly ask themselves if a better way would not be to consider a second generation United Nations upgraded by a true quantum jump into a proper Earth preserving and human well-being and justice ensuring organization of our planet.

The continental approach to a world union remains an important avenue. One could conceive five continental unions: the European Union, an American, an African, an Asian, and an Australian Union. A World Union could be constructed as a super-structure and common political, economic and environmental instrument to achieve these objectives."

from - The Earth Charter in Action

Unlike Agenda 21, which is a hard law document, the Earth Charter lays out the principles which laws and regulations will have to promote and enforce. The Charter "was drafted in coordination with a hard law treaty that is designed to provide an integrated legal framework for all environment development law and policy." This hard law treaty is called the International Covenant on Environment and Development and is being prepared by the Commission on Environmental Law at the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), a behemoth agency which oversees 700+ governmental agencies worldwide. Interestingly, Maurice Strong (busy man!) is on the IUCN's Board of Directors.

Again, unlike Agenda 21, the Earth Charter is not being forced on local communities from above. The United Nations is quietly fostering a grass-roots mainstream movement where people personally commit themselves to the Charter. They believe that this personal commitment will be necessary to bring about the societal transformation that the Charter requires. The primary tool being used to permeate society with awareness and acceptance of the Charter is the Earth Charter Initiative. This is another brain-child of Strong and Gorbachev.

According to their own description “The Earth Charter Initiative is the collective name for an extraordinarily diverse, global network of people, organizations, and institutions who participate in promoting the Earth Charter, and in implementing its principles in practice. The Initiative is a broad-based, voluntary, civil society effort, but participants include leading international institutions, national governments, university associations, NGOs, cities, faith groups, and many well-known leaders in sustainable development.”
The Earth Charter Initiative is located in, and managed by, the United Nations University of Peace. The governing council of this University contains some very interesting names. Many of its top academics are members of the Club of Rome. In fact the infamous Maurice Strong is the President of the University and its Rector, Martin Lees, is the Secretary General of the CoR! The founder and current Chancellor of the University is Robert Muller, former Assistant-Secretary of the United Nations, and its #2 ranked official. I strongly urge the reader to research Mr Muller’s vision for the world as outlined on his websites http://www.robertmuller.org/ and http://www.goodmorningworld.org/. Here are some snippets to whet your appetite:

"Little by little a planetary prayer book is thus being composed by an increasingly united humanity seeking its oneness. Once again, but this time on a universal scale, humankind is seeking no less than its reunion with 'divine,' its transcendence into higher forms of life. Hindus call our earth Brahma, or God, for they rightly see no difference between our earth and the divine. This ancient simple truth is slowly dawning again upon humanity, as we are about to enter our cosmic age and become what we were always meant to be: the planet of god."

- Robert Muller, former UN Assistant Secretary General and Chancellor of the UN University for Peace

“What an incredible planet in the universe this will be when we will be one human family living in justice, peace, love and harmony with our divine Earth, with each other and with the heavens.”

- Robert Muller, former UN Assistant Secretary General

As a final insult, and perhaps to make clear the true intent of their agenda, the original copy of the Earth Charter has been placed in a specially constructed Ark of Hope. Placed within the Ark, along with the Earth Charter, were various items called ‘Temenos Books’ and 'Temenos Earth Masks.' Temenos is a concept adopted by Carl Jung to denote a magic circle, a sacred space where special rules and energies apply. Some of the Temenos Books were created within this magic circle by children, who filled them with visual affirmations for Mother Earth. Fashioned with the "earth elements", the Temenos Earth Masks were also worn and created by children. The outside of the Ark is covered with images depicting native spirits of fire, earth, air and water. If you wish you can read more here. This Ark forms a major part of ‘the Earth Charter outreach programme’ and visits hundreds of schools and universities around the world each year.
The Earth Charter stresses that man is his own saviour. By saving 'Mother Earth' we will once again return to an idyllic Garden of Eden and redeem our tarnished souls. But instead of worshipping the true Creator, the Global Green Agenda seeks to plunge us headlong into an earth-worship new world religion. They are only waiting for “the right crisis” after which they surmise that humanity will gladly sell their souls for the kind of world that the Earth Charter Promises.

“Do not do unto the environment of others what you do not want done to your own environment.

My hope is that this Charter will be a kind of Ten Commandments, a 'Sermon on the Mount', that provides a guide for human behavior toward the environment in the next century.”

- Mikhail Gorbachev
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 3.4 – A UNITED WORLD]

"The concept of national sovereignty has been immutable, indeed a sacred principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation."

- UN Commission on Global Governance

The Final Phase – Global governance

Over the last decade, the United Nations has brazenly been reinventing itself into a global government, striving to obtain the legal framework, financial resources and grassroots support to implement its policies. As outlined in my preceding articles it has effectively seized legal and regulatory control in many countries, through Agenda 21, and developed a Constitution, the Earth Charter, for its vision on a transformed global interdependent society.

In 1992 the UN formed a Commission on Global Governance charged with devising a system of future global management. Second in charge of the Commission, and lead author of its report, was Maurice Strong, with whom readers will be quite familiar by now.

After several years of “extension consultation” with “world leaders, philosophers, and futurologists” the Commission produced a report entitled “Our Global Neighbourhood.”

The report contained many highly controversial recommendations. It was warmly welcomed by activists within the UN but harshly criticised by libertarians around the world who claimed that its recommendations entailed abolishing national sovereignty and suppressing the freedom of individuals. The report specifically denied it was supporting "global government" preferring the term "global governance" but its contents reveal all elements required for a genuine government. Besides, a little reflection yields the following question: How can one have global "governance" without global "government"?

The following are excerpts from Our Global Neighbourhood:

"...countries are having to accept that in certain fields, sovereignty has to be exercised collectively, particularly in respect of the global commons."

"...the principle of sovereignty...must be further adapted to recognize changing realities."

"...there is a need to weigh a state’s right to autonomy against its people's right to security."
"It is time to begin thinking about self-determination in a new context - the emerging context of a global neighbourhood rather than the traditional context of a world of separate states."

"The concept of global security must be broadened from the traditional focus of security of states to include the security of people and the security of the planet."

“Regionalism must precede globalism. We foresee a seamless system of governance from local communities, individual states, regional unions and up through to the United Nations itself.”

"The concept of national sovereignty has been immutable, indeed a sacred principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. What is needed is recognition of the reality that...it is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation-states, however powerful."

One of the primary thrusts of Our Global Neighbourhood was the formation of “regional blocs or unions to enhance political, economic and environmental security”. The report proposed that “Regional Neighbourhoods” be established modelled closely on the successful example of the European Union. Recent years have seen a large number of such regional unions emerging, or being strengthened, including the African Union, The Mediterranean Neighbourhood Partnership, the Gulf States Coalition, and the proposed North American Union. The report also recommended a gradual reduction in the sovereignty of independent states, arguing strongly in favour of international “courts of accountability”, binding global agreements and significantly enhancing the legal authority of the UN. Dr Robert Muller, Assistant Secretary-General of the UN, and co-founder of UNESCO, clearly describes this UN plan for a new 'World Union':

"In my view, after fifty years of service in the United Nations system, I perceive the utmost urgency and absolute necessity for proper Earth government ... There is no shadow of a doubt that the present political and economic systems - if systems they are - are no longer appropriate and will lead to the end of life evolution on this planet. We must therefore absolutely and urgently look for new ways. The less we lose time, the less species and nature will be destroyed.

Since the United Nations is the only world-wide, universal organization that is presently available, since it had fifty years of valuable experience and many successes, since it paved the way to proper Earth government, instead of putting it on the defensive, unjustified attacks and criticism, reduction of resources and non-payment of obligatory contributions, governments should honestly ask themselves if a better way would not be to consider a second generation United Nations upgraded by a true quantum jump into a proper Earth preserving and human well-being and justice ensuring organization of our planet."
The continental approach to a world union remains an important avenue. One could conceive five continental unions: the European Union, an American, an African, an Asian, and an Australian Union. A World Union could be constructed as a super-structure and common political, economic and environmental instrument to achieve these objectives."

from - The Earth Charter in Action

This strategy appears to be based on the Club of Rome's proposal to divide the earth into 10 administrative regions which they outlined in their report Mankind at the Turning Point. You can find their original map in my previous article on that topic. Interestingly the UN is currently in the process of reviewing and reforming the organization, with particular emphasis on the Security Council. Several alternative models have been proposed which will make the Council more 'representative and democratic.' One of these, the Italian Model, proposes replacing the current 10 seats held by individual nations with 10 seats representing 'regional unions', 2 seats for Europe, 3 for Asia etc. You can compare the different proposals here.

Our Global Neighbourhood concluded with 12 key recommendations which I have listed below, and it was these that caused such a furore that the rest of the report barely received a comment. The UN claimed that the report was merely a “visioning exercise” intended to generate discussion and did not represent official UN policy goals. The report was effectively shelved and the Commission was disbanded:

1. Consolidation of all international agencies under the direct oversight of the United Nations.

2. Regulation by the United Nations of all transnational organizations and financial institutions.

3. Independent source of revenue for the United Nations and taxes on aircraft and shipping fuels, and licensing the use of the global commons.

4. Eliminate the veto power and the permanent member status on the Security Council.

5. Authorize a United Nations ready reaction force.

6. Require United Nations registration of all arms and the reduction of national armies as a part of a multilateral global security system under the authority of the United Nations.


8. Activate the International Criminal Court, make the International Court of Justice
compulsory for all nations, and give individuals the right to petition the courts to remedy social injustice.

9. Create a new institution to establish economic and environmental security by ensuring sustainable development.

10. Create a new international environmental court.

11. Adopt a declaration that climate change is an essential global security interest that requires the creation of a high-level action team to allocate carbon emission based on equal per-capita rights.

12. Cancellation of all debt owed by the poorest nations, global poverty reductions, and for equitable sharing of global resources as allocated by the United Nations.

One of Kofi Annan’s first actions when he became Secretary-General of the United Nations was to appoint Maurice Strong as his Senior Policy Advisor. He then tasked Strong with preparing a plan to “reform the institution of the United Nations”. In 2002 Strong produced a 95-page document, entitled Renewing the United Nations: A Programme for Reform, which was basically a step-by-step program to implement many of the recommendations of Our Global Neighbourhood. Many of these reforms have been slowly working their way through UN system. However, after Maurice Strong was indicted for his involvement in the Iraq Oil-for-Food scandal he was forced by pressure from the United States to resign from his UN roles.

The reforms he designed are being implemented by the UN but they have not been the transformation that he desired. It is the opinion of this author that the current bureaucratic and unwieldy structure of the United Nations is unlikely to ever deliver the “global interdependent society” that the Earth Charter, and the Green Movement, is fervently calling for. Most members of the general population are not motivated to change by mere words in a charter, and the majority are likely to resent further intrusion into their lives.

True fundamental change is most often born out of crisis. A common creed among the Green Agenda activists has long been “order out of chaos”. They believe that people from all nations will literally beg for their New World Order if it can promise safety and security at a time when people feel under personal imminent threat. To once again quote the famous words of David Rockefeller “A New World Order is coming … all we need is the right major crisis.” And remember, it was the Rockefeller family that donated the land on which the United Nations now stands.

Thus it seems far more likely that the UN itself will be transformed, most likely after some major international crisis which the UN is unable to prevent or respond to adequately. After all the League of Nations was born after the first truly global crisis, World War 1, and then it was “reformed” into the United Nations following World War 2. However there are some serious impediments to the implementation of the final phase of the Global Green Agenda:
1. **Evangelical Christianity** – True Bible-believing Christians are very likely to resist the imposition of any system of global governance, especially if it based around an earth-centred religion. Christians realise that this earth is temporary and will soon pass away. The Bible specifically warns them that humans will eventually end up “worshipping the creature instead of the Creator.” However the leaders of certain christian denominations seem to have no problem with the Agenda. Pope Benedict proclaimed, during Live Earth that “environmental degradation is a sin, and Global Warming is a defilement of the Divine Will.”

2. **Islam** – Moslems are also likely to fiercely resist any New World Order that mandates a form of earth-worship. There are more than one billion followers of Islam and they show remarkably little enthusiasm for accommodating New Age eco-theology into their doctrines. Hence it is likely that the power of Islam will have to be shattered before the Global Green Agenda can be fulfilled.

3. **The United States of America** – The USA once fiercely defended it's national sovereignty but President Obama seems eager to sign up to a binding global climate treaty that would impoverish his country. He has filled his cabinet with a stellar cast of climate alarmists, including mega-doomers John Holdren and Steven Chu.

4. **Communist China** – The totalitarian Communist Party of China is very unlikely to relinquish much of its power to a new transformed United Nations. However China is currently treading a precarious path. It’s economic miracle is in fact a house of cards built on slave labour and artificially managed conditions. China is very vulnerable to an economic collapse which could lead to a popular revolution or bankrupt the country.

5. **Authoritarian Russia** – Putin has established a tight authoritarian grip on Russia and is unlikely to bow willingly to a resurrected UN. However Putin could soon be gone and a new Gorbachev could arise. Another possibility is that Russia could also once again lose its position as a global power broker through economic problems or war.

So, to quickly summarise, the activists behind the Global Green Agenda have established regulatory control in many societies through Agenda 21, they have written a Constitution for their transformed global society with the Earth Charter, and they have even described, in detail, how their new global system will be operate in *Our Global Neighbourhood*. However several obstacles must be removed before the final phase of the Agenda, global governance based on a system of earth-worship, can be fulfilled.

Firstly, a situation must arise where otherwise apathetic, or even hostile, members of society will beg for a new global system. They must feel so personally threatened that they will eagerly give up their personal liberty for the promise of safety and security. Our Global Neighbourhood said the surrender of liberty is "a principle that will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the imperatives of global environmental cooperation." In my opinion Global
Warming is currently being used as a conditioning tool with its fervent call for global unity to save a wounded planet.

Secondly, certain nations and religious groups are unlikely to accept a new global system that involves the loss of national sovereignty, the loss of individual liberty, and reverence for the earth as a divine being. So what events could possibly bring about the conditions that would allow the emergence of a one world government? I will briefly describe what I consider to be the most likely scenario.

Ezekiel 38 and 39 describe an attack on Israel by a coalition of Islamic nations and Russia. It seems that this conflict also involves other parts of the globe. The invading forces are completely destroyed by God’s divine intervention. The military power of Russia is annihilated, and Islam, which promised its believers a final ultimate victory, is shattered. The world economy lies in total ruin. Significant areas of the planet are devastated. People everywhere are distraught and in despair. Emergency meetings are held at the United Nations where the blueprints for a new global system are presented and quickly adopted.

“We need a new beginning” they will say, “We all must change and renounce our old destructive ways.” Amazingly everything is already in place. The Earth Charter would be endorsed as a Planetary Constitution and the Security Council replaced with some new ‘United Earth Council.’ The world would be divided into ten administrative regions with each one represented by an Earth Councillor. The blame for the recent conflict, and many of the world’s other problems, would be placed firmly on traditional religions. They would be swiftly outlawed and replaced by reverence for the earth itself. “We nearly destroyed Gaia!” they would say, “We nearly destroyed our own Mother!”

As David Rockefeller stated, “all we need is the right crisis”. Everything is now in place. They are just building momentum and waiting for the storm they know is coming. You don’t need to look in the shadows for the coming world government. It is standing right before your eyes. When they bring “order out of chaos” the United Nations will be transformed and the final global empire will be born.
The Gaia Hypothesis – A Goddess Reborn

Anyone who has studied the global green movement has no doubt heard of "Gaia". Believers in Gaia, or ‘Gaians’ as they often refer to themselves, claim that the earth is a sentient super-being, an ancient goddess spirit, deserving of worship and reverence. Sir James Lovelock, in his book Gaia: ‘A new look at Life’, states that “all of the lifeforms on this planet are a part of Gaia - part of one spirit goddess that sustains life on earth. Since this transformation into a living system the interventions of Gaia have brought about the evolving diversity of living creatures on planet Earth.” Gaians teach that the "Earth Goddess", or Mother Earth, must be protected from destructive human activity. It is this belief that fuels the environmental movement, sustainable development, and a global push for the return of industrialized nations to a more primitive way of life.

Gaians claim that “we are part of Nature and Nature is part of us, therefore God is part of us, and God is everywhere, and everything is God”. In reality Gaia is actually a revival of the “Earth-goddess” found in many ancient pagan religions. The current Gaia Cult is a cunning mixture of science, paganism, eastern mysticism, wicca and feminism. While researching this subject I have been astounded by how many prominent environmental leaders, politicians, scientists, and religious leaders profess a literal belief in Gaia. Gaians appear to have infiltrated every level of power at the United Nations and risen to prominent positions in many Governments. I strongly believe that they are the most dangerous and devious cult on the face of the planet.

“Still more important is the implication that the evolution of humans, with his technological inventiveness and his increasingly subtle communications network, has vastly increased Gaia’s range of perception. She is now through us awake and aware of herself. She has seen the reflection of her fair face through the eyes of astronauts and the television cameras of orbiting spacecraft. Our sensations of wonder and pleasure, our capacity for conscious thought and speculation, our restless curiosity and drive are hers to share.
This new interrelationship of Gaia with man is by no means fully established; we are not yet a truly collective species, corralled and tamed as an integral part of the biosphere, as we are as individual creatures. It may be that the destiny of mankind is to become tamed, so that the fierce, destructive, and greedy forces of tribalism and nationalism are fused into a compulsive urge to belong to the commonwealth of all creatures which constitutes Gaia.” – James Lovelock, Gaia: A New Look at Life (1972)

The modern Gaia hypothesis was originally formulated by James Lovelock. Dr Lovelock is one of the worlds most famous and influential scientists. He has degrees in numerous areas, including medicine, chemistry and physics. Lovelock worked for NASA during the 1960's as a consultant to the Viking spacecraft project. His task was to develop methods for detecting life on Mars. He claims that while searching for suitable methods he realised that conditions that allowed life to exist on Earth could not occur ‘naturally’. The system is so well balanced and yet so dynamic that life itself is acting like a self-regulating sentient super-organism. He famously told NASA that there was no possibility of life existing on Mars and they should cancel their mission.

Lovelock called his sentient, super-organism “Gaia”, after the ancient Greek Goddess of the Earth. In Greek Mythology it was Gaia that “brought Order out of Chaos”, a theme commonly quoted in Gaian texts. Lovelock believed that humans were a key part of this organism. He claimed that humans had evolved to a point where they had become Gaia’s “global brain” and “she is now through us awake and aware of herself. She has seen the reflection of her fair face through the eyes of astronauts and the television cameras of orbiting spacecraft.”

However, he also believed that humans were abusing the planet environmentally, jeopardizing the organism as a whole, “as though the human race is a cancer.” In his latest book, The Revenge of Gaia, Lovelock claims that Gaia is now fully awakened, and she is angry. Lovelock writes “Just as the human body uses a fever to fight off an infection, Gaia is raising Her temperature to expel a harmful parasite – humans. Unless humans renounce their destructive ways and rejoin the diverse community of living beings in Gaia’s loving embrace then Gaia will be forced to act in order to secure Her supreme reign”. In the book Lovelock also claims, that on the current trajectory, “the human population will be reduced to a few breeding pairs by the end of this century.”

Lovelock rose to further global prominence when, in the late 1970s, he suddenly discovered that CFC’s were “destroying the Earth’s protective ozone layer”. He led a campaign which resulted in an international ban on these chemicals. Lovelock was also one of the first and most vocal proponents of the Global Warming theory. To a suspicious mind it may appear that controlling and eliminating CFC’s was a test case for the big prize, controlling and eliminating fossil fuels, thus removing the cause of Gaia’s pain – the modern industrial society. However, throughout his long career Lovelock has zealously promoted his Gaia theory. Two of his most recent publications are titled “Homage to Gaia” and “A Book of Hymns to Gaia.”
The Gaia hypothesis was eagerly accepted by the emerging new age movement in the 1970s as it combines neatly with eastern mysticism and neopagan theology, but “science” was needed to convince biologists. For these people, Gaia was made palatable by Lovelock’s Daisyworld model, a mathematical and scientific theory designed to refute the criticisms of Darwinism. Just as evolution eliminates the need for a divine creator, the Daisyworld model provided a theory of evolving life on earth that incorporates natural selection with a sentient lifeforce. It eliminates a personal yet separate God, and makes humans a part of the divine spirit that is Gaia.

Interestingly climatology was the first branch of science to actively endorse the Gaian theory that Earth was a single, self-regulating system. Steven Schneider, Professor of Climatology at Stanford, organised the first international scientific conference to discuss “the implications of Gaia.” It was Schneider who later became the most vocal climatologist supporting Global Warming and once famously stated “we need to get some broad based support, to capture the public's imagination... So we have to offer up scary scenarios, make simplified, dramatic statements and make little mention of any doubts... Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective and being honest.” The Gaia hypothesis, absent the spiritual connotations, has now been accepted into mainstream science and renamed the Gaia Theory. It can be found in most environmental science textbooks.

As mentioned previously the Gaia theory found its greatest resonance with the New Age movement who were entranced by the mystical side of Gaia. They found it easy to conceive that humans can have a spiritual relationship with Gaia. A connectedness to nature and the belief that humans are a part of this collective consciousness called Gaia appeals strongly to their worldview. A simple google search for gaia pagan, will reveal thousands of organisations proudly proclaiming themselves to literally be pagan priests and disciples of the great Goddess Gaia. There are dozens of Gaia Groups in most major cities. Wicca, which is said to be the fastest growing religion in the United States, is intimately connected with Gaia worship. In fact many Gaians call themselves witches and warlocks.

The feminist movement has also warmly embraced the concept of a Gaia Goddess. For many of these proponents, an integral part of Goddess worship is its prevalent theme of anti-masculine, anti-male statements. In this philosophical world-view, since Goddess worship is good, then by necessity, any use of masculine terminology in reference to God or any prominence of men in culture or society is generally discouraged. The prominent self-proclaimed ‘feminist witch’, Miriam Starhawk stated, "The symbolism of the Goddess has taken on an electrifying power for modern women. It has exposed the falsehoods of patriarchal history, and given us models for female strength and authority."

Gaians teach that the Divine Earth must be protected from all threats no matter what the cost. The United Nations Global Biodiversity Assessment, explicitly refers to Christianity as a faith that has set humans apart from nature and stripped nature of its sacred qualities. “Conversion to Christianity has therefore meant an abandonment of an affinity with the natural world for many forest dwellers, peasants, fishers all over the world...The
northeastern hilly states of India bordering China and Myanmar supported small scale, largely autonomous shifting cultivator societies until the 1950's. These people followed their own religious traditions that included setting apart between 10% and 30% of the landscape as sacred groves and ponds." While condemning Christianity and Islam as the root of all environmental evil, the document goes on to praise Buddhism and Hinduism as they "did not depart as drastically from the perspective of humans as members of a community of beings including other living and non-living elements."

Al Gore, in his book Earth in the Balance, echoes this view "Prehistoric Europe and much of the world was based on the worship of a single earth goddess, who was assumed to be the fount of all life and who radiated harmony among all living things. Much of the evidence for the existence of this primitive religion comes from the many thousands of artifacts uncovered in ceremonial sites. These sites are so widespread that they seem to confirm the notion that a goddess religion was ubiquitous through much of the world until the antecedents of today's religions, most of which still have a distinctly masculine orientation...swept out of India and the Near East, almost obliterating belief in the goddess. The last vestige of organized goddess worship was eliminated by Christianity as late as the fifteenth century in Lithuania."

Gore then quotes deChardin, "'The fate of mankind, as well as of religion, depends upon the emergence of a new faith in the future.' Armed with such a faith, we might find it possible to resanctify the earth..." Gore is also fond of quoting an old Native Indian saying "Will you teach your children what we have taught our children? That the earth is our Mother. What befalls the earth, befalls all the sons of the earth. This we know - the earth does not belong to man, man belongs to the earth."

Gaia worship is at the very heart of the Global Green Agenda. Sustainable Development, Agenda 21, the Earth Charter, and the Global Warming theory are all part of the Gaians mission to save "Mother Earth" from her human infestation. Gaians have succeeded in uniting the environmental movement, the new age movement, Eastern religions, the United Nations and even the leaders of many Christian denominations behind this vile new form of paganism.

"The earth is not dead matter. She is alive. Now begin to speak to the earth as you walk. You can speak out loud, or just talk to her in your mind. Send your love into her with your exhalation. Feel your heart touching upon the heart of the planet. Say to her whatever words come to you: Mother Earth, I love you. Mother Earth, I bless you. May you be healed. May all your creatures be happy. Peace to you, Mother Earth. On behalf of the human race, I ask forgiveness for having injured you. Forgive us, Mother Earth"

- "Prayer to the Earth", Student Textbook
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 4.2 – DEEP ECOLOGY]

“Human population growth is probably the single most serious long-term threat to survival. We're in for a major disaster if it isn't curbed—not just for the natural world, but for the human world. The more people there are, the more resources they'll consume, the more pollution they'll create.”

- Prince Philip, co-founder and patron of the WWF

Deep Ecology – The Hidden Agenda

The almost simultaneous emergence of the Environmental and New Age movements in the 1970s has spawned a plethora of hybrid ecospiritual philosophies. These include the Gaia hypothesis, Deep Ecology, Sacred Ecology, Ecosophy, and Creation Spirituality. These philosophies all overlap with each other to some extent but tend to focus on different aspects of ‘protecting the earth from humanity’. Perhaps the most dangerous and radical is the philosophy known as Deep Ecology.

Deep Ecology is a semi-religious movement that believes modern civilisation’s anthropocentric (human-centered) worldview is the root cause of an imminent complete ecological collapse. Deep ecologists blame humans for this fast-approaching apocalypse and believe that humanity’s destructive activities must be halted immediately, by any means necessary, and at whatever cost.

Deep ecologists argue for a radical reduction in human population, in human "interference" in nature, and in the human standard of living. They argue that primitive peoples lived in spiritual harmony with the natural world, but European industrial culture has severed this harmony and we have become a feral untamed plague on the earth. According to them industrial society is like a cancer spreading through a global host.

In some ways, Deep Ecology has similar roots to Gaia hypothesis, in that humans are part of a sentient super-organism known as Earth. However, Deep ecologists go further than the Gaians in arguing that humanity is genuinely of no more importance than an amoeba or the smallpox virus. Deep Ecology argues that humanity has no hierarchical dominance or any sense of uniqueness. We are just another animal, and a crazed, destructive one at that. While Gaians tend to focus on spiritual aspects of communing with Mother Earth, Deep ecologists focus on the negative aspects of human activity on the earth.

Deep Ecology has greatly influenced grassroots environmentalism, especially in Europe, North America, and Australia. It has spread through “road shows” and ritual processes led by touring movement advocates, through the writings of its architects (often reaching college students in environmental studies courses) and perhaps especially by the dramatic
activism of its radical environmental vanguards e.g. Earth First!, Greenpeace, the Earth Liberation Front, and PETA.

The Deep Ecology philosophy was no doubt strongly influenced by *The Limits to Growth* published by the Club of Rome in 1972. This book claimed that human society was far outstripping the earth’s regenerative capacity and the world was on the brink of an environmental catastrophe. The term ‘Deep Ecology’ was first used by the famous Norwegian philosopher, and ‘mystical Buddhist’, Arne Naess in 1973. He claimed that the science of ecology was shallow and meaningless as it looked at the world from a human perspective, while Naess argued that the human species has the same ‘intrinsic value’ as a bacterium or an earthworm. He stated the eight core principles of Deep Ecology were:

1. The well-being and flourishing of human and nonhuman life on Earth have value in themselves. These values are independent of the usefulness of the nonhuman world for human purposes.

2. Richness and diversity of life-forms contribute to the realisation of these values and are also values in themselves.

3. Humans have no right to reduce this richness and diversity except to satisfy vital needs.

4. Present human interference with the nonhuman world is excessive, and the situation is rapidly worsening.

5. The flourishing of human life and cultures is compatible with a substantial decrease of the human population. The flourishing of nonhuman life requires such a decrease.

6. Policies must therefore be changed. The changes in policies affect basic economic, technological, and ideological structures. The resulting state of affairs will be deeply different from the present.

7. The ideological change is mainly that of appreciating life quality rather than adhering to an increasingly higher standard of living. There will be a profound awareness of the difference between big and great.

8. Those who subscribe to the foregoing points have an obligation directly or indirectly to participate in the attempt to implement the necessary changes.

Naess soon gained a large following in the emerging green movement, especially among the youngest and most radical factions. Deep ecology’s uncompromising rhetoric provided a welcome, radical alternative to the New Age earth-loving, tree-hugging Gaia hypothesis. They saw this new anti-human philosophy as a call to arms against western capitalism. Radical environmental activism flourished during the late 1970s, fuelled by recruits from
the anti-Vietnam war and Civil Rights movements. News reports were replete with stories about “hippies” chained to trees and activists burning down animal research facilities.

Radical environmental activism seems to have died a slow death since the 1990s as the wider green movement considered their actions to be counter-productive and harmful to the cause. However, the philosophy behind Deep Ecology has continued to spread and infiltrate the movement. Few people realize that ‘respectable’ environmental organizations such as the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), the Sierra Club and the Nature Conservancy were originally formed by radical activists who recognised that their ultimate goals could only be realized through political and social tools.

Many of these organisations now act as consultants to the United Nations. Anti-human sentiment and subtle calls for ‘human reproductive control’ are sprinkled throughout Agenda 21 and the Earth Charter. Many prominent environmentalists are now touting population control as the only answer to the world’s problems. Even the original Gaian, Sir James Lovelock, has taken to calling humans “an out of control cancer that Gaia will soon eradicate.”

It appears that the Global Green Agenda includes controlling every aspect of human activity, especially our reproduction. Humans, as a destructive pest species, must have their population tightly controlled, and even significantly reduced according to some:

"The first task is population control at home. How do we go about it? Many of my colleagues feel that some sort of compulsory birth regulation would be necessary to achieve such control. One plan often mentioned involves the addition of temporary sterilants to water supplies or staple food. Doses of the antidote would be carefully rationed by the government to produce the desired population size." — Prof Paul Ehrlich, The Population Bomb, p.135

"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels." - Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, patron of the World Wildlife Fund

"If the world pollution situation is not critical at the moment, it is as certain as anything can be that the situation will become increasingly intolerable within a very short time. The situation can be controlled, and even reversed; but it demands cooperation on a scale and intensity beyond anything achieved so far.“ - The Fairfield Osborne Lecture by HRH Prince Philip

“I don’t claim to have any special interest in natural history, but as a boy I was made aware of the annual fluctuations in the number of game animals and the need to adjust the cull to the size of the surplus population.” - Preface to Down to Earth by HRH Prince Philip

"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal." - Ted Turner, CNN founder and UN supporter
"Childbearing should be a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license. All potential parents should be required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing."
- David Brower, first Executive Director of the Sierra Club

"In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill ... All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself."
- Club of Rome, The First Global Revolution, pg.75
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 4.3 – THE SPIRITUAL UN]

“We have an informal network at the UN, a humanity underground. It consists of those who are committed, aware, and striving to bring the New World to birth.”

- Aquarian Age Community

The Spiritual United Nations

As discussed in various articles on this website the ‘green philosophy’ that is rapidly permeating our society has been fostered since its inception by the Club of Rome and its affiliated organisations. Members of the CoR triad include Al Gore, Javier Solana, Mikhail Gorbachev, David Rockefeller, Kofi Annan, Bill Clinton, Maurice Strong, Robert Muller, Bill Gates, Jimmy Carter and many other influential leaders. In their various reports the CoR clearly outline their ultimate goal to “transform humanity into a sustainable global interdependent society, based on respect and reverence for the Earth.” To briefly recap their stated agenda in their own words:

“Man possesses, for a small moment in his history, the most powerful combination of knowledge, tools, and resources the world has ever known. He has all that is physically necessary to create a totally new form of human society - one that would be built to last for generations.” – The Limits to Growth, a report by The Club of Rome

“Now is the time to draw up a master plan for organic sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all finite resources and a new global economic system. Ten or twenty years from today it will probably be too late.” – Mankind at the Turning Point, a report by The Club of Rome

“This proposed new global system is highly interdependent as, in the same manner that the human body assigns different tasks to its various organs, each region is assigned specialized and specific tasks, and is each is dependent on the others for their common survival... The resultant ideal sustainable population is hence more than 500 million but less than one billion.” – Goals for Mankind, a report by The Club of Rome

“In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself. Democracy is not a panacea. It cannot organize everything and it is unaware of its own limits. These facts must be faced squarely. Sacrilegious though this may sound, democracy is no longer well suited for the tasks ahead.” – The First Global Revolution, a report by The Club of Rome
Two of the most influential members of the Club of Rome, Maurice Strong and Robert Muller, have both worked for the United Nations since its inception and risen to the very top of its bureaucracy. Strong was the first Secretary-General of the UN Environment Programme, Chief Policy Advisor to Kofi Annan, Secretary General of the Rio Earth Summit, co-author of the Earth Charter and co-author of the Kyoto Protocol. Muller was the co-founder of UNESCO, the Director of the Budget overseeing all the UN’s 32 programmes, the Founder and Chancellor UN University for Peace, and Assistant Secretary-General of the UN for 12 years. Detailed biographies can be read in my article on Gaia’s Gurus.

During my research into these two men it has become clear that they have permeated the UN with their New Age philosophies based on reverence for the Earth as a divine sentient being. To accomplish this they have worked closely with various New Age organisations that are officially accredited to the United Nations:

The Lucis Trust

This organisation was originally founded in the 1920s by the renowned occultist Alice Bailey under the name of the Lucifer Publishing Company. The Trust is recognised by the United Nations as a Non-Governmental Organisation (NGO) and is represented at regular briefing sessions at the UN. The Lucis Trust is also a member of the UN Economic and Social Council. Interestingly Robert Muller served as the Secretary of this Council for many years. Until recently the Lucis Trust was located at 666 United Nations Plaza and lists its official sponsors as the UN, Greenpeace and Amnesty International.

Alice Bailey claimed to be able to channel a spirit guide called ‘the Tibetan Master Djwhal Khul.’ Robert Muller was a disciple of Bailey and in his World Core Curriculum it is explicitly stated that "the underlying philosophy upon which the Robert Muller School is based will be found in the teaching set forth in the books of Alice Bailey by the Tibetan teacher Djwhal Khul." Bailey taught that the ‘World Spiritual Teacher’ would soon appear and lead the world into the Age of Aquarius and it was the task of her disciples to “prepare the way for His imminent appearance.”

“Today there is an increasing expectancy regarding the return of the "World Teacher", the Coming One who will return to lead humanity into a new age and into a heightened consciousness. In fact, some claim that the Christ has already reappeared in physical form and has been "sighted" in various parts of the world. proclaimed”, either by Himself or by any other individual or group.”

Bailey claimed that this reappearance required intense meditation and the reciting of the Great Invocation. Former UN Sec-Gen Dag Hammarskjold was also a follower of Bailey and he was responsible for the construction of the Meditation Room within the UN building. Meditation meetings have been held regularly within this room for decades and they open with a recital of Bailey’s Great Invocation:

“From the point of Light within the Mind of God Let light stream forth into human minds. Let Light descend on Earth."
From the point of Love within the Heart of God Let love stream forth into human hearts. **May the Coming One return to Earth.**

From the centre where the Will of God is known Let purpose guide all little human wills - **The purpose which the Masters know and serve.**

From the centre which we call the human race Let the Plan of Love and Light work out And may it seal the door where evil dwells. Let Light and Love and Power restore the Plan on Earth.” – link

Interestingly Robert Muller’s EarthPax site refers to him as an Ascended Master and has a copy of the Great Invocation prominently displayed. Remember this guy was second in charge of the UN for decades and founded many of its most important institutions. Even more interestingly many of the organisations founded by Club of Rome members (see here) are in charge of these meditation meetings within the UN!

Each year “the United Nations calls upon individual people of goodwill and groups to observe a Global Vigil of Meditation and Prayer. **This year’s Vigil,** held on September 21st, “aims to have individuals or groups commit themselves to spend specific 15 minute periods in prayer or meditation for a culture of peace and goodwill. Each 15 minute period begins and ends with a sounding of the Great Invocation.”

**The Aquarian Age Community**

The AAC was founded by the Lucis Trust and describes its **mission** as “**preparing the way for the imminent appearance of the World Spiritual Teacher.**” They are an official **consultative NGO** in association with the United Nations’ Department of Public Information and their website is sponsored by the UN. On their website they state:

“**We have an informal network at the UN, a humanity underground. It consists of those who are committed, aware, and striving to bring the New World to birth.** It consists of people in high places and in low. **The patient Secretary who has been 30 years with the UN, but lives with the vision and the spirit:** of the professionals, and undersecretaries and heads of departments who are acting out the imperatives that their own inner vision gives them.

**Some few are conscious of the sources of their inspiration; most are not. They are the Karma Yogis of our time - those whose path of spirituality is to achieve through doing - to grow through serving. They are found not only in the secretariat but also in the delegations to the UN, among the diplomats and their staffs, and also among folks like us, representatives of non-governmental organizations around the UN.” – link

No doubt the ‘patient Secretary’ refers to Robert Muller who served as Assistant Sec-Genreformed United Nations is likely to rise from the ashes of a major world crisis and will no doubt be lead by a
charismatic world leader, perhaps assisted by this ‘World Spiritual Teacher.’ of the UN for decades, or perhaps Maurice Strong who also served in this position. The AAC has a startling website that clearly outlines their goals and influence within the UN. Even a brief perusal leaves little doubt of their true agenda. Articles on their site include:

THE SPIRITUAL IMPULSE BEHIND THE UNITED NATIONS

PLANETARY INITIATION AND THE WORK OF THE UNITED NATIONS

THE NEW WORLD ORDER AND THE WORK OF THE UNITED NATIONS

SPIRITUALITY AT THE UNITED NATIONS

PREPARATION FOR THE REAPPEARANCE OF THE WORLD SPIRITUAL TEACHER

It would take a hundred pages to analyse all the material on the ACC site so I encourage the reader to take a look at the articles listed above for themselves. Perhaps the most interesting pages are the minutes from their meetings discussing how they will “recognise the World Spiritual Teacher and introduce him to the world.” Top UN officials attend these meetings!!

“We know these are unprecedented times on our planet and millions within humanity have the opportunity to make a major leap in consciousness. Involved in the process of planetary initiation is the externalization of the Hierarchy of Masters of Wisdom and the reappearance of the Christed One - the World Spiritual Teacher. Many of us here believe we incarnated at just this time in order to help in the needed preparatory work that must pave the “Path of Light” for these wondrous event... This sparked the thought of the Earth as our Mother. We are part of the earth mind set, drawing closer and closer to that feminine principle, possibly drawing problems of the entire earth to ourselves.” – link

The Baha’i and the UN

While researching for this article I have been very surprised at the extent of the involvement of the Baha’i religion in the United Nations. However, perhaps it is not so surprising when you realise that Maurice Strong is a devout Baha’i and was the leader of the organisation in North America. The Baha’i community has, as a duly accredited non-governmental organization, long worked closely with the United Nations, supporting many of its goals and programs, and taking a leadership role in several international gatherings. Its involvement in the United Nations dates back to the founding of the UN in 1945.

In 1947, the Baha’i communities of the United States and Canada were recognised by the UN Department of Public Information (DPI), and the next year, the Baha’i International Community itself was recognised by the UN as an international non-governmental organization. In May 1970, they were granted consultative status with the UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), then
under the leadership of Robert Muller, allowing for a greater degree of interaction with the Council and its subsidiary bodies.

On their own website the Baha’i boast that their representatives provide leadership in a number of UN-related bodies, including the NGO/Department of Public Information Executive Committee, the Committee of Religious NGOs, the Values Caucus, the Millennium NGO Network for U.N. reform, the Commission on the Status of Women and the Commission on Sustainable Development. Since then they have also been granted consultative status with the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), and relationships with the many UN bodies have deepened and expanded over the years.

The Baha’i organisation claims to have a close working relationship with the World Health Organization (WHO) and with the United Nations Environment Programme. It is also involved in joint activities with UNIFEM and UNICEF as well as many other religious, environmental and social programs within the UN. The Baha’i spiritual beliefs mirror almost exactly those described by the Lucis Trust and AAC described above. They too are waiting for the imminent arrival of an ‘Enlightened Being’ who will lead humanity into a ‘new world order’:

“For Baha’is, the term "new world order" has a special and clear-cut meaning. More than 100 years ago, Baha'u'llah invoked the phrase to categorize a future series of momentous changes in the political, social and religious life of the world. "The signs of impending convulsions and chaos can now be discerned, inasmuch as the prevailing Order appeareth to be lamentably defective," He wrote. "Soon will the present-day order be rolled up and a new one spread out in its stead. Baha'is understand that the dramatic changes and transformations we have witnessed over the last century - and which we are continuing to see - have been initiated by the coming of a new Messenger of God and influenced by the breaking light of a new Revelation.” – link

The Baha’i faith sees the United Nations as the vessel by which the unifying of the world’s religions into one faith will come to fruition. Their plan for the future of our world and the role of the United Nations overseeing a regionalised world mirror almost directly the proposal outlined by Maurice’ Strong Commission on Global Governance. Baha’i writings state “the oneness of humanity implies an organic change in the structure of present-day society, a change such as the world has not yet experienced. It calls for no less than the reconstruction and the demilitarization of the whole civilized world – a world organically unified in all the essential aspects of life, its political machinery, its spiritual aspiration, its trade and finance, its script and language.”

In a statement to the World Summit on Sustainable Development in August 2002, the Baha'i Community wrote, “despite significant achievements, the United Nations has yet to grasp fully both the constructive role that religion can play in creating a peaceful and prosperous global order, and the destructive impact that religious fanaticism can have on the stability and progress of the world. The UN must work untiringly to exorcise religious bigotry and superstition from within their faith traditions and renounce claims to religious exclusivity and finality.” In other words Christianity, Judaism and Islam are forms of religious bigotry that must be exorcised from the world system.
Reform of the United Nations

As discussed in my article entitled A United World the UN is currently considering various proposals to reform the organisation. Perhaps the most interesting, at least to this writer, is the proposal to replace the ten Security Council members with representatives from ten geographical unions. This concept was first proposed by the Club of Rome in 1974 in their report Mankind at the Turning Point:

“Paths of development, region-specific rather than based on narrow national interests, must be designed to lead to a sustainable balance between the interdependent world-regions and to global harmony. A "horizontal" restructuring of the world system is needed, i.e., a change in relationships among nations and regions and as far as the "vertical" structure of the world system is concerned, drastic changes in the norm stratum. Cooperation by definition connotes interdependence. Increasing interdependence between nations and regions must then translate as a decrease in independence.”

Maurice Strong chaired the UN’s Commission on Global Governance and it’s final report clearly stated: “Regionalism must precede globalism. We foresee a seamless system of governance from local communities, individual states, regional unions and up through to the United Nations itself. The concept of national sovereignty has been immutable, indeed a sacred principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation.”

While in its present form the United Nations may appear to be an impotent bungling bureaucracy many organisations are anxiously anticipating a new reformed empowered ‘UN version 2.’ The Club of Rome claims that at the right moment it will “bring order out of chaos.” As I have previously stated this reformed United Nations is likely to rise from the ashes of a major world crisis and will no doubt be lead by a charismatic world leader, perhaps assisted by this ‘World Spiritual Teacher.’
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[SECTION 4.4 – A UNITED FAITH]

A United Green Religion

Blending the world’s religions together and bringing them under the umbrella of the United Nations has been high on the Global Green Agenda for many years. Dr Robert Muller, until recently the Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, and its #2 ranked official, appears to be the driving force behind the plan to create a new United Religion. His passion for this spiritual agenda is readily apparent, "My great personal dream is to forge a tremendous alliance between all religions and spiritual groups, and the UN. We desperately need a United Religions Organisation to bring reconciliation, unity and peace to all the peoples of our world."

Dr Muller famously described himself as "A divine motivator ... the wise man of the UN ... the shaman of the UN ... the man through whom God speaks ... the spokesman of Christ..." Now this 'divine motivator' was the co-founder of UNESCO and a key architect behind many of the UN's most important environmental policies and plans. Dr Muller is also one of the key figures behind the drive to establish a universal global consciousness. He is the co-Chairman of the World Commission for Global Consciousness and Spirituality and the co-Chairman, alongside Mikhail Gorbachev, of the World Wisdom Council. Dr Muller is also the Chancellor of the UN's University of Peace, which he founded on a mountain in Costa Rica. Why did he choose this location? Because of this ancient prophecy:

"The Great Spirit is in every animal, in every bird, butterfly, flower, insect, leaf and grass you see. The Great Spirit is also in you, the Creator's children. Please take care of the wonderful nature created by God and some day, from this mountain, you will see the birth of a civilization of peace spread to the entire world." - link

Dr Muller’s clearly outlines his vision for a New Green Religion on his websites http://www.paradisearth.us/, http://www.robertmuller.org/, http://www.goodmorning-world.blogspot.com/ and http://www.goodmorningworld.org/. In one article he recounts a conversation between himself and God, as equals no less. These are quotes from that article (remember this is not some fruitcake standing on a streetcorner, this guy was responsible for formulating many UN policies):

God: "Dear Robert, congratulations for having finished your 4000 ideas. May I ask you: which one do you consider the most important?"

I: Well, my most important idea and conclusion after all my adult life as a world civil servant is this: The United Nations must be vastly strengthened to resolve the major global problems henceforth increasingly confronting humanity and the earth. It must be empowered to adopt and enforce world laws and regulations. Let us perform this miracle
in the House of Mica, on the shores of the River of the Rising Sun, wherefrom our indigenous brethren prophesized that a civilization of peace will extend to the entire world.

God: "Thank you, dear Robert, for what you are recommending. Perhaps after all, the greatest jewel of my Creation, the Earth, can be saved." - link

The first attempt at global interfaith dialogue occurred at the first ‘Parliament of World Religions’ held in Chicago in 1893. This conference involved representatives from most established and emerging religious groups and also marked the introduction of the Baha’i faith into the United States. In the early 1990s a group of interfaith dialogue proponents decided to organise a centenary conference to be held in 1993, also in Chicago.

Dr Muller was one of the primary organisers, along with Hans Kung and Dr. Gerald Barney, and was a keynote speaker. Kung is a Catholic priest who previously held the powerful position of ‘Expositor of Theology’ at the Vatican and is the founder of the Global Ethic Foundation, another organization strongly pushing the concept of human global consciousness. The conference included more than 8,000 representatives from 150 different religious and spiritual groups. Dr Muller’s speech was entitled ‘A Proposal to establish a United Nations of Religion’. It received a prolonged standing ovation and his proposal was endorsed in the conferences joint communique.

“Our earth cannot be changed unless in the not too distant future an alteration in the consciousness of individuals is achieved. This has already been seen in areas such as war and peace or economy and ecology. And it is precisely for this alteration in inner orientation, in the entire mentality, in the "heart," that religion bear responsibility in a special way. Religion must be a unifier and peacemaker, not a cause for violence and separation.” – excerpt, Parliament of World Religions Joint Communique.

The centenary parliament led to the formation of interfaith dialogue initiatives by many religious groups, most notably the Vatican, and secular organisations such as the United Nations, the Gorbachev Foundation, the Clinton Global Initiative, and many UN associated NGOs. However, it was the United Religions Initiative (URI), proposed by Robert Muller during the conference that has made the most dramatic progress.

The URI’s stated aims are “unity among religions” and “manifesting love for the Earth.” Working closely with Muller on this daring initiative is the Anglican Bishop William Swing of Grace Episcopal Cathedral in San Francisco. Although Muller is the visionary behind United Religions and the catalyst between the major parties involved, Swing has become the initiative’s figurehead and spokesperson.

However, the direct involvement of the United Nations in this project has been evident from the beginning. Swing relates how Muller first contacted him in 1993 about heading up a worship celebration on its behalf: “Three and a half years ago, a telephone call arrived in San Francisco from the United Nations asking if we, at Grace Cathedral, would host a great interfaith worship service honoring the 50th Anniversary of the signing of the UN Charter in our city.” This request, and subsequent conversation with Muller, is allegedly what prompted Swing to become involved in the United Religions Initiative. He continues, “I got out of bed the next day determined to commit the rest of my life to an initiative that
would create a **United Religions** which would, in appropriately spiritual ways, *parallel the United Nations.*"

The URI has attracted a **diverse group of followers**, and seems to be remarkably skilful in appealing to these different worldviews. Reverend James Davis, an Anglican minister from New York stated "*We've never seen any organization build coalitions as quickly or as successfully as the United Religions Initiative.*" Huston Smith, a scholar of comparative religions and author of The World's Religions, a standard reference in religious studies, describes the URI as "*by far the most significant global interfaith effort.*"

The URI claims that more than 1,000 religious groups, representing 600 million people, have endorsed the URI charter. These include the Dalai Lama, state churches in China, various Jewish Rabbis, Sufi’s (a Muslim sect), the Rev. Sun Myung Moon, radical feminists, deep ecologists, creation spiritualists, kaballahists and various neopagan groups. It has also received favourable comments from the United Nations and the Vatican. The preamble to the URI Charter is as follows:

**Preamble**

We, people of diverse religions, spiritual expressions and indigenous traditions throughout the world, hereby establish the United Religions Initiative to promote enduring, daily interfaith cooperation, to end religiously motivated violence and to create cultures of peace, justice and healing for the Earth and all living beings.

We respect the uniqueness of each tradition, and differences of practice or belief.

We value voices that respect others, and believe that sharing our values and wisdom can lead us to act for the good of all.

We believe that our religious, spiritual lives, rather than dividing us, guide us to build community and respect for one another.

Therefore, as interdependent people rooted in our traditions, we now unite for the benefit of our Earth community.

We unite to build cultures of peace and justice.

We unite to heal and protect the Earth.

We unite to build safe places for conflict resolution, healing and reconciliation.

We unite to support freedom of religion and spiritual expression, and the rights of all individuals and peoples as set forth in international law.
We unite in responsible cooperative action to bring the wisdom and values of our religions, spiritual expressions and indigenous traditions to bear on the economic, environmental, political and social challenges facing our Earth community.

We unite to provide a global opportunity for participation by all people, especially by those whose voices are not often heard.

We unite to celebrate the joy of blessings and the light of wisdom in both movement and stillness.

We unite to use our combined resources only for nonviolent, compassionate action, to awaken to our deepest truths, and to manifest love and justice among all life in our Earth community.

It goes on to say: The root of this ecological crisis is a spiritual crisis. Just as the religions and spiritual traditions of the world teach respectful interaction with a sacred whole, so must spiritual values and moral imperatives help humanity to rediscover a reverence for all life and respect for the sacredness of the whole of Planet Earth. Therefore, we call for interfaith cooperation in furthering this vision for love and protection of the Earth, reverence for life, and harmony with all living beings.

As suggested by Swing, the United Religions Initiative is intended to be to religion what the United Nations has become to global politics, unifying the world's religions as the UN is unifying the world's nations. Bishop Swing confirmed these plans, "The URI will be a spiritual United Nations. And what better place to give it birth than the Bay Area, which gave birth to the present UN."

Bishop Swing also states, "The URI will offer the world a powerful new vision of hope - the vision that the deepest stories we know can now cease to be causes of separation between people, and become instead the foundation for a reunited humanity. Religions need the URI. Bombs are exploding in the name of God in cities throughout the world, religious persecution is more prevalent now than ever before, religious extremists are demanding and obtaining nuclear weapons, and still there is no neutral arena where all of the religions can engage each other. The URI, in time, aspires to have the visibility and stature of the United Nations. It will have global visibility and will be a vital presence in local communities all over the world."

The United Nations has granted the URI official 'liaison status', which means that in return for UN financial support the URI will "co-operate closely with the U.N. and its organisations to complement the U.N.'s political, diplomatic and social mandates, influence U.N. policy and support its programs." At a recent UN-sponsored Interfaith Conference, Bishop Swing issued a challenge for a new global civilisation, "We stand on the threshold of a new world order that may be defined either by an increasing polarisation that fuels a spiral of escalating conflict and violence, or by growing global cooperation that calls the human
Bishop Swing recently apologised for two millennia of Christian evangelism. His apology not limited to ‘religious violence’ committed by Christians, it also includes regret for "proclaiming that Jesus Christ is Lord and Savior of all" and for seeking "to make the whole world Christian". The URI strongly condemns all forms of religious fundamentalism; in fact the need for a new spirituality is often based on the intolerant attitude of evangelical Christians and radical Muslims’. Swing states “Because some day, the ascendancy of militant fundamentalist voices of politically aspiring religions might be so pervasive that a United Religions will need to be created in order to save religions from these fundamentalists.”

The URI arose out of, and is the culmination of, the interfaith movement that began with the 1893 Parliament of World Religions. It is inextricably tied to the quest for a new spirituality that would unify the planet in a ‘transformed global society’. The URI is the fullest expression of this movement now. The current model of unity in diversity will characterise the United Religions Initiative for a while. However, the final phase of the Global Green Spiritual Agenda will be the "full end to the great heresy of separateness."

The final green religion will be the blending of all religions into one unified expression of spirituality based on reverence for our shared planet and human interconnectedness with all living beings. No doubt anyone one who refuses to accept this new spirituality will be labelled an intolerant radical fundamentalist. In the wake of an earth-shattering crisis, such as the one described in A United World, ‘fundamentalists’ are likely to be blamed for all the world’s problems. A new world religion, in addition to a new world order, will be gratefully received by most given a crisis of sufficient magnitude.
"Humanity is sitting on a time bomb. If the vast majority of the world's scientists are right, we have just ten years to avert a major catastrophe that could send our entire planet's climate system into a tail-spin of epic destruction involving extreme weather, floods, droughts, epidemics and killer heat waves beyond anything we have ever experienced - a catastrophe of our own making."

- Al Gore,
An Inconvenient Truth

Global Warming – Settled Science?

With the continual bombardment of ‘climate doom’ stories today, it is hard to imagine a time when global warming was not a ‘top priority’ on the social, political and economic agenda. Everything from floods in England to poverty in the Third World is now being blamed on global warming. However, it is a relatively new phenomenon, barely discussed until 20 years ago, and established as a significant policy issue only in the past 15 or so years.

Usually a scientific theory takes many decades to become established, and only after the most rigorous testing under many different scenarios, does it achieve ‘scientific consensus’. However, when it comes to Global Warming its proponents claim that there is no argument or debate to be had. Their current crusade is to turn Global Warming into something that supposedly no honest and decent person can disagree about, as they have already done with ‘environmental sustainability’. Al Gore often says “Climate change is a moral issue.” In other words it is all about you, and your destructive behaviour.

The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has confidently announced ‘the science is settled’ on man-made Global Warming. Their most recent set of reports declares that “the debate over the science of climate change is well and truly over. Unified international political commitment is now urgently required to take action to avoid dangerous climate change.”

However, the science is not settled. Many renowned climatologists strongly disagree with the IPCC’s conclusions about the cause and potential magnitude of Global Warming. More than 20,000 scientists have now signed the Oregon Petition which criticises it as ‘flawed’ research and states that “any human contribution to climate change has not yet been demonstrated.” Dr Chris Landsea resigned from the IPCC because he “personally could not in good faith continue to contribute to a process that I view as both being motivated by pre-conceived agendas and being scientifically unsound.”
The IPCC claims that more than 2,500 respected scientists and policy makers collaborate to write its climate change assessments but less than a tenth of these ‘experts’ actually hold qualifications in climatology, most were in fact educated in the political and social sciences. The panel that edits and approves the reports are appointed by the United Nations, and more than half are actually UN officials. Dr Richard Lindzen, who is a genuine climate expert, resigned from the IPCC process after his contributions were completely rewritten by the panel.

"It's not 2,500 people offering their consensus, I participated in that. Each person who is an author writes one or two pages in conjunction with someone else. They travel around the world several times a year for several years to write it and the summary for policymakers has the input of a handful of scientists, but ultimately, it is written by representatives of governments, and of environmental organizations, each pushing their own agenda." - MIT's Professor of Atmospheric Science Dr. Richard Lindzen on the IPCC report.

Czech President Klaus stated “It is not fair to refer to the UN panel as a group of scientists. The IPCC is not a scientific institution. It's a political body, a sort of non-government organization of green flavour. It's neither a forum of neutral scientists nor a balanced group of scientists. These people are politicized scientists, and UN bureaucrats, who arrive there with a one-sided opinion and a one-sided assignment."

Asserting 'the science is settled' ignores the debate that still rages, and the constant shrieking by alarmists like Al Gore reveals that Global Warming is being used to push a hidden agenda. Even their much vaunted consensus is a myth. The Global Warming Petition Project has been signed by more than 31,000 American scientists, including more than 9,000 with PhDs. Signers include world renowned physicists such as Prof. Edward Teller and Prof. Freeman Dyson. Nearly 4,000 signers are scientists trained in specialties directly related to the physical environment of the Earth and the past and current phenomena that affect that environment.

The petition states: “There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth’s atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate. Moreover, there is substantial scientific evidence that increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide produce many beneficial effects upon the natural plant and animal environments of the Earth.”

Proclaiming that “climate change is real” is a nonsensical statement and ignores the Earth’s continual natural warming and cooling cycles. Vikings settled in Greenland and raised crops and cattle 1000 years ago, while Britons grew grapes in England. Four hundred years later, Greenland froze and the Vikings starved. Europe was gripped in a Little Ice Age. The Thames froze all the way up to London. Another surge in temperatures saw widespread global droughts in the mid-1600s. Temperatures plunged again around
1700’s. The globe warmed in 1800-1940, cooled for the next 35 years, then warmed again. The 1940-1975 cooling period occurred despite the fact that industrial production and release of CO2 vastly accelerated during this time. This led to political and media scaremongering about Global Cooling, and the threat of a new ice age.

Again, this arose out of a misunderstanding of long term temperature fluctuations. Scientists have discovered that the sun not only has a regular 11 year cycle of sunspot activity. They have now discovered a significant 200 year cycle. Sunspot and solar radiation activity almost exactly parallel temperature changes on the Earth. It correlates well with the anomalous post-war temperature dip, when global carbon dioxide levels were rising very fast. The increase in solar radiation prevents the formation of clouds, which have a cooling effect on the planet, therefore the temperature rises.
Other recent studies, published in Nature and other leading journals, conclude that the sun’s radiant heat and solar radiation levels affect planetary warming and cloud formation more strongly than acknowledged by Global Warming alarmists. After all, why would natural forces that caused the climate to change in past centuries suddenly stop now? And how does man-made Global Warming explain why every planet in our solar system appears to be simultaneously warming up? Does this not suggest that Global Warming is a natural cycle as a result of the dynamic nature of the sun?

“Global Warming on Pluto Puzzles Scientists: Astronomers today said Pluto is undergoing global warming in its thin atmosphere even as it moves farther from the Sun on its long, odd-shaped orbit.” - Space.com

“Global Warming on Mars? A study of the ice caps on Mars may show that the red planet is experiencing a warming trend. If both Mars and Earth are experiencing global warming, then perhaps there is a larger phenomenon going on in the Solar System that is causing their global climates to change.” - National Geographic
"NASA says its Cassini spacecraft has found a hurricane-like storm at Saturn’s South Pole, nearly 5,000 miles across - or two-thirds Earth’s diameter." - New Scientist

"Global Warming Detected on Triton: At least since 1989, Triton has been undergoing a period of global warming," confirms astronomer James Elliot, professor of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences at MIT. "Percentage-wise, it’s a very large increase."

"New Storm on Jupiter Hints at Climate Change: The latest images could provide evidence that Jupiter is in the midst of a global change that can modify temperatures by as much as 10 degrees Fahrenheit on different parts of the globe." - Space.com

"The Earth is getting hotter because the Sun is burning more brightly than at any time during the past 1,000 years, according to new research published in the prestigious science journal Nature." - London Telegraph

In truth we still know very little about how the Sun really operates. This is one of the reasons why I cringe when global warming alarmists categorically state that the sun could not possibly be the cause of any slight warming that has been observed on earth. A new type of telescope developed by the Japanese has revealed all sorts of energy patterns and violent eruptions that could not previously be observed, and their effect on earth is unknown.

"A Japanese space telescope called Hinode has achieved success in revealing certain surprising characteristics of the Sun, previously not known to humans. Among the characteristics revealed is the restless bubbling and frothing of the Sun’s chaotic surface, which has astonished astronomers around the world. "Everything we thought we knew about X-ray images of the Sun is now out of date," scientists told a NASA press conference in Washington on Wednesday.

According to Leon Golub from the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics in Cambridge, Massachusetts, US. "We’ve seen many new and unexpected things. For that reason alone, the mission is already a success." Hinode has sent back startling images of the Sun’s outer limb. Where astronomers expected to see a calm region called the chromosphere, they saw a seething mass of swaying spikes. Another surprise sighting is that of giant magnetic field loops crashing down onto the Sun’s surface as if they were collapsing from exhaustion, a finding that Golub describes as "impossible".

http://news.sawf.org/Health/34957.aspx

Recently another unexpected solar phenomenon was observed:

"Astronomers have captured the first footage of a solar "tsunami" hurtling through the Sun’s atmosphere at over a million kilometres per hour. Details were reported at the UK National Astronomy Meeting in Belfast. In a solar tsunami, a huge explosion near the Sun, such as a coronal mass ejection or flare, causes a pressure pulse to propagate outwards in a circular pattern. Last year’s solar tsunami, which took place on 19 May 2007, lasted
for about 35 minutes, reaching peak speeds about 20 minutes after the initial blast. Co-author David Long commented: "The energy released in these explosions is phenomenal; about two billion times the annual world energy consumption in just a fraction of a second."

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/7326097.stm

Apart from ignoring the giant ball of fire in the sky, Global Warming alarmists also overlook a few other inconvenient truths. They ignore the fact the natural emissions of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere far exceed human contributions. In fact humans contribute a measly 0.035% of the total annual carbon flux. Any system that can be perturbed by such a tiny fluctuation would be very unstable indeed. They also ignore the fact that water vapour is by far the most dominant greenhouse gas. The atmosphere consists of 40,000 ppm of water vapour, whereas carbon dioxide weighs in at a miniscule 380 ppm. Instead they rely on dubious computer models that the IPCC itself admits exclude complex parts of the climate system that they don’t yet understand.

Sometime in the late 1990s activists decided to abandon the name Global Warming and switched instead to Climate Change. This has two advantages. It allows them to seize as ‘evidence’ the inevitable occurrences of unusually cold weather as well as warm ones. The climate is always changing, but now any storm, hurricane, tornado, flood, frost or drought is instantly used as "proof that our climate is changing!"

Global Warming has almost become religious dogma for many in the green movement. High priest Al Gore demands that we accept a "scientific truth" in which no doubt is allowed, blind faith and acceptance is our only option. They label anyone who questions their claims to be “climate deniers”, a cheap and quite despicable comparison to Holocaust deniers. There is a sustained campaign to prevent these heretics from having any sort of public platform for their views. Every scientist who manifests disbelief can expect to be the target of abuse from self-appointed protectors of the planet.

Al Gore’s Live Earth concerts were one of the most blatant attempts at mass manipulation and brainwashing that I have ever witnessed. The broadcast continually urged viewers to “Answer the Call” and “Save Our Selves”. Films clips were shown of New York City hundreds of feet under water. One clip was titled “A contract with Satan”. Another showed a man eating the last piece of ice on earth. Very subliminal!

As in other forms of mental conditioning, continual reinforcement is a necessary part of the process and that is where ritual comes in. Switching off our VCR’s at the wall every night, cycling to work, sacrificing our humble incandescent light-bulbs, anxiously calculating our carbon footprints, it all helps to soothe our guilt and makes us feel like we are doing our part.

In medieval times the Catholic Pardoner sold Papal Indulgences, which freed the prosperous from the consequences of sin. They were able to indulge in carnal pleasures as long as they could pay the price. Likewise, the new pardoners sell carbon offsets. Soon
every time you commit the sin of driving your car you will be forced pay the price for ‘wounding the planet’. Some faceless company will agree to plant an imaginary tree to atone for your climate crimes.

The hoax of the man-made Global Warming is being imposed on the world by many methods, both subtle and blatant. Proponents of the Global Green Agenda have embarked on a programme of mass deception, while scientists who attempt to blow the whistle on the fraud are silenced, tarred, ridiculed and fired. The Gaian cult that has permeated the United Nations is using the hysteria of Global Warming to impose draconian control measures on society and centralise world power. They intend to use this fabricated crisis, and any other crisis that might arise, to further their plan for “a sustainable global human society living in harmony with Gaia.” They have declared "the science is settled" on the man-made origins of Global Warming. Real scientists know that science is never settled. We have seen it all before.

"There are ominous signs that the earth's weather patterns have begun to change and cool dramatically and that these changes may portend a drastic decline in food production - with serious political implications for just about every nation on earth. The drop in food production could begin quite soon. The evidence in support of these predictions has now begun to accumulate so massively that meteorologist are hard-pressed to keep up with it.” - Newsweek, April 28, (1975)

“This cooling has already killed hundreds of thousands of people. If it continues and no strong action is taken, it will cause world famine, world chaos and world war, and this could all come about before the year 2000.” - Lowell Ponte "The Cooling" (1976)

“The continued rapid cooling of the earth since WWII is in accord with the increase in global air pollution associated with industrialization, mechanization, urbanization and exploding population.” - Reid Bryson, Global Ecology (1971)

“The battle to feed humanity is over. In the 1970s, the world will undergo famines. Hundreds of millions of people are going to starve to death in spite of any crash programs embarked upon now. Population control is the only answer.” - Prof. Paul Ehrlich - The Population Bomb (1968)

“In ten years all important animal life in the sea will be extinct. Large areas of coastline will have to be evacuated because of the stench of dead fish.” - Prof. Paul Ehrlich, Earth Day (1970)

“This cooling trend will reduce agricultural productivity for the rest of the century.” - Peter Gwynne, climatologist, Newsweek (1976)

“If present trends continue, the world will be about four degrees colder for the global mean temperature in 1990, but eleven degrees colder by the year 2000...This is about twice what it would take to put us in an ice age.” - Kenneth Watt, Earth Day (1970)
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[SECTION 5.2 – WHAT ABOUT GREENLAND?]

What about Greenland?

Global Warming proponents claim that this ‘imminent crisis’ will cause a massive rise in sea level which will drown many of the world’s largest cities and displace hundreds of millions of people. In his Academy Award winning ‘documentary’ Al Gore claimed that if either the West Antarctic Ice Shelf or the ice sheets around Greenland melt the mean global sea level will rise by 20 feet! Greenpeace claims that GW will “result in a catastrophic global sea level rise of 7 meters. That’s bye-bye most of Bangladesh, Netherlands, Florida and would make London the new Atlantis.”

Likewise the WWF claims “If the Greenland ice sheet melts, sea level could rise by as much 25 feet. Today there are 17 million people living less than one meter above sea level in Bangladesh, while places like Florida and Louisiana in the United States, Bangkok, Calcutta, Dhaka and Manila are also at risk from sea level rise.” This threat of ‘catastrophic sea level rise’ is a mantra constantly repeated by GW alarmists and forms the heart of their demand for urgent drastic action. But is this ‘threat’ well-founded or just fear-mongering by those pursuing the Global Green Agenda? Below are a temperature graph and extracts from scientific papers and articles that provide a very interesting insight into the recent history of Greenland’s climate.

---

The Medieval Warm Period and the Little Ice Age

- **Warmer**
  - c. 1000: Vikings at L’Anse aux Meadows, Newfoundland
  - c. 1350: The “Western” Greenland Settlement abandoned
  - 1818-1814: Ross, Parry, Franklin and McClure attempt to find the ice-blocked Northwest Passage.
  - 1576-1610: Froiberger, Hudson, Bylot, & Foe x-probe the Arctic regions west of Greenland but penetrate no further than Hudson Bay. Conditions minimal.
- **Colder**
  - c. 985: The Viking Sagas
  - Improved conditions along the Northwest Passage?
  - 2000: Fr. Roche f. 1-day transit of the Passage; limited ice.

---
In AD 1000, the Earth was experiencing an episode of climate warming similar to that of the present day. Temperatures in many parts of the world seem to have risen by at least two or three degrees Fahrenheit. Although the scale of this "global warming" may seem small, its effects on human societies were profound. In Europe, several centuries of long hot summers led to an almost unbroken string of good harvests, and both urban and rural populations began to grow. These centuries are known as the Medieval Warm Period. One of the more dramatic consequences of the Medieval Warm Period was the expansion of Viking settlements in the North Atlantic. From their Icelandic base (established in AD 870), the Norse people began to move west and north to Greenland, Canada, and eventually above the Arctic Circle.

The Medieval Warm Period was a time of warm weather around 800-1300 AD, during the European Medieval period. Initial research on the MWP and the following Little Ice Age (LIA) was largely done in Europe, where the phenomenon was most obvious and clearly documented. The Vikings took advantage of ice-free seas to colonize Greenland and other outlying lands of the far north.

"The climate at this time was very warm, much warmer than it is today, and crops were able to do well. It seems likely that the name "Greenland" was given to the country, not just as wishful thinking, but because it was a climatic fact at that time. The mild climatic period was fairly short-lived in geologic terms - by about 1200 AD, the ever-increasing cold was making life extremely difficult, and some years no supply ships were able to reach Greenland through the ice-choked seas. During this period, Norway had assumed responsibility for supplying the Norse settlers in Greenland, but as the climate worsened it became a very difficult task.

"At that time, the inner regions of the long fjords where the settlements where located were very different from today. Excavations show that there were considerable birch woods with trees up to 4 to 6 meters high in the area around the inner parts of the Tunuliarfik- and Aniaaq-fjords, the central area of the Eastern settlement, and the hills were grown with grass and willow brushes. This was due to the medieval climate optimum. The Norse soon changed the vegetation by cutting down the trees to use as building material and for heating and by extensive sheep and goat grazing during summer and winter. The climate in Greenland was much warmer during the first centuries of settlement but became increasingly colder in the 14th and 15th centuries with the approaching period of colder weather known as the Little Ice Age."

The Medieval Warm Period coincides with the Vikings' settlement of Greenland, Iceland and possibly North America. Farmsteads with dairy cattle, pigs, sheep and goats were prevalent in Iceland and along the southern coast of Greenland. Even England was able to compete economically with France in wine production. On the other hand,
agriculture steadily declined at higher latitudes during the Little Ice Age, while mortality rates and famines increased. By 1500, settlements in Greenland had vanished and the inhabitants of Iceland were struggling to survive.

LINK

During the 9th & 10th centuries the Vikings reached Iceland and Greenland during the milder condition that prevailed during Medieval Warm Period. Norse settlers arrived in Iceland in the 9th and Greenland in the 10th century with an agricultural practice based on milk, meat and fibre from cattle, sheep, and goats. The settlers were attracted by green fields and a relatively good climate and driven there by population pressures in Scandinavia. They were able to sail to Iceland and Greenland as well as Labrador because of a decrease in sea ice in the North Atlantic.

LINK

The Medieval Warm Period was a time of unusually warm climate in Europe from about 850 until 1250 AD. The warm climate overlaps with a time of high solar activity called the Medieval Maximum. The warmer climate caused historic events such as the spread of Viking settlements in Northern Europe. The Vikings were likely better able to explore and colonize many areas in Northern Europe during this time because the warm climate. They traveled by boats to Greenland among other places through seas that, with cooler climates are typically full of dangerous sea ice. During this time, grape vineyards, which require moderate temperatures and a long growing season, were as far north as England. In comparison, today grapes vineyards are only typically as far north as France in Europe.

LINK

Between the 9th and 14th century there was a "Medieval Warm Period", when the average temperature in the Northern Hemisphere reached its highest point in the past 4,000 years, which was only about 1°C higher than at present. It has been documented that during this period, American oysters (Crassostrea virginica) and bay scallops (Aequipecten irradians) formed populations as far north as Sable Island. Neither of these species exists there today. Radiocarbon dating of relict oyster and bay scallop shells compare reasonably well with the dates of the post-glacial warm period. From the 16th to the 19th century there was a "Little Ice Age", when the average temperature of the Northern Hemisphere was a degree or two cooler than now. It is during this time that salmon are hypothesized to have relocated to the New England area. Salmon may have migrated from Europe after the end of the Pleistocene, across the Atlantic. Immediately prior to the Little Ice Age, the Medieval Warm Period diminished sea pack ice around Iceland and Greenland.

LINK
As quoted above Greenpeace claims that if the ice shelf around Greenland melts London will become ‘the new Atlantis’. This dire prediction about the future of London can be found in many ‘Global Warming Impact Assessments’ even one from the London City Council.

But as we have seen above the ice sheets around Greenland were largely absent as little as 950 years ago during the Medieval Warm Period. Interestingly there is no evidence of a rise in sea level during this period. In fact there is plenty of evidence that the sea levels were the same as they are today! Science and culture flourished during this period. We have many great works of literature by authors like Chaucer and Thomas Aquinas were penned and preserved. I think someone, somewhere would have recorded a massive 20 foot sea level rise!!

Also many sea-ports, complete with docks and mooring rings, were constructed during this period. Now, if the sea level was 20 feet higher when they were constructed then surely they should now be stranded high and dry. But the remnants of medieval seaports at Acre, Bristol, Genoa, Tripoli, Istanbul etc can be found sitting quietly at today’s sea level. The Polar Bears obviously survived being ‘drowned’ as well.

So let’s take a closer look at one of these structures built at sea level during the height of the Medieval Warm Period. Remember, the lack of ice around Greenland at this time has been well documented by many sources. William the Conqueror began building, what is now known as the Tower of London, in 1078. The Tower is situated on the north bank of the river Thames, within the tidal section at the eastern extremity of London. There were no flood-control locks at this time, the first being built in 1633. The Tower was constructed a few feet above the high tide mark and still sits a few feet above the high tide mark. Many tapestries and paintings of the Tower shortly after its completion show that the sea level has not changed!
This raises another issue with the 'man-made' theory of global warming. Almost all ice core records show that carbon dioxide levels begin to rise approximately 800 years after temperature begins to rise. This is why Al Gore didn't superimpose his CO2/temp graphs in his 'documentary'. Even the mega-alarmists at the RealClimate site admit this:

“At least three careful ice core studies have shown that CO2 starts to rise about 800 years (600-1000 years) after Antarctic temperature during glacial terminations... The reason has to do with the fact that the warmings take about 5000 years to be complete. The lag is only 800 years. All that the lag shows is that CO2 did not cause the first 800 years of warming, out of the 5000 year trend. The other 4200 years of warming could in fact have been caused by CO2, as far as we can tell from this ice core data. The 4200 years of warming make up about 5/6 of the total warming. So CO2 could have caused the last 5/6 of the warming, but could not have caused the first 1/6 of the warming.” - [link]

So, according to them 'something else' (maybe the sun!) caused temperatures to rise and 800 years later CO2 levels rose and 'could have caused the rest of the warming'. Hmm ... so why could the 'thing' that caused the initial warming not have also caused the rest? Seems more likely. This 800 year lag can be explained by a phenomenon known as Deep Ocean Thermal Inertia. Only the top 70m of the ocean is mixed by wave and wind action, the rest of the up to 10,000 meters of ocean experiences relatively little water exchange. When the surface of the ocean is warmed the heat is absorbed and very slowly travels down through the water column. You can actually measure temperature strata somewhat analagous to tree rings. Scientists refer to this as ‘oceanic memory’.

The ocean contains more than 50 times as much CO2 as the atmosphere. Most of this is stored in the very cold benthic layers of the ocean. The solubility of CO2 in water depends on temperature. The warmer the water temperature the less CO2 it can dissolve. Hence as the ocean depths are warmed CO2 is released. This is known as oceanic out-gassing. You can test this yourself by heating a bottle of coke. Given that humans contribute only a tiny fraction (<1%) of the annual carbon flux, any change in the huge amount of CO2 dissolved in the ocean would far outweigh our effect. As shown by ice core data, temperature and CO2 levels are correlated but with a 800 year lag due to the time it takes for the increased atmospheric heat to reach the ocean depths. In effect you can take a temperature graph, move it forward 800 years, and you have a pretty accurate CO2 graph.

So, as outlined in this article during the period 1000-1300 AD the earth was experiencing the Medieval Warm Period which was even warmer than current temperatures. Hence we would expect CO2 levels to rise during the 1800-2100 AD period. Why would the 800 year lag suddenly stop operating? Shortly after the MWP global temperatures plummeted and the Little Ice Age began. We can expect this cooling influence to affect the ocean depths shortly after the end of this century which will trigger additional carbon absorption and remove CO2 from the atmosphere.
THE GREEN AGENDA

[SECTION 5.3 – THE CARBON CURRENCY]

The Carbon Currency

During my research into this subject it has become crystal clear that the 'Climate Crisis' has been conceived by the Gaia Cult as a way to control and reduce human activity in order to protect their goddess. To achieve this they have devised a 'carbon rationing' system that will include a mandatory carbon card that you must have if you want to buy anything, even food. And it will be global - everyone will be signed up to the same system.

Their plan is that everyone on earth will be given the same number of 'carbon credits' so a person living in a village in India, who doesn't even own a car, will suddenly has a 1000 carbon credits. If a family in America wants to have two cars and heat their home in winter they will have to buy credits from poorer countries. This is just a socialist scheme for global wealth redistribution.

Even scarier, at any time they can just say the climate change is progressing faster than they predicted so they have to cut everyone's carbon allowance by 30%. They will have control over almost every aspect of our lives. They have already developed a thing called the Kyoto Chip, and the UK has setup a Carbon Currency Unit in their Treasury. We live in interesting times!! Check out these news reports:

Carbon – the Currency of a New World Order

One of Kevin Rudd's contacts with whom he keeps in regular dialogue is Britain's Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, David Miliband, a climate change crusader and a leader of the political revolution sweeping Britain and Europe. Last week Miliband announced that Britain will become the world's first nation to legislate a climate change bill setting legally binding timetables for a low-carbon economy. It will put into law the target of 60 per cent emission cuts by 2050, the same target pledged by Rudd's Labor Party. This decision will affect every British industry, business and household. Miliband is not just environment minister. He met Rudd when he worked in Tony Blair's office at Downing Street as a New Labour strategic thinker. Now he is recasting social democratic philosophy and practice for the coming century.

Miliband's discussion paper sees the greenhouse challenge as "similar in scope to the first industrial revolution". It is tied to the EU heads of government decision over March 8-9 on an independent binding target to cut Europe's greenhouse gas emissions by 20 per cent.
by 2020 (compared with 1990 levels) and to lift this target to 30 per cent as part of a global agreement. The purpose is to impose this system on the world. Britain and Europe are setting benchmarks for a **new global order**. Miliband's paper makes this explicit: "By forming a single negotiating block, the EU will be influential in forging a post-2012 framework." Britain's next prime minister, Gordon Brown, said last week: "My ambition is to build a global carbon market founded on the EU emissions trading scheme and centred in London."

**link**

**Miliband plans carbon trading 'credit cards' for everyone**

Every citizen would be issued with a carbon "credit card" - to be swiped every time they bought petrol, paid an energy utility bill or booked an airline ticket - under a nationwide carbon rationing scheme that could come into operation within five years, according to a feasibility study commissioned by the environment secretary, David Miliband, and published today.

The idea was floated in a speech in the summer, but the detailed proposals show Mr Miliband is serious about trying to press ahead with the radical idea as a central part of his climate change strategy. Under the scheme, everybody would be given an annual allowance of the carbon they could expend on a range of products, probably food, energy and travel. If they wanted to use more carbon, they would be able to buy it from somebody else. The report admits huge questions would have to be resolved, including the risk of fraud, the relationship to ID cards, and costs. However Mr Miliband said "**bold thinking is required because the world is in a dangerous place**".

**Link**

**Pay as you pollute**

In a few years from now you will have another plastic card in your wallet - your carbon card. You will start the year with 1,000 points on it and each time you fill up your car, you put the card in a slot on the pump and it will deduct a few points. Each time you buy an airline ticket, it will cost you a minimum of 100 points. If you fly regularly, you may have to buy more points through the carbon market - but since it is all in the cause of reducing greenhouse gas emissions you do not mind so much.

**Link**

**Personal carbon rationing**

**Summary**
Personal carbon rationing would be a UK-wide allowance system covering the carbon emissions generated from the fossil fuel energy used by individuals within the home and for personal transport, including carbon equivalent emissions from air travel. It would account for around half of current UK carbon emissions from energy. The primary aim of the scheme would be to deliver guaranteed levels of carbon savings in successive years in an equitable way. The government’s current target of a 60% reduction by 2050, or more stringent targets, would assuredly be met in this way.

**Key features**

The main features of personal carbon rations are:

- An **equal** annual ration is allocated for each adult, with a smaller one for children.
- Rations are tradable.
- The ration covers the direct energy used in the household and for personal travel.
- A phased **year-on-year reducing ration** is signalled well in advance.
- The arrangement is **mandatory**.

**Carbon rations will have to decrease over time** in response to the need to reduce global emissions in a smooth transition and to allow for the expected rise in national population. To allow for the expected growth in the UK population, personal rations would have to fall by a little more than 60% below today’s average by 2050 (designed to stabilise atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations at 550ppm). If a more risk-averse target for concentrations of 450ppm were chosen, then the reductions needed by 2050 would be around 80%. In order to be effective, carbon rationing would have to be **mandatory**.

Modern industrial society, especially the United States, has always represented the 'Great Satan' to the modern green movement. They despise and fear capitalism and economic growth. Ever since its inception in the 1960s the green agenda has always been to find some way to destroy the free market system. The 'Climate Crisis is the weapon they have crafted to deliver a fatal death blow. A scheme that they are currently pushing hard is called 'Contraction and Convergence'.

Under this system entire countries would have carbon rationing imposed on them. The United States (currently 25% of global emissions) would be allowed to emit no more than 5% of the world's annual carbon emissions. If, as required by th UN's IPCC, emissions must decrease by at least 50% from current levels then the USA would have to decrease its carbon emissions by 98% from 1990 levels!! Goodbye USA! Many well-know politicians such as Angela Merkel, Gordon Brown and Arnold Sharwzenegger have publicly praised the C&C system. If these systems for personal and national carbon rationing are implemented then the Global Green Agenda activists will have well and truly achieved their aim of Global Governance.
"Man-made climate change is the most serious environmental threat we face. Many leaders from government, business and environmental organisations now support the C&C model as a realistic framework within which the international community can take the necessary action to solve the critical problem of climate change."

- Angela Merkel, German Chancellor
"Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake, the use of fossil fuels, electrical appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable."
- Maurice Strong, opening speech at the 1992 Rio Earth Summit

The Green Economy – A Global Economic Suicide Pact

The global green movement places very little value on the modern industrial society that has produced huge improvements in economic prosperity, health care, human rights, education and standards of living. In fact, the green movement hates and fears western-style capitalism. To them, the loss of industrial civilisation is of no great consequence. In fact, it is one of the top priorities of the Global Green Agenda.

The green movement has been obsessed with capitalism, especially evil multi-national corporations, since its birth in the 1960s. Long before the advent of ‘global warming’, the primary objective of the movement was, and always has been, simply the destruction of energy production. They know that the life blood of the industrial society is energy, especially fossil fuels, and a significant reduction in energy availability will deal a fatal blow to Gaia’s greatest threat – modern human society. Primitive societies are admired for being sustainable and living in harmony with Gaia. Western capitalist nations are reviled as “destroyers of the earth” which must be subdued. They make no attempt to conceal this agenda:

"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"
- Maurice Strong, founder of the UN Environment Programme

"A massive campaign must be launched to de-develop the United States. De-development means bringing our economic system into line with the realities of ecology and the world resource situation."
- Paul Ehrlich, Professor of Population Studies

"The only hope for the world is to make sure there is not another United States. We can't let other countries have the same number of cars, the amount of industrialization, we have in the US. We have to stop these Third World countries right where they are."
- Michael Oppenheimer, Environmental Defense Fund
"Global Sustainability requires the deliberate quest of poverty, reduced resource consumption and set levels of mortality control."

- Professor Maurice King

"We must make this an insecure and inhospitable place for capitalists and their projects. We must reclaim the roads and plowed land, halt dam construction, tear down existing dams, free shackled rivers and return to wilderness millions of acres of presently settled land."

- David Foreman, co-founder of Earth First!

"Complex technology of any sort is an assault on human dignity. It would be little short of disastrous for us to discover a source of clean, cheap, abundant energy, because of what we might do with it."

- Amory Lovins, Rocky Mountain Institute

"The prospect of cheap fusion energy is the worst thing that could happen to the planet."

- Jeremy Rifkin, Greenhouse Crisis Foundation

One of the first targets of green movement was the nuclear power industry. Even though nuclear energy offers enormous energy potential with no CO2 emissions they still revile it. They also fiercely oppose any proposals to build new hydro-electric dams based on perceived negative environmental effects. The same argument is used to oppose any proposals to develop new oil fields. They intend to starve the beast not feed it. The only sources of power that the environmental movement is willing to allow are wind and sunlight. Humans will just have to adapt to living with very low volumes of unreliable energy.

Of course the big prize has always been to find some way to control, or even eliminate, the use of fossil fuels. After all, it is fossil fuels that have allowed the human population to blossom from a mere one billion in 1850 to more than six billion today. A single barrel of oil contains 23,000 man-hours of energy. Hence the 20 million barrels that the USA consumes each day is equivalent to 15 billion additional human workers. Oil has empowered each American worker the equivalent of 45 ‘virtual slaves’. Globally it provides us with the equivalent daily energy of more than 70 billion human workers. Try pushing your car for a few miles to see just how much work oil does for us.

In 1850 more than 85% of people led lives of hard drudgery growing food, today less than 2% of people in western societies are employed in the agricultural sector. In 1850 most people never traveled beyond the next village, whereas we are free to roam the world and learn from other cultures. The average life expectancy in England in 1850 was 34, and infant mortality was nearly 30%. Now the average life expectancy is in excess of 70, and infant mortality is miniscule.
But the green movement looks back with great fondness to a simpler and gentler time. According to them without fossil fuels the world will be transformed into an Ecotopia. We would all live in small sustainable villages, surrounded by lush fields where happy peasants sang love-songs to Gaia as they gently tilled her soil. Without the unbridled power provided by fossil fuels we could no longer dominate the earth, shaping it according to our own will. Humans would learn to once again live humbly alongside all other living beings, and be reconciled with Mother Nature.

The fact that modern ‘industrial’ agriculture would collapse without fossil fuels, and as a result hundreds of millions would starve, seems to be of minor consequence. It’s just a bit of short-term pain for long-term gain. Ted Turner, who donated over a billion dollars to the United Nations specifically to fund the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) thinks that "A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."

Hence for the green movement Global Warming presents an opportunity to finally and completely destroy the voracious beast of capitalism. They are demanding the imposition of a massive reduction in global emissions of carbon dioxide accompanied by a freeze on such emissions at the sharply reduced level. This would immediately result in the elimination or radical reduction in the supply of all goods and services that depend on fossil fuel consumption. As Al Gore says it would be a “wrenching transformation of society.” Every aspect of daily life would be dramatically altered.

The much vaunted Stern Report called for a 25% reduction in global carbon dioxide emissions by 2050. Given the fact that the world population is expected to increase by third which means the 9 billion people would have to generate 25% less then 6 billion, or a per capita reduction of 50%. This would devastate the global economy and make the Great Depression look like a picnic.

The two leading Democratic Presidential Candidates, Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama, have both called for an 80% reduction by 2050. Factoring in population growth, this would require a reduction of more than 90%. You really have to wonder if they have thought deeply about the implications of this goal. It even makes the climate doom stories seem pleasant:

"According to the Department of Energy's most recent data on greenhouse gas emissions, in 2006 the U.S. emitted 5.8 billion metric tons of carbon dioxide, or just under 20 tons per capita. An 80% reduction in these emissions from 1990 levels means that the U.S. cannot emit more than about one billion metric tons of CO2 in 2050. Were man-made carbon dioxide emissions in this country ever that low? The answer is probably yes – from historical energy data it is possible to estimate that the U.S. last emitted one billion metric tons around 1910. But in 1910, the U.S. had 92 million people, and per capita income, in current dollars, was about $6,000.

By the year 2050, the Census Bureau projects that our population will be around 420
This means per capita emissions will have to fall to about 2.5 tons in order to meet the goal of 80% reduction. It is likely that U.S. per capita emissions were never that low - even back in colonial days when the only fuel we burned was wood. The only nations in the world today that emit at this low level are all poor developing nations, such as Belize, Mauritius, Jordan, Haiti and Somalia. If that comparison seems unfair, consider that even the least-CO2 emitting industrialized nations do not come close to the 2050 target. France and Switzerland, compact nations that generate almost all of their electricity from nonfossil fuel sources (nuclear for France, hydro for Switzerland) emit about 6.5 metric tons of CO2 per capita." - Link

And then we have dear old Al Gore. The Goracle has called for a reduction in global carbon dioxide emissions of 90% within 20 years! There is little chance that modern society could function with 25% less oil, just imagine the consequences of a 90% reduction. Cities would be deserted and slowly rot. Infrastructure could no longer be maintained. It would surely result in a massive die-off in the human population.

I'm quite certain Al isn't serious about his demands for a 90% reduction. That would be uncontrollable, and the whole purpose behind the Global Green Agenda is to gain control over, transform, and reduce human activity. A more likely outcome will be less severe reductions, combined with the imposition of a global system of carbon permits governed by the United Nations. This would give the UN unprecedented power to regulate individuals, businesses and governments, all in the name of 'saving the Earth'. Carbon markets have already been established in many countries in anticipation of a mandatory system.

In the United States the only operating carbon emissions trading market is the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX). Coincidentally, or not, Al Gore’s hedge fund, Generation Investment Management, is the largest shareholder in CCX. Now that's what I call a conflict of interest! The most vocal Global Warming alarmist is the largest shareholder in the USA's only operating ‘carbon market.’ On the board of CCX we find our old friend Maurice Strong.

In late 2007 the UN will host a conference in Bali with the intention of formulating an internationally binding Climate Change Treaty to replace the Kyoto Protocol. It is widely expected that this treaty will mandate a global carbon trading system, and possibly a global carbon tax. Many green activists know that Global Warming is a vague and hollow threat. However, it is proving to be a very effective tool in implementing their Global Green Agenda which began more than a decade ago with Sustainable Development and Agenda 21.

"Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced — a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level."

- UN Agenda 21
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LINKS

The One World Government - An excellent summary from The Watchman's Post.

Climate Audit - In-depth analysis of climate data and climate models.

Surface Stations - Analysis of data from NASA's GISS climate station network.

Climate Science Coalition - More analysis of climate science by climate scientists.

Sovereignty International - Exposing the links between Global Warming and Global Governance.

Environmental Conservation Organization - In-depth analysis of environmental regulations.

Blowing our Tax Dollars Away – The truth about wind farms!

http://green-agenda.com/